

WOODBURY COUNTY BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

Monday, October 2, 2023 at 6:00 PM

The Woodbury County Board of Adjustment will hold a public meeting on **Monday, October 2, 2023** at **6:00 PM** in the Board of Supervisors' meeting room in the Basement of the Woodbury County Courthouse, 620 Douglas Street, Sioux City, IA. Please use the 7th St. entrance. Public access to the conversation of the meeting will also be made available during the meeting by telephone. Persons wanting to participate in the public meeting may attend in person or call: **(712) 454-1133** and enter the **Conference ID: 742 346 123#** during the meeting to listen or comment. It is recommended to attend in person as there is the possibility for technical difficulties with phone and computer systems.

	AGENDA
1	CALL TO ORDER
2	ROLL CALL
3	PUBLIC COMMENT ON MATTERS NOT ON THE AGENDA
4	APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES: July 31, 2023 – Special Meeting
5	ITEM(S) OF ACTION / BUSINESS
»	PUBLIC HEARING: TO CONSIDER FOR APPROVAL, A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT APPLICATION - PROPOSED TELECOMMUNICATION TOWER 120 FT MONOPOLE ON PARCEL #874316300005 Conditional Use Permit application by AMG Technology Group DBA Nextlink (Applicant) and Shelle Baldwin (Ownership) to install a 120 FT monopole telecommunication tower to supply high speed internet to surrounding areas. The property is located on Parcel #874316300005 in T87N R43W (Miller Township) in Section 16 in the SE ¼ of the SW ¼. The property is located about 2.3 miles south of Anthon and about 4.3 miles northeast of Oto. The property is located in the Agricultural Preservation (AP) Zoning District. Owner(s)/Applicant(s): Mark D. Baldwin & Shelle J. Baldwin, 3846 245th St., Anthon, IA 51004-8065 / AMG Technology Group DBA Nextlink, 95 Parker Oaks LN., Hudson Oaks, TX 76087.
»	INFORMATION: UTILITY-SCALE SOLAR ENERGY SYSTEMS (US-SES) CONSIDERATION PROCESS Information update concerning the Woodbury County Zoning Commission's consideration of a recommendation for the permitting of US-SES in unincorporated Woodbury County.
6	PUBLIC COMMENT ON MATTERS NOT ON THE AGENDA
7	BOARD MEMBER COMMENT OR INQUIRY
8	STAFF UPDATE
9	ADJOURN

Minutes - Woodbury County Board of Adjustment - Special Meeting - July 31, 2023

The Board of Adjustment meeting convened on the 31st of July 2023 at 6:00 PM in the first-floor board room of the Woodbury County Courthouse. The meeting was also made available for public access via teleconference.

BA Members Present : County Staff Present: Public Present: Daniel Hair, Tom Thiesen, Pam Clark (Phone), Ashley Christensen Dan Priestley, Dawn Norton Dana Neal, Kim Neal, Kyle Walker

Call to Order

Chair Daniel Hair formally called the meeting to order at 6:02 PM.

Public Comment on Matters Not on the Agenda

None

Approval of Minutes

The July 3, 2023 minutes were approved. Motion by Hair to approve; Second by Christensen. Motion passed 4-0.

Public Hearing: To Consider for Approval, a Conditional Use Permit Application – Request to Setup a Portable Concrete Plant for Hwy 20 Paving for IDOT Project NHSX-020-1(179)—3H-97 on Parcel #884701200009

Priestley read the staff report and Zoning Commission recommendation into the record. Jason Meihost, Croell, Inc. (Applicant) and Midwest Auto Properties, LLC have filed a conditional use permit application to request to use the property designed as Parcel #884701200009 for a portable concrete plant in support of the Hwy 20 paving project identified as IDOT Project NHSX-020-1(179)—3H-97. The proposed location is south of Hwy 20 and west of Charles Avenue. The property is addressed at 1605 Charles Ave., Lawton, IA 51030. Appropriate landowners and stakeholders were notified. No written inquiries received. Landowners at 1774 162nd Street spoke in favor of the project but have concerns about the operation hours, dust control, traffic control and how the roads will be maintained, and length of project. Priestley noted there is a well on the parcel. Siouxland District Health department has verified it has been capped and marked. Priestley received a phone call from a neighboring landowner asking about the grading on the property. Motion to close public hearing: Christensen. Second: Thiesen. Carried; 4-0. Motion by Christensen to approve conditional use permit application to setup a portable concrete plant for Hwy 20 paving for IDOT Project NHSX-020-1(179)—3H-97 on parcel #884701200009 with conditions:

- Reasonable accommodations must be made for dust control on site and the haul routes.
- Reasonable accommodations must be made for road maintenance along the haul routes.
- Hours of operation must be between sunrise and sunset.
- Steps must be in place to return the property to the original state, or a state approved by the owner(s).

Public Hearing: To Consider for Approval, a Conditional Use Permit Application – Request for a Private Wind Turbine Installation and Use on Parcel #864626400009

Priestley read the staff report and Zoning Commission recommendation into the record. William Kyle Walker (owner) has filed a conditional use permit application for the installation and use of a wind turbine. The said turbine along with its support tower was previously installed on this property and the owner has retroactively filed this permit request as required under Section 3.03.4 of the Zoning Ordinance. The property abuts Hwy 141 and Fayette Avenue. This proposal has been properly noticed in the Sioux City Journals legal section on

July 18, 2023. The neighbors within 500 FT were duly notified via a July 14, 2023 letter about the July 31, 2023 Board of Adjustment public hearing. Appropriate stakeholders including government agencies, utilities, and organizations have been requested to comment. This property is located in the Agricultural Preservation (AP) Zoning District and is located in the Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA). The portion where the house is located was removed from the floodplain via Letter of Map Revision Based of Fill Case No.: 15-07-1298A. Based on the information received and the requirements set forth in the Zoning Ordinance, the proposal can meet the criteria for approval of the conditional use request based on conditions. At their meeting on July 24, 2023, the Woodbury County Zoning Commission unanimously approved (5-0) the motion to recommend approval of this conditional use permit request to the Board of Adjustment with the following conditions: 1) The turbine tower installation/placement shall meet or exceed the 10 FT accessory setback requirements from the west property line as enumerated in the Woodbury County Zoning Ordinance (Section 3.04). 2) The turbine tower installation/placement shall comply with the floodplain management regulations of the Woodbury County Zoning Ordinance (Section 5.03). To consider in the decision making, the ordinance states the Board shall decide within 35 days of the hearing to approve as submitted, apply conditions, or deny. Christensen asked Mr. Walker why this wasn't considered Ag Exempt. Mr. Walker decided not to go that route. Walker stated this was private use, has a roofing business and catfish business on the property. Walker stated the fence on west side of property is placed 10' from the property line and the tower is approximately 23-25 ft. from the property line. Christensen mentioned the structure being located in a

floodplain, Walker stated the electric will be elevated 6' on the tower, 1 ' above the floodplain. Waiting for electrical inspection. Priestley stated a FPDP is on file, and the current floodplain map should be used. Priestley also stated a survey from a certified, licensed surveyor needs to be provided to show that the 10' setback is met. Motion to close public hearing: Hair. Second: Thiesen. Carried: 4-0.

Motion by Christensen to approve conditional use permit request with the following conditions:

- The turbine tower installation/placement shall meet or exceed the 10 FT accessory setback requirements from the west property line as enumerated in the Woodbury County Zoning Ordinance (Section 3.04).
- The turbine tower installation/placement shall comply with the floodplain management regulations of the Woodbury County Zoning Ordinance (Section 5.03).
- The property owner(s) will obtain and submit to Woodbury County Community and Economic Development staff a professionally Iowa licensed stamped survey to ensure the 10 FT setback from the property line.
- In the event of a failure of the wind turbine tower, this is a civil matter between the said property owner and the affected abutting property owner(s).
- Must adhere to all applicable federal, state and local regulations as it pertains to the installation and use of the wind turbine and tower.

Second by Thiesen. Motion approved 4-0.

Consideration to Adopt Amendment to Rules of Procedure for the Meeting Day, Time, and Location of the Woodbury County Board of Adjustment

After discussion, Board members chose to not make changes to the meeting day, time, or location. No changes will be made to Rules of Procedure. Motion by Christensen to leave the schedule as is with no recommended changes to the Rules of Procedure. Second: Thiesen Approved; 4-0.

Public Comment on Matters Not on the Agenda

None

Board Member Comment of Inquiry

Christensen mentioned the Woodbury County Fair is underway.

Staff Update

The Board of Supervisors will conduct Public Hearings on the proposed Zoning Ordinance Text Amendment concerning solar on August 1st, 8th, and 15th. Hearings will all be at 4:45 PM.

Adjourn

Motion to adjourn by Christensen. Second: Theisen Carried: 4-0.



ess: 620 Douglas Street – Sixth Floor, Sioux City, IA 51101 | Phone: 712-279-6609 | Fax: 712-279-6530 | Web: woodburycountyiowa.gov Daniel J. Priestley, MPA – Zoning Coordinator: dpriestley@woodburycountyiowa.gov Dawn Norton – Senior Clerk: dnorton@woodburycountyiowa.gov

REPORT – SEPTEMBER 27, 2023

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT REQUEST

Application Details		Property Details		Contents				
Applicant(s)/Owner(s):	AMG Technology	Parcel #: 874316300005		Summary, Location Aerial, Site Plan Excerpt,				
	Investment Group DBA Nextlink / Shelle Baldwin	Township/Range:	T87N R43W (Miller)	Recommendation, & Suggested Motion				
Application Type:	Conditional Use	Section:	16	Legal Notification				
Zoning District:	Agricultural Preservation	Quarter:	SE 1/4 SW 1/4					
Total Acres:	40	Zoning District:	Agricultural Preservation	Neighbor(s) Notification				
Current Use:	Agriculture	Floodplain District:	Zone X (Not in Floodplain)					
Proposed Use:	Telecommunication Tower	Address:	3846 245 th St., Anton, IA 51004	Stakeholder(s) Comments				
Pre-application Meeting:	May 4, 2023		51004					
Application Date:	August 29, 2023			Review Criteria / Applicant Responses				
Legal Notice Date:	September 14, 2023							
Neighbor(s) Notice Date:	September 13, 2023			Application				
Stakeholder(s) Notice Date:	September 1, 2023			Supporting Documentation				
Board of Adjustment Public Hearing Date:	October 2, 2023							

SUMMARY

AMG Technology Investment Group DBA Nextlink have filed a conditional use permit application to request to install a 120 FT monopole communication tower to supply high speed internet to surrounding areas on the property designated as Parcel #874316300005. The proposed location is around 2.5 miles south of Anthon and about 4.2 miles northeast of Oto. This proposal has been noticed in the Sioux City Journals legal section on September 14, 2023. The neighbors within one (1) mile were duly notified via a September 13, 2023 letter about the October 2, 2023 Board of Adjustment public hearing. Appropriate stakeholders including government agencies, utilities, and organizations have been requested to comment. This property is located in the Agricultural Preservation (AP) Zoning District. Based on the information received and the requirements set forth in the Zoning Ordinance, the proposal meets the appropriate criteria for approval of the conditional use request. It is the recommendation of staff to approve the proposal. At their meeting on September 25, 2023, the Woodbury County Zoning Commission voted 5-0 to recommend approval of this conditional use permit application.

SITE PLAN EXCERPT

LOCATION / AERIAL VIEW

245TH ST (E) BUILDING (TYP.) (E) FENCING (TYP.) AVEL ACCESS R Corp B PROPOSED 120' MONOPOLE Parc PROPOSED LTE CABINET ON A PROPOSED POLE MOUNTED CABINET SUPPORT FEMA Flood Ma ED 12'-0" X 12'-0" LESSEE LEASE AREA AE, PROPOSED 12' WIDE ACCESS EASEMENT AE, FLOODWAY OPOSED (1) 4"# BURIED SCH D PVC CONDUIT W/ MULETAPE PULL STRING FOR POWER TO POWER SOURCE ON LANDLORE PROPERTY - ±49 AO, X.0.2 PCT PROPOSED 5' WIDE POWER EASEMENT ANNUAL CHANCE (E) UTILITY POLE (TYP.) X, AREA WITH 000 REDUCED FLOOD 1216'-0" CENTER OF RISK DUE TO LEVEE Parcel ID Sec/Twp/Rng Property Add 874316300005 16-87-43 ID 722970 Owner Add IS BALDWIN MARK D & SHELLE J 46 245TH 5 40.0 ANTHON, IA 51004-8065 SESW 16-87-43 Brief Tax De

ZONING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION

At their meeting on September 25, 2023, the Woodbury County Zoning Commission voted 5-0 to recommend approval of this conditional use permit application.



WOODBURY COUNTY ZONING COMMISSION

WOODBURY COUNTY COURTHOUSE 620 DOUGLAS STREET SIOUX CITY, IA 51101

Woodbury County Board of Adjustment 620 Douglas Street Sioux City, Iowa 51101

RE: Zoning Commission Recommendation to the Board of Adjustment:

Conditional Use Permit Application

Request to install a 120 FT monopole communication tower to supply high speed internet to surrounding areas . Parcel #: 874316300005 Township/Range: T87N R43W (Miller) Section: 16 Quarter: SE ¼ SW ¼ Zoning District: Agricultural Preservation Floodplain District: Zone X (Not in Floodplain) Address: 3846 245th St., Anton, IA 51004

Dear Board of Adjustment:

This letter is to inform you that the Woodbury County Zoning Commission reviewed the conditional use permit application submitted by <u>AMG Technology Investment Group DBA Nextlink (Applicant) and Shelle</u> <u>Baldwin (Owner)</u> to request to install a 120 FT monopole communication tower to supply high speed internet to surrounding areas on the property designated as Parcel #874316300005 at the <u>September 25</u>, 2023 meeting of the Zoning Commission.

The Commission voted _______ to (recommend / deny) approval of the conditional use permit application.

Please refer to the draft copy of the Zoning Commission minutes for further details about the Commission's action(s).

Dated this 25 day of Supt, 2023

Christine Zellmer Zant, Chair Woodbury County Zoning Commission

Minutes - Woodbury County Zoning Commission - September 25, 2023

The Zoning Commission (ZC) meeting convened on Monday, September 25 at 5:00 PM in the Board of Supervisors' meeting room in the Basement of the Woodbury County County Ocurthouse, 620 Douglas Street, Sioux City, 1A. The meeting was also made available via teleconference.

7C Members Present

County Staff Present: Public Present

Chris Zellmer Zant, Corey Meister, Jeff O'Tool, Tom Bride, Barb Parker Dan Priestey, Dawn Norton Greg Jochum, Gwen Brunk, Rogar Brunk, Russell Petersen, Tom Greg Jochum, Tory Ashbey, Dan Bitar Ulery, Jarred Jlery, Bill Jochum, Tory Ashbey, Dan Bitinger, Alan Fagan, Rebekah Moerer, Elizabeth Widman, Deb Harpenau, Kevin Alons, Jenny Barber, Fex Barber, Jesus Cendjais, Peter Widman, Sophia Widman, Emily Segura, Ann Johnston

Telephone:

Call to Order Chair Chris Zant formally called the meeting to order at 5:04 PM. All five (5) Commissioners were present. Public Comment on Matters Not on the Agenda

Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes – September 11, 2023 Meister motioned, Second: O'Tool, Motion carried: 5-0.

Review of Conditional Use Permit Application: Proposed Telecommunication Tower 120 FT Monopol Parcel #874316300005

Review of Contactional use Permit Application: Proposed Letecommunication Lower 120-L1 monopoils on Prissilay read this releminary report into the record. AGM Technology Investment Corpu DBA Nextlink have filed a conditional use permit application to request to install a 120-monopole communication tower to supply high speed internet to surrounding areas on the property designated as Parcel 8/8741630005. The proposed location is around 2.5 miles south of Anthon and about 4.2 miles northeast of Cto. This proposal has been noticed in the Soux City Journals legal section on Segtember 14, 2023. The neighbors within one (1) mile were duly notified via a September 13, 2023 fetter about the October 2, 2023 Board of Adjustment public hearing. Appropriate atakeholders including government agendes, utilities, and organizations have been requested to comment. This property is located in the Agricultural Preservation (AP) Zoning District. Based on the information received and the requirement's actional to comme Common control of a sproval of the composal to Board of Adjustment: O'Tool. Second: Parker. Motion carried 5-0.

Public Hearing: Solar Energy – Utility-Scale Solar Systems – Academic Harden Campo Solar Ordinances for Recommendations(s) to the Board of Supervisors Priestley summarized the utility-scale solar energy system process including eight topics to be discussed at this meeting. The Wootbury County Zoning Commission has been directed by the Board of Supervisors on August 8, 2023 to establishevarning a new ordinance as i relates to utility-scale solar systems. The purpose of this public hearing is to receive comments from the public about a potential ordinance that could facilitate the permitting of utility solar in the Agricultural Preservation (AP) Zoning District in addition to the General Industrial Zoning Obstint: Te23. The Board of Supervisors have indicated, through their direction on August 8, that "if the county was to engage in utility-scale solar, at a minimum, the county should consider this only if the following is met":

project area or footprint via the Zoning Ordinance Map Amendment (rezone) process. Specific standards or requirements can be directly tied to the overlay district. Thus, it is possible to create a series of requirements in which a proposed location would have to be met in order to be considered for the rezone to the overlay district. Therefore, as a hypothetical, the Zoning Commission and Board of Supervisors could consider a Zoning Ordinance Map Amendment (rezone) application to the Utility-Scale Solar Energy Systems Overlay District following the procedures set out in the Ordinance. This overlay could be applied over Agricultural Preservation (AP) zoned land while retaining its base uses. Once, the overlay district has been applied, continonal use partial tapplication to the Utility the Board of Adjustment.

Priestley suggested that the Commission schedule a work study public meeting where the public and commissioners can discuss issues and form a preliminary ordinance or amendments to present to the Board of Supervisors as a recommendation.

Daniel Segura (Sioux City) addressed the Commission questioning the effectiveness of the overlay district as an added step.

Priestley indicated that specific requirements or conditions can be added to the rezone consideration process

Bride motioned to close public hearing. Second: Parker. Carried: 5-0.

Zellmer Zant stated different applications are considered through different processes. Priestley explained that the overlay district would use the rezone process which requires a public hearing before the Zoning Commission and up to three public hearings before the Board of Supervisors. The Zoning Commission would offer a recommendation to the Board of Supervisors who ultimately would decide the appropriateness of the location. The Conditional Use Permit process would require review by the Zoning Commission and approval by the Board of Adjustment. The Board of Supervisors would be involved with special agreements such as road use and decommissioning. In terms of preparing an ordinance, both the rezone and conditional use processes will need to be defined including the approval/disapproval requirements for both.

Public Comment on Matters not on the Agenda None

Staff Update There will be a Board of Adjustment meeting on October 2, 2023 in the basement meeting room of the courthouse. The topic of solar will be shared with the Board only as an information item. The Board of Adjustment does not have a role as to the creation of new ordinances. The Zoning Commission formulates recommendations that are considered by the Board of Supervisors.

Adjourn Motion by O'Tool to adjourn; Second by Meister. Carried: 5-0. Adjourned: 7:50 p.m.

- A conditional use permit for AP "C" with Planning and Zoning and Board of Adjustment to be able to site-specifically take into consideration the concerns of neighbors, land/soil, and other factors when approving
- 0
- 00
- 0
- specifically take into consideration the concerns of neighbors, land/soil, and other factors when approving permit. A slope of no more than 5% in order to preserve the land and to account for soil erosion, compaction, and future limit stewardship. Construction of the start o

Priestley also informed the Commission and the public that the Board of Supervisors have an agenda item for their September 26, 2023 meeting that may update the previous direction. The potential new direction would include the following: ing

- 0
- 0
- A conditional use permit for AP "C" with Planning and Zoning and the Board of Adjustment to be able to site-specifically take into consideration the concerns of neighbors, land/soil, and other factors when approving permit. A slope of no more than 5% ONLY for fixed arrays (most technology is now movable arrays) in order to preserve the land and to account for soil erosion, compaction, and future land stewardship. No more than 1% of industrial land conversion every 4 years for reclassification, roughly 5,700 acres. Current notification for utility-case last soils and be 1 mile for public comment instead of 500 feet. A decommissioning plan from solar companies reviewed by P&Z/BOA subject to approval by the Woodbury County Board of Supervisors. 000
- Matt Countryman (Renewable Energy Equity Partners) addressed the Commission regarding the importance of mitigation and ag restoration of land, and support of an overlay district.

Deb Harpenau (Salix) addressed the Commission supporting utility solar as a clean source of electrical generation Wally Wagner (Salix) addressed the Commission about progress, and change he has seen regarding his land, and types of land that would not be good for solar.

Jerrod Ulery (Ulery Energy) addressed the Commission supporting solar energy.

Kevin Alons (Salix) addressed the Commission regarding the use of solar on agricultural land as not an ag use, heavily subsidized, and questioned revenue for county.

Rebecca Moerer (Sioux City) addressed the Commission about not supporting solar in agriculture areas as it disturbs wildlife, and questions whether revenue would go.

Jesus Cendejas (Salix) addressed the Commission expressing concern for landowner stewardship, land depreciation, and impact of solar on neighbors.

Elizabeth Widman (Sergeant Bluff) addressed the Commission offering environmental concerns, impact on neighbors, glare, and noise issues.

Leo Jochum (Salix) addressed the Commission in favor of utility solar indicating that solar can co-exist with reasonable setbacks.

Ann Johnston (Salix) addressed the Commission opposing utility solar and questioning its recyclability Will Dougherty (MidAmerican Energy) addressed the Commission indicating that there is not a one size fits all approach, plans could be put in place for decommissioning, buffers, and screening.

Leo Jochum submitted information sheet to Commissioners. Motion to accept: O'Tool. Second: Parker. Carried: 5-0. See received content beginning on Page 4 of the minutes.

Priestley presented photo of the utility solar system abutting Port Neal Road. He also should example photos of agrisolar or agrivoltaics.

Priestley provided a range of topics as an overview for a potential ordinance including: appropriate locations; ordinance type(s); process type(s); information collection; permitting requirements; and definitions. Priestey also discussed the concept of an "overlay district" which could be used in conjunction with the existing underlying zoning district. In particular, an overlay district is not intended to be a free-standing zoning district. It is applied to the

RECEIVED FROM LEO JOCHUM (SALIX) - 6 PAGES

The first sheet is three farms located north of CF industries in the G1 zone. Notice the CSR1 is 58 to 60, CSR 2 is around 10 to 12 points higher. This will be consistent throughout the higher quality soil in this area.

The left side of the next sheet short America solar project is located with a CSR 182 of 61.9 and 71.1 respectively

he right side of the page hows over 600 acres shows over 600 acres between hiway 75 and Interstate 29 with vory high CSR1 and CSR2. The farms on these two shoots are within a large area which spans about is miles from cast to west and are very consistent in quality. The land being discussed for solar is East of this area which has beavier soils and lower elevation

The last three sheets represent farms located North and Fast of Salix that have CSR 1 i millings in the mild 40 with the exception of one. However the CSR2 increases by 30 plut points. The CSR1 raining in more relevant for land quality in that area because CSR2 has removed the rainfall factor. For this reason, I don't think CSR should be considered for conditional use.

4

6





LEGAL NOTIFICATION

Publis	shed in the Sioux City Journal's	s Legal	Section on September 14, 2023
NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING BEFORE THE WOODBURY COUNTY BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT	The Woodbury Curry Board of Agustment will nod a public heating an inter Moving an Interaction Restingent in detail on October 2, 2233 (EG) MN or as some heating that are the matter may be considered. Said heating will be heat in the Beard of Dargeles Street control Controls. Explores on the Sour Control Controls are and source of the Controls and the office of heat Sour Control Controls are and the mark with the examinated at the office of heat source of a source of a source of the examplement, on the efficiency of a so the output of the source of a source Development, on the efficience of a source Development of the control of a source Development of the source of source of source Development of the control of a source Development of the source of source of source Development of the control of source Development of the control of source of source of source Development of the control of source of source of source Development of the control of source of source of source Development of the control of source of sour	However, it is necommended to attend in person as there is the possibility for technical difficulties with phore and computer systems.	Vacuant to Section 535 of the Code of town, the Woodbury Codent Section 535 of the Code of town, the Model and Aulte hering to consider the Confidence line arming the consider the rechnology Group 294 Montine (Applicant) rechnology rechnology in the rechnology rechnology in the rechnology is boated about 25 MW arms applicant (Amplicant) (Amplicant) Badrin A Stroba (Alabout 294 Montine Badrin A Stroba (Alabout 294 Montine) Badrin A Stroba (Stal) (Amblicant) (Amplicant) Ambout, A Stroba (Stal) (Ambout) (Amplicant) Ambout, A Stroba (Stal) (Amblicant) (Amblicant) Ambout, Astroba (Stal) (Amblicant) (Amblicant) Ambout, Ambout, Ambout, Amblicant) Ambout, Ambout, Ambout

PROPERTY OWNER(S) NOT	IFICATION					MAP	
Total Property Owners within or Abstractor's Listing:	ne (1) Mile via Certified	33					
Notification Letter Date:	September 1	3, 2023			_		
Public Meeting for Review:	September 2	5, 2023	(Zoning C	commission)			
Public Hearing Board:		Board of Adj			,	Grant	
				it.			
Public Hearing Date:		October 2, 20	023				
Phone Inquiries:		0					
Written Inquiries:		0				0	
The names of the property owned	ers are listed below.					-	
When more comments are recei	ved after the printing of t	his nacket they w	vill be n	rovided at	the meeting		
Property Owner(s)	Mailing Address	ins pucket, they w	in be p	iovided at	Comments		
Alta Patterson Trust	36 Eastview Dr Apt 315	Sioux City	IA	51106	No comments.		
Amanda Marie Wodtke / Brooke Lynn Wodtke / Marcus James Wodtke Michael Aaron Wodtke / Samantha Lea Wodtke	1106 Ridgewood Dr	Huxley	IA	50124	No comments.		
Andrew J. Rosauer	3954 250th St	Anthon	IA	51004	No comments.		
Baldwin Properties LLC Bernard F. Ketelsen & Barbara L. Ketelsen	2406 Mason Ave 3812 250th St	Anthon Anthon	IA IA	51004 51004	No comments. No comments.		
Brett N. Baldwin & Jody A. Baldwin	2406 Mason Ave	Anthon	IA	51004	No comments.		
CICS Investments LLC	2075 NE 126th Ave	Alleman	IA	50007	No comments.		
David L. Bumsted & Karen K. Bumsted Trust	418 N Crawford Rd	Vermillion	SD	57069	No comments.		
Dennis J. Walling	407 S 3rd Ave	Anthon	IA	51004	No comments.		
Dennis J. Walling & Julie A. Walling	407 S 3rd Ave	Anthon	IA	51004	No comments.		
Dorothy E. Parker Le Rem	2 Sunrise Ave Apt C 2 Sunrise Ave Apt C	Mapleton	IA	51034	No comments.		
Dorothy Parker Douglas E. Spies Revocable	2520 Morgan Trl	Mapleton Anthon	IA IA	51034 51004	No comments. No comments.		
Living Trust Frank Fundermann & Carol Fundermann Joint Revocable Trust	1693 260th St	Red Oak	IA	51566	No comments.		
Fundermann Family Farms LLC	604 6th St	Battle Creek	IA	51006	No comments.		
Gary E. Bumsted & Eileen G. Bumsted Revocable Trust	5436 Stone Ave	Sioux City	IA	51106	No comments.		
Henry Patterson c/o Rose Patterson	36 Eastview Dr Apt 315	Sioux City	IA	51106	No comments.		
John Dixon & Linda Dixon	2417 Morgan Trl	Anthon	IA	51004	No comments.		
Joint Declaration of Trust	21024 Leesa Ln	Kearney	M O	64060	No comments.		
Lavern W. Botcher & Alice E. Botcher	702 North Walnut	Avoca	IA	51521	No comments.		
Mark Baldwin & Shelle Baldwin	2439 Mason Ave	Anthon	IA	51004	No comments.		
Mark D. Baldwin & Shelle J. Baldwin	3846 245th St	Anthon	IA	51004	No comments.		

Mary R. Hayworth	N/A - Undeliverable/Mail Returned				No comments.
Meyer Family Farm LLC	PO Box 214	Anthon	IA	51004	No comments.
Michael R. Drea & Donna C. Drea	139 Golden Dr	Sergeant Bluff	IA	51054	No comments.
Parker Land & Cattle Inc	2314 Kossuth Ave	Anthon	IA	51004	No comments.
Paul A. Rosauer	2581 Mason Ave	Anthon	IA	51004	No comments.
Paul H. Ludwig & Barbara K. Ludwig	301 2nd Ave S	Anthon	IA	51004	No comments.
Phillip E. Hayworth & Stella M. Hayworth	3818 245th St	Anthon	IA	51004	No comments.
Richard W. Enockson & Judith A. Enockson	187 Brookline Trail	Dakota Dunes	SD	57049	No comments.
Robert J. Fundermann & Angela J. Fundermann	3805 245th St	Anthon	IA	51004	No comments.
Susan Ristuben Asher Trust	3106 E Mores Trail St	Meridian	ID	83642	No comments.
Wayne C. Funderman Revocable Living Trust	3780 245th St	Anthon	IA	51004	No comments.
William A. Fleck & Judy M. Fleck	3798 240th St	Anthon	IA	51004	No comments.

STAKEHOLDER COMMENTS		
911 COMMUNICATIONS CENTER:	No comments.	
FIBERCOMM:	No comments.	
IOWA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES (IDNR):	No comments.	
IOWA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (IDOT):	No comments.	
LOESS HILLS NATIONAL SCENIC BYWAY:	No comments.	
LOESS HILLS PROGRAM:	No comments.	
LONGLINES:	No comments.	
LUMEN:	No comments.	No comments.
MAGELLAN PIPELINE:	No comments.	
MIDAMERICAN ENERGY COMPANY (Electrical Division):	I have reviewed the following requested conditional use per conflicts. – Casey Meinen, 9/1/23.	mit for MEC electric and we have no
MIDAMERICAN ENERGY COMPANY (Gas Division):	No conflicts for MEC Gas. – Tyler Ahlquist, 9/5/23.	
NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICES	No comments.	
(NRCS):		
NORTHERN NATURAL GAS:	No comments.	
NORTHWEST IOWA POWER COOPERATIVE (NIPCO):	Have reviewed this zoning request. NIPCO has no issues w	ith this request. – Jeff Zettel, 9/5/23.
NUSTAR PIPELINE:	No comments.	
SIOUXLAND DISTRICT HEALTH DEPARTMENT:	No comments.	
WIATEL:	No comments.	
WOODBURY COUNTY ASSESSOR:	No comments.	
WOODBURY COUNTY CONSERVATION:	No comments.	
WOODBURY COUNTY EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT:	No comments.	
WOODBURY COUNTY EMERGENCY SERVICES:	No comments.	
WOODBURY COUNTY ENGINEER:	I have no concerns with this proposed conditional use. Exist best as I was able to determine. If dedicated access is need department for a driveway permit. – Mark Nahra, 9/1/23.	
WOODBURY COUNTY RECORDER:	No comments. – Diane Swoboda Peterson, 9/5/23.	
WOODBURY COUNTY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE (REC):	No comments.	
WOODBURY COUNTY SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT:	The WCSWCD has no comments regarding this conditional	use permit. – Neil Stockfleth, 9/6/23.

REVIEW REQUIREMENTS - IOWA CODE SECTION 8C.3 (https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/8c.pdf)

LOCAL GOVERNMENTS CANNOT:

In order to ensure uniformity across this state with respect to the consideration of every application, and notwithstanding any other provision to the contrary, an authority shall not do any of the following:

1. Require an applicant to submit information about, or evaluate an applicant's business decisions with respect to, the applicant's designed service, customer demand for service, or quality of the applicant's service to or from a particular area or site, but may require propagation maps solely for the purpose of identifying the location of the coverage or capacity gap or need for applications for new towers in an area zoned residential.

2. a. Evaluate an application based on the availability of other potential locations for the placement or construction of a tower or transmission equipment. b. Require the applicant to establish other options for collocation instead of the construction of a new tower or modification of an existing tower or existing base station that constitutes a substantial change to an existing tower or existing base station. c. Notwithstanding paragraph "b", an authority shall require an applicant applying for the construction of a new tower to provide an explanation regarding the reason for choosing the proposed location and the reason the applicant did not choose collocation. The explanation shall include a sworm statement from an individual who has responsibility over placement of the tower attesting that collocation within the area determined by the applicant to meet the applicant's radio frequency engineering requirements for the placement of a site would not result in the same mobile service functionality, coverage, and capacity, is technically infeasible, or is economically burdensome to the applicant.

3. Dictate the type of transmission equipment or technology to be used by the applicant or discriminate between different types of infrastructure or technology.

4. a. Require the removal of existing towers, base stations, or transmission equipment, wherever located, as a condition to approval of an application. b. Notwithstanding paragraph "a", the authority may adopt reasonable rules regarding removal of abandoned towers or transmission equipment.

5. Impose environmental testing, sampling, or monitoring requirements, or other compliance measures, for radio frequency emissions from transmission equipment that are categorically excluded under the federal communications commission's rules for radio frequency emissions pursuant to 47 C.F.R. §1.1307(b)(1).

6. Establish or enforce regulations or procedures for radio frequency signal strength or the adequacy of service quality.

7. Reject an application, in whole or in part, based on perceived or alleged environmental effects of radio frequency emissions, as provided in 47 U.S.C. \$332(c)(7)(B)(iv).

8. Prohibit the placement of emergency power systems that comply with federal and state environmental requirements.

9. Charge an application fee, consulting fee, or other fee associated with the submission, review, processing, or approval of an application, unless the fee charged is in compliance with this section. Fees imposed by an authority or by a third-party entity providing review or technical consultation to the authority shall be based on actual, direct, and reasonable administrative costs incurred for the review, processing, and approval of an application. In no case shall total charges and fees exceed five hundred dollars for an eligible facilities request or three thousand dollars for an application for a new tower, for the initial placement or installation of transmission equipment on a wireless support structure, for a modification of an existing tower or existing base station that constitutes a substantial change to an existing tower or base station, or any other application to construct or place transmission equipment that does not constitute an eligible facilities request. An authority or any third-party entity shall not include within its charges any travel expenses incurred in the review of an application for more than one trip to the authority's jurisdiction, and an applicant shall not be required to pay or reimburse an authority for consultant or other third-party fees based on a contringency-based or result-based arrangement.

10. Impose surety requirements, including bonds, escrow deposits, letters of credit, or any other type of financial surety, to ensure that abandoned or unused towers or transmission equipment can be removed, unless requirements are competitively neutral, nondiscriminatory, reasonable in amount, and commensurate with the historical record for local facilities and structures that are abandoned.

11. Condition the approval of an application on the applicant's agreement to provide space on or near the tower, base station, or wireless support structure for authority or local governmental or nongovernmental services at less than the market rate for such space or to provide other services via the structure or facilities at less than the market rate for such space.

12. Limit the duration of the approval of an application, except that construction of the approved structure or facilities shall be commenced within two years of final approval, including the disposition of any appeals, and diligently pursued to completion.

13. Discriminate on the basis of the ownership, including ownership by the authority, of any property, structure, or tower when promulgating rules or procedures for siting wireless facilities or for evaluating applications.

ZONING ORDINANCE CRITERIA FOR BOARD APPROVAL

Conditional Use Permits are determined by a review of the following criteria by the Zoning Commission (ZC) and Board of Adjustment (BOA). The ZC makes a recommendation to the BOA which will decide following a public hearing before the Board.

APPLICANT'S DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED CONDITIONAL USE:

Nextlink would like the approval to install a new 120' galvanized steel mono pole to provide high speed internet to surrounding areas.

PER SECTION 2.02(9) (C)(2)(e) PROVIDE A MAP DRAWN TO SCALE, SHOWING THE SUBJECT PROPERTY, ALL STRUCTURES AND OTHER IMPROVEMENTS, WITH THE PROPOSED CONDITIONAL USE IDENTIFIED PER STRUCTURE OR IMPROVEMENT. PROVIDE BY ATTACHMENT.

1. Maps

a. See attachment

CRITERIA 1:

The conditional use requested is authorized as a conditional use in the zoning district within which the property is located and that any specific conditions or standards described as part of that authorization have been or will be satisfied (Woodbury County Zoning Ordinance, Sec. 2.02-9).

Applicant Response:

The conditional use is for commercial/telecommunication in a AP zoned area. All standards described will be satisfied by our team and crew.

Staff Analysis:

This conditional use permit requested is authorized in the Agricultural Preservation (AP) Zoning District. This request will satisfy any and all requirements as per the Zoning Ordinance.

CRITERIA 2:

The proposed use and development will be in harmony with the general purpose and intent of this ordinance and the goals, objectives and standards of the general plan (Woodbury County Zoning Ordinance, Sec. 2.02-9).

Applicant Response:

Nextlink takes pride in its process of putting towers up in a timely fashion and we hold crews to high standards to complete each process thoroughly.

Staff Analysis:

The granting of this request will assist with adding to the communication infrastructure of the surrounding area, it complies with the general purpose of the general plan.

CRITERIA 3:

The proposed use and development will not have a substantial or undue adverse effect upon adjacent property, the character of the neighborhood, traffic conditions, parking, utility facilities, and other factors affecting the public health, safety and general welfare (Woodbury County Zoning Ordinance, Sec. 2.02-9).

Applicant Response:

The location that we are looking at installing this tower at, on the property, is towards the middle of the property itself. This will not have a substantial adverse effect on adjacent properties. It will not affect the character of the neighborhood, traffic conditions, parking, utility facilities, or any other factors affecting public health, safety, and general welfare. The outcome of this project is to provide high speed internet to the surrounding areas, but to do it in a safe and efficient manner.

Staff Analysis:

The plans submitted comply with the parameters of Section 5.05 of the Zoning Ordinance. This proposal does not appear to adversely impact the neighborhood, traffic, parking, utility facilities, public health, safety and general welfare. The proposed tower meets the setbacks from the property lines with either meeting or exceeding 120 feet from the property lines (see site plan).

CRITERIA 4:

The proposed use and development will be located, designed, constructed and operated in such a manner that it will be compatible with the immediate neighborhood and will not interfere with the orderly use, development and improvement of surrounding property (Woodbury County Zoning Ordinance, Sec. 2.02-9).

Applicant Response:

The proposed development will be towards the middle of the Baldwin's property. Our crews tend to work fast, when they obtain the green light from permitting and have obtained the permission to move forward with the project. This will be compatible with the immediate neighborhood and will not interfere with the orderly use, development and improvement of surrounding property. It adds to the surrounding area by providing internet to the individuals who live around this property.

Staff Analysis:

The plans submitted comply with the parameters of Section 5.05 of the Zoning Ordinance. This proposal is compatible with the neighborhood as noted in Criteria 3.

CRITERIA 5:

Essential public facilities and services will adequately serve the proposed use or development (Woodbury County Zoning Ordinance, Sec. 2.02-9).

Applicant Response:

N/A: We will not need the use of essential public facilities and services.

Staff Analysis:

The plans submitted comply with the Zoning Ordinance.

CRITERIA 6:

The proposed use or development will not result in unnecessary adverse effects upon any significant natural, scenic or historic features of the subject property or adjacent properties (Woodbury County Zoning Ordinance, Sec. 2.02-9).

Applicant Response:

This location is not located in any historical district, nor will it result in unnecessary adverse effects on the natural features around it due to its location.

Staff Analysis:

The plans submitted comply with the Zoning Ordinance. There have been no concerns presented from stakeholders.

OTHER CONSIDERATION 1:

The proposed use or development, at the particular location is necessary or desirable to provide a service or facility that is in the public interest or will contribute to the general welfare of the neighborhood or community (Woodbury County Zoning Ordinance, Sec. 2.02-9).

Staff Analysis:

This CUP request could be interpreted as a contribution to the local communication infrastructure.

OTHER CONSIDERATION 2:

All possible efforts, including building and site design, landscaping and screening have been undertaken to minimize any adverse effects of the proposed use or development (Woodbury County Zoning Ordinance, Sec. 2.02-9).

Staff Analysis:

The design of the tower and its proposed use clearly distinguishes itself as a communication structure. The plans submitted comply with the Zoning Ordinance. Under Iowa Code 8C, local governments cannot "dictate the type of transmission equipment or technology to be used, or discriminate between different types of infrastructure or technology."





WOODBURY COUNTY COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Zoning Ordinance Section 2.02(9) Page 1 of 6

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT APPLICATION

Owner Information:	1	
shall Quidan		nt Information:
Owner Shelli Baldwin	Applicant	Amin Technology Investment Group
Address 3844 245th St.	Address	95 Parker Oaks Ln.
Anthon, 14 5100-1		Hudion Cabs, TX 76087
Phone 712-870-0584	Phone	682-789-6680
We, the undersigned, hereby apply to the Woodbury County		
Install a 120' monopole to supply high	in speed	internet to surrounding areas.
Property Information:		
Property Address 3846 245th St. Avril	00.0 10	SLOOT NO STSW
Quarter/Quarter SESW Sec 14	Turnet	STOP BT MILLEN
Parcel ID # 874316300005 GIS #	1 W11311	Total Acres 40
Current Use Pariculture	Proposed Lis	
Current Zoning AP	10000000	
approval hall a fer here	ed herein is ti taff, Zoning C all be require	nin and corrori I headly also any assess that
X11212022	Applicant	Mugue CAODP_
Outre 2/14/2023		standards that are in effect at the time of final

PER SECTION 2.02(9)(C)(2 (d) PROVIDE A SPECIFIC DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED CONDITIONAL USE: (Tab at the end of each line to continue)

Nextlink would like the approval to install a new 120' galvanized steel mono pole to provide high speed internet to surrounding areas.

PER SECTION 2.02(9) (C)(2)(e) PROVIDE A MAP DRAWN TO SCALE, SHOWING THE SUBJECT PROPERTY, ALL STRUCTURES AND OTHER IMPROVEMENTS, WITH THE PROPOSED CONDITIONAL USE IDENTIFIED PER STRUCTURE OR IMPROVEMENT. PROVIDE BY ATTACHMENT.

Please see attached engineer plans.

PER SECTION 2.02(9) (C)(2)(e) PROVIDE A STATEMENT IN RESPONSE TO EACH OF SIX BELOW CRITEREA AND STANDARDS FOR APPROVAL OF CONDITIONAL USES AS LISTED IN SECTION 2.02(9)F OF THE ORDINANCES. (Tab at the end of each line to continue)

(a) Provide a statement to why you feel the conditional use requested is authorized as a conditional use in the zoning district within which the property is located and that any specific conditions or standards described as part of that authorization have been or will be satisfied.

The conditional use is for commercial/telecommunication in a AP zoned area. All standards described will be satisfied by our team and crew.

(b) Provide a statement to why the proposed use and development will be in harmony with the general purpose and intent of this ordinance and the goals, objectives and standards of the general plan. (Tab at the end of each line to continue)

Nextlink takes pride in its process of putting towers up in a timely fashion and we hold crews to high standards to complete each process thoroughly.

(e) Provide a statement to why essential public facilities and services will adequately serve the proposed use or development. (Tab at the end of each line to continue)

N/A: We will not need the use of essential public facilities and services.

(f) Provide a statement to why the proposed use or development will not result in unnecessary adverse effects upon any significant natural, scenic or historic features of the subject property or adjacent properties. (Tab at the end of each line to continue)
 This location is not located in any historical district, nor will it result in unnecessary adverse effects on the natural features around it due to its location.

(c) Provide a statement to why the proposed use and development will not have a substantial or undue adverse effect upon adjacent property, the character of the neighborhood, traffic conditions, parking, utility facilities, and other factors affecting the public health, safety and general welfare. (Tab at the end of each line to continue)

The location that we are looking at installing this tower at, on the property, is towards the middle of the property itself. This will not have a substantial adverse effect on adjacent properties. It will not affect the character of the neighborhood, traffic conditions, parking, utility facilities, or any other factors affecting public health, safety, and general welfare. The outcome of this project is to provide high speed internet to the surrounding areas, but to do it in a safe and efficient manner.

(d) Provide a statement to why the proposed use and development will be located, designed, constructed and operated in such a manner that it will be compatible with the immediate neighborhood and will not interfere with the orderly use, development and improvement of surrounding property. (Tab at the end of each line to continue)
The proposed development will be towards the middle of the Baldwin's property. Our crews tend to work fast, when they obtain the green light from permitting and have obtained the permission to move foward with the project. This will be compatible with the immediate neighborhood and will not interfere with the orderly use, development and improvement of surrounding property. It adds to the surrounding area by providing internet to the individuals who live around this property.











ANTENNA AND EQUIPMENT SUM

INT SUVMARY							113	119	ELEVATION (AGL, FT)							
							(PROPOSED)		, FT) CARRIER							
							MUSCO TOMER RING	MUSCO TOWER RING	MOUNT							
							(2) RADIOWANE HP2-11 (2) CAMBIUM PTP820S	(6) CAMBIUM PMP-3000	EQUIPMENT							
							(+) 0.26" GATEE	(9) 0.26" CATSE	FEEDLINES							
							INSIDE		LOCATION							
SCALE NTS 1																
, x , c	AN LENNA & EQUIPMENT SUMMARY SHELINUMBER: A-5	SHEET INFO	D ZB43	A LICOB	A share but	REV DATE REVISICAL NCRY BY A 07720/5 PREUNARY 01 0 07220/25 FINAL 01 1 08/29/25 FINAL 01	3846 245TH ST., ANTHON, IA 51004	SITE ADDRESS:		IA-ANTHON-SO-4	(469) 531-11/6 WWW.cdiscom.com	2500 S. SHORE BLVD. SUITE 300	NLANS PREPARED NY:	HUDSON DAKS, TEXAS 76087	SE PARKER DAKS IN	FLANS PREPARED FOR:





















FOUNDATION DRAWING



Tectonic⁷

Structural Analysis Report

Tower Manufacturer: Tower Owner:

Musco – Wireless Structures Nextlink 120A Type 7B Precast Base Latitude 41° 41' 54.528", Longitude -97° 55' 55.596" Boone County, Nebraska 120 ft Monopole Tower

Date: June 07, 2023

June 07, 2023 Albion, NE Page 3

Tectonic Project Number:

Location:

Tectonic Engineering & Surveying Consultants P.C. is pleased to submit this "Structural Analysis Report" to determine the structural integrity of the above-mentioned tower.

11247.NE-ALBION

The purpose of the analysis is to determine acceptability of the tower stress level. Based on our analysis we have determined the tower stress level for the structure and foundation to be:

Structure: Sufficient Foundation: Sufficient

Tower Type: Foundation Type:

This analysis has been performed in accordance with the 2018 Internal Building Code and the ANSI/TIA-222-H-1-2019 based upon an ultimate 3-second gust wind speed of 112 mph. Exposure Category C with a maximum topographic factor, Kzt, of 1.0 and Risk Category II were used in this analysis.

All equipment proposed in this report shall be installed in accordance with this analysis for the determined available structural capacity to be effective.

We at Tectonic appreciate the opportunity of providing our continuing professional services to you. If you have any questions or need further assistance on this or any other projects, please give us a call.

Structural analysis prepared by: Mahesh Chillarge / Vinod Ramesh



Project Contact Info

1279 Route 300 | Newburgh, NY 12550 845.567.6656 Tel | 845.567.8703 Fax

clonicengineering.com ual Opportunity Employe

120 Fl Monopole Tower Structural Analysis Project Number 11247.NE-ALBION	
1) INTRODUCTION	

This tower is a 120 ft Monopole, Type 120A designed and manufactured by Musco – Wireless Structures. The tower is proposed to be installed to support Nextlink equipments at the location referenced above.

2) ANALYSIS CRITERIA

Building Code:	2018 IBC
TIA-222 Revision:	TIA-222-H
Risk Category:	11
Wind Speed:	112 mph
Exposure Category:	С
Topographic Factor:	1.0
Ice Thickness:	1.0 in
Wind Speed with Ice:	50 mph
Seismic Ss:	0.122
Seismic S1:	0.042
Service Wind Speed:	60 mph

______Table 1 - Proposed Equipment Configuration

Mounting Level (ft)	Carrier Designation	Number of Antennas	Antenna	Antenna Model	Number of Feed Lines	Feed Line Size (in)	Note	
		3	Alpha Wireless	AW3802-T2-H				
118.0	Nextlink		6	Cambium Networks	ePMP 3000	3	7/8	-
		3	Musco	2.375" OD x 4' Mount Pipes				
	0	3	Radiowaves	HP2-11				
110.0		3	Musco	2.375" OD x 4' Mount Pipes	3	7/8	-	
5.0	1	1	-	Equipment Cabinet	-	-	-	

3) ANALYSIS PROCEDURE

Table 2 - Documents Provided				
Document	Remarks	Dated		
7B CONCRETE BASE DETAILS - REV R	MUSCO	12/30/16		
ICC-ES EVALUATION REPORT (ESR-3765)	ICC EVALUATION SERVICE	May 2022		
TOWER ELEVATION DRAWING	MUSCO	-		

3.1) Analysis Method

tnxTower (version 8.1.1.0), a commercially available analysis software package, was used to create a three-dimensional model of the tower and calculate member stresses for various loading cases. Selected output from the analysis is included in Appendix A.

3.2) Assumptions

- 1) 2)
- Tower structure shall be fabricated in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications. The configuration of antennas, transmission cables, mounts and other appurtenances are as specified in Tables 1.
- specified in Tables 1.
 The material grade for the pole shaft is assumed to be A572 Gr. 55 steel.

tnxTower Report - version 8.1.1.0

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1) INTRODUCTION

2) ANALYSIS CRITERIA Table 1 - Proposed Antenna and Cable Information 3) ANALYSIS PROCEDURE Table 2 - Documents Provided

Table 2 - Documents 3.1) Analysis Method 3.2) Assumptions

4) ANALYSIS RESULTS Table 3 - Section Capacity (Summary) Table 4 - Tower Component Stresses vs. Capacity Table 5 - Tower Service Load Deflections 4.1) Recommendations

5) APPENDIX A tnxTower Output

6) APPENDIX B Additional Calculations

InxTower Report - version 8.1.1.0

120 Fl Monopole Tower Structural Analysis Project Number 11247.NE-ALBION

June 07, 2023 Albion, NE Page 4

Precast foundation has been evaluated based on presumptive soil parameters per Annex F in accordance with the ANSI/TIA-222-H-1-2019 and per 2018 IBC, section 1808 for soil material class 5.

This analysis is solely for the supporting tower structure, and it may be affected if any assumptions are not valid or have been made in error. Tectonic should be notified to determine the effect on the structural integrity of the tower.

4) ANALYSIS RESULTS

Table 3 - Section Capacity (Summary)

Section No.	Elevation (ft)	Component Type	Size	Critical Element	P (K)	SF*P_allow (K)	% Capacity	Pass / Fail
L1	121.107 - 86.393	Pole	TP13.4x8.54x0.179	1	-1.193	336.267	29.3	Pass
L2	86.393 - 66.93	Pole	TP15.75x12.576x0.179	2	-2.026	380.776	44.9	Pass
L3	66.93 - 28.997	Pole	TP20.7x14.974x0.239	3	-4.354	672.132	45.5	Pass
L4	28.997 - 2	Polo	TP24x19.709x0.313	4	-7.519	1070.900	39.7	Pass
							Summary	
						Pole (L3)	45.5	Pass
						Rating =	45.5	Pass

Table 4 - Tower Component Stresses vs. Capacity

Notes	Component	Elevation (ft)	% Capacity	Pass / Fail Pass	
1	Base Foundation (Structure)	0	50.0		
1	Base Foundation (Soil Interaction)	0	16.2	Pass	

50.0% Structure Rating (max from all components) =

See additional documentation in "Appendix B - Additional Calculations" for calculations supporting the % capacity

Table 5 - Tower Service Load Deflections

Component	At Top	Allowable	Percentage Ratio	Pass / Fail
Horizontal Deflection (inch)	22.195	36.0 inch	61.16%	Pass
Twist & Sway (deg)	1.657	4.000 deg	41.42%	Pass

4.1) Recommendations

The proposed tower and foundation have sufficient capacity to support the load configurations as shown in Table 1. The tower shall be installed in accordance with the approved construction drawings and manufacturer recommendations.

We recommend a site-specific geotechnical investigation be performed and verify the presumptive soil parameters noted in this report and foundation design drawings prior to construction.

tnxTower Report - version B.1.1.0




op Bottom Wall Bend Pole Grade
notor Diamotor Thicknoss Radius n in in in
(55 ksi) 974 20,700 0,239 A572-55
(55 ksi) 709 24,000 0.313 A572-55

					Taper	ed Pole	Prop	erties				
Section	Tip Dia. in	Area ia ²	l in ⁴	_	r in	C in	VC in ²	J in ⁴	IVQ in ²	w	w/t	_
L1	8.540	4.702	41.10	34	2.957	4.270	9.626	82.208	2.349	0.00	0 0	
	13.400	7.435	162.4	75	4.675	6.700	24.250	324.949	3.715	0.00		
L2	13.040	6.9/1	133.9	47	4.383	6.288	21.302	267.893	3.484	0.00		
	15.750	8.756	265.4		5.506	7.875	33.703	530.823	4.376	0.00		
L3	15.394	11.068	300.4		5.210	1.48/	40.133	600.958	5.531	0.00		
	20.700	15.369	804.4		7.235	10.350	77.720	1608.803	7.680	0.00		
L4	20.222	19.042	895.7		6.859	9.854	90.897	1791.484	9.515	0.00		
	24.000	23.255	1631.3	335	8.376	12.000	135.945	3262.670	11.621	0.00	0 0	
Tower			Susset	Gu	isset Grade∧	djust. Factor A:	Adjust. Factor	Weight Mu		e Angle h Bolt	Double Angle Stitch Bolt	Double Angl Stitch Bolt
ſſ	(per f		in				Ar		Diag	icing ionals	Spacing Horizontals in	Spacing Rodundants in
L1 121.10						1	1	1				
86.393												
L2 86.39 66.930						1	1	1				
L3 66.93 28.997	0-					1	1	1				
L4 28.99						1	1	1				

Feed Line/Linear Appurtenances - Entered As Round Or Flat										
Description	Sector	Exclude From	Componen t	Placement	Total Number	Numbor Per Row	Start/En d	Width or Diamete	Porimete	Weight
		Torque Calculation	Тура	ħ			Position	in	in	plf
Step Bolts	С	No	Surface Ar (CaAa)	121.107 - 16.000	1	1	-0.250 0.250	0.375		2.000
afety Line 3/8	С	No	Surface Ar (CaAa)	121.107 - 16.000	1	1	0.000	0.375		0.220

ne/Linear Appurtenances - Entered As Area

Description	Face	Ailow Shield	Exclude From	Componen	Placement	Totai Number		C.A.	Wsight
	Lug		Torque Calculation	Турө	R			12711	plf
LDF5-50A(7/8")	с	No	No	Inside Pole	118.000 -	3	No Ice 1/2" Ice	0.000	0.330
DF5-50A(7/8")	с	No	No	Inside Pole	110.000 -	3	1" Ice No Ico	0.000 000.0	0.330 0.330
					2.000		1/2" Ice 1" Ice	0.000	0.330

120 Fl Monopole	Tower Structural Analysis
Project Number 1	1247.NE-ALBION

June 07, 2023 Albion, NE Page 9
7 ago 3

		S	hielding Factor Ka				
Tower Section	Feed Line Record No.	Description	Fand Line Segment Elcv.	K, No lce	K, Ice		
L1	1	Step Bolts	86.39 - 121.11	1.0000	1.0000		
L1	2	Safety Line 3/8	86.39 - 121.11	1.0000	1.0000		
L2	1	Step Bolts	66.93 - 86.39	1.0000	1.0000		
L2	2	Safety Line 3/8	66.93 - 86.39	1.0000	1.0000		
L3	1	Step Bolts	29.00 - 66.93	1.0000	1.0000		
L3	2	Safety Line 3/8	29.00 - 66.93	1.0000	1.0000		
L4	1	Step Bolts	16.00 - 29.00	1.0000	1.0000		
L4	2	Safety Line 3/8	16.00 -	1.0000	1.0000		

Description	Elevation	Offset From	Azimuth Angle	E,	Eix	E _v	Ε,
		Centroid	Angle				
	ít	ît 🛛		ĸ	К	ĸ	к
Seismic Tower Section 1 - 1	118.750	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.009
Seismic Tower Section 1 - 2	111.393	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.01B
Seismic Tower Section 1 - 3	101.393	0.000	0.000	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.017
Seismic Tower Section 1 - 4	91.393	0.000	0.000	0.006	0.000	0.000	0.015
Seismic Tower Section 2 - 1	88.327	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.004
Seismic Tower Section 2 - 2	81.930	0.000	0.000	0.006	0.000	0.000	0.013
Seismic Tower Section 2 - 3	71.930	0.000	0.000	0.007	0.000	0.000	0.011
Scismic Tower Section 3 - 1	69.464	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001
Seismic Tower Section 3 - 2	63.997	0.000	0.000	0.010	0.000	0.000	0.012
Scismic Tower Section 3 - 3	53.997	0.000	0.000	0.011	0.000	0.000	0.009
Seismic Tower Section 3 - 1	13.997	0.000	0.000	0.012	0.000	0.000	0.007
Seismic Tower Section 3 - 5	33.997	0.000	0.000	0.013	0.000	0.000	0.004
Seismic Tower Section 4 - 1	32.332	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000
Seismic Tower Section 4 - 2	27.000	0.000	0.000	0.017	0.000	0.000	0.004
Seismic Tower Section 4 - 3	17.000	0.000	0.000	0.018	0.000	0.000	0.001
Seismic Tower Section 4 - 4	7.000	0.000	0.000	0.019	0.000	0.000	0.000
Soismic alpha wireless ltd AW3802-T2-H	118.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.003
Soismic alpha wireless ltd AW3802-T2-H	118.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.003
Seismic alpha wireless ltd AW3802-T2-H	118.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.003
Seismic (2) cambium networks EPMP 3000	118.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001
Seismic (2) cambium networks EPMP 3000	118.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001
Seismic (2) cambium networks EPMP 3000	118.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001
Seismic mount pipes 4' x 2" STD Pipe	118.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002
Seismic mount pipes 4' x 2" STD Pipe	118.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002
Seismic mount pipes 4' x 2" STD Pipc	118.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002
Seismic mount pipes 4' x 4" STD Pipe	110.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.004
Seismic mount pipes 4' x 4" STD Pipe	110.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.004

0 Ft Monopol				sis				JL	Ine 07, 20 Albion, N
ojoct Numbor	11247.	NE-ALI	SION						Pago
Description		Allow Shield	Exclude From	Componen	Placement	Total Numbor	C ₄ A ₄	Weight	_

Towsr Sectio	Towsr Elevation	Face	A,,	A,	C.A. In Face	C.A. Out Face	Weight	
n	11		£12	£5	£2	N2	ĸ	
L1	121.107-86.393	B	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
		č	0.000	0.000	2.604	0.000	0.132	
L2	86.393-66.930	Ă	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
		в	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
		C	0.000	0.000	1.460	0.000	0.082	
L3	66.930-28.997	A	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
		B	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
L4	28.997-2.000	C	0.000	0.000	2.845	0.000	0.159	
L4	28.997-2.000	B	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
		č	0.000	0.000	0.975	0.000	0.082	

Tower Sectio	Tower Elevation	Face	/ce Thicknoss	As	۸.	C.A. In Face	C,A, Out Faco	Weight
n (†	tt	Leg	in	f12	£12	H2	Re	ĸ
L1 121.107-86.393	A	1.120	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
		в		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		C		0.000	0.000	18,157	0.000	0.274
L2	86.393-66.930	A	1.088	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		в		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		C		0.000	0.000	10.180	0.000	0.161
L3	66.930-28.997	A	1.037	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		B		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		C		0.000	0.000	19.346	0.000	0.307
L4	28.997-2.000	A	0.925	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		в		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
		č		0.000	0.000	6.366	0.000	0.129

		Fee	d Line Ce	enter of P	ressure	
Section	Elevation	CPx	CP2	CP _x Ice	CP ₂ Ice	
	ft	in	in	in	in	
L1	121.107-86.393	0.000	0.875	0.000	1.711	
L2	86.393-66.930	0.000	0.901	0.000	1.892	
L3	66.930-28.997	0.000	0.919	0.000	1.995	
L4	28.997-2.000	0.000	0.449	0.000	1.042	

Note: For pole sections, center of pressure calculations do not consider feed line shielding.

InxTower Report - version 8.1.1.0

June 07, 2023 Albion, NE Page 10

Description	Elevation	Offsøl From Centroid	Azimuth Angle	E,	E _M	ELe	E
	Ħ	R		к	к	κ	к
Seismic mount pipes 4" x 4" STD Pipe	110.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.004
Soismic miscl To25xh 36" Enclosure	5.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000
Scismic radiowayos HP2-11	110.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.003
Seismic radiowaves HP2-11	110.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.003
Scismic radiowavos HP2-11	110.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.003
Seismic miscl Step Bolts From 14 to 119.107	116.107	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.002
(109.107ft to119.107ft) Seismic miscl Step Bolts From 14 to 119.107 (99.107ft	106.107	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.002
to109.107ft) Seismic miscl Step Bolts From 14 to 119.107 (89.107ft	96.107	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.002
to99.107ft)							
Seismic miscl Step Bolts From 14 to 119.107 (79.107ft to89.107ft)	86.107	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001
Seismic miscl Step Bolts From 14 to 119.107 (69.10/ft to79.107ft)	76.107	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001
Seismic miscl Step Bolts From 14 to 119.107 (59.107ft	66.107	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001
to69.10/ft) Seismic miscl Step Bolts From 14 to 119.107 (49.107ft	56.107	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001
to59.107ft) Scismic miscl Stop Bolts From 14 to 119.107 (39.107ft	46.107	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000
to49.107ft) Seismic miscl Step Bolts From 14 to 119.107 (29.107ft	36.107	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000
to39.107ft) Seismic miscl Step Bolts From 14 to 119.107 (19.107ft	26.107	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000
to29.107ft) Seismic miscl Stop Bolts From 14 to 119.107 (14ft	18.554	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
to19.107ft) Seismic miscl Safety Line 3/8 From 14 to 119.107	116.107	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
(109.107ft to119.107ft) Seismic miscl Safety Line 3/8 From 14 to 119.107 (99.10/ft	106.107	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
to109.107ft) Seismic miscl Safety Line 3/8 From 14 to 119.107 (89.107ft	96.107	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
to99.107ft) Seismic miscl Safety Line 3/8 From 14 to 119.107 (79.107ft	86.107	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
to89.107ft) Scismic miscl Safety Line 3/8 From 14 to 119.107 (69.107ft	76.107	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
to79.107ft) Seismic miscl Safety Line 3/8 From 14 to 119.107 (59.107ft to69.107ft)	66.107	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Seismic miscl Safety Line 3/8 From 14 to 119.107 (49.107ft	56.107	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
to59.107ft) Seismic miscl Safety Line 3/8 From 14 to 119.107 (39.107ft to49.107ft)	46.107	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Seismic miscl Safety Line 3/8 From 14 to 119.107 (29.107ft to39.107ft)	36.107	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
to39.107ft) Seismic miscl Safety Line 3/8 From 14 to 119.107 (19.107ft	26.107	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

37

Description	Elevation	Offset From Centroid	Azimuth Anglo	E,	Eirr	Ew	E,
	tt	it Centroid	٥	к	к	к	к
to29.107ft) Seismic (3) androw LDF5- 50A(7/8") From 0 to 116	114.554	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001
(109.107ft to116ft) Seismic (3) andrew LDF5- 50A(7/8*) From 0 to 116 (99.107ft to109.107ft)	106.107	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001
Seismic (3) andrew LDF5- 50A(//8") From 0 to 116 (89.107ft to99.107ft)	96.107	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001
Seismic (3) andrew LDF5- 50A(7/8") From 0 to 116 (79.10/ft to89.10/ft)	86.107	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001
Seismic (3) andrew LDF5- 50A(7/8*) From 0 to 116 (69.107ft to79.107ft)	76.107	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001
Soismic (3) androw LDF5- 50A(7/8*) From 0 to 116 (59.107ft to69.107ft)	66.107	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Seismic (3) andrew LDF5- 50A(7/8*) From 0 to 116 (49.107ft lo59.107ft)	56.107	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Seismic (3) andrew LDF5- 50A(7/8 ⁻) From 0 to 116 (39.107ft to49.107ft)	46.107	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Seismic (3) andrew LDF5- 50A(7/8*) From 0 to 116 (29.107ft to39.107ft)	36.107	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Seismic (3) andrew LDF5- 50A(7/8*) From 0 to 116 (19.107ft to29.107ft)	26.107	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Seismic (3) andrew LDF5- 50A(//8") From 0 to 116 (9.107ft to19.107ft)	16.107	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Seismic (3) andrew LDF5- 0A(7/8") From 0 to 116 (0ft Io9.10/ft)	6.554	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Seismic (3) andrew LDF5- 50A(7/8") From 0 to 108 (99.107ft to 108ft)	105.554	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001
Scismic (3) androw LDF5- 50A(7/8") Fram 0 to 108 (89.107ft to99.107ft)	96.107	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001
Seismic (3) andrew LDF5- 50A(7/8*) From 0 to 108 (79.107ft to89.107ft)	B6.107	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001
Seismic (3) andrew LDF5- 50A(7/8*) From 0 to 108 (69.107ft to79.107ft)	76.107	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001
Seismic (3) andrew LDF5- 50A(7/8") Fram 0 to 108 (59.107ft to69.107ft)	66.107	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Seismic (3) andrew LDF5- 50A(7/8*) From 0 to 108 (49.107ft to59.107ft)	56.107	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Seismic (3) andrew LDF5- 50A(7/8") From 0 to 108 (39.107ft to49.107ft)	46.107	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Seismic (3) andrew LDF5- 50A(7/8") From 0 to 108 (29.10/fl to39.10/fl)	36.107	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Seismic (3) andrew LDF5- 50A(7/8*) From 0 to 108 (19.107ft to29.107ft)	26.107	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Soismic (3) androw LDF5- 50A(7/8*) From 0 to 108 (9.107ft to19.107ft)	16.107	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Seismic (3) andrew LDF5- 0A(7/8") From 0 to 108 (0ft	6.551	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

Number 1124		ral Analys 'ON	15					All
Description	Face or Leg	Olísel Туре	Offsets: Horz Lateral Vert	Azimuth Adjustmen I	Placement	C _A A _A Front	C.A. Side	Weight
			ft		At .	ft2	tt ^o	ĸ

			0.010		Dish	es					
Description	Face or Leg	Dish Type	Offset Type	Offsets: Horz Lateral Vort	Azimuth Adjustment	3 dB Beam Width	Elevation	Outside Diamotor		Aperture Area	Weigh
				R			ſt	a		112	к
HP2-11	A	Paraboloid w/Shroud (HP)	From Leg	1.000 0.000 0.000	Worst		110.000	2.042	No Ice 1/2" Ice 1" Ice	3.270 3.550 3.820	0.027 0.050 0.060
HP2-11	В	Paraboloid w/Shroud (HP)	From Leg	1.000 0.000 0.000	Worst		110.000	2.042	No Ice 1/2" Ice 1" Icc	3.270 3.550 3.820	0.027 0.050 0.060
HP2-11	¢	Paraboloid w/Shroud (HP)	From Log	1.000 0.000 0.000	Worst		110.000	2.042	No Ice 1/2" Ico 1" Ice	3.270 3.550 3.820	0.027 0.050 0.060

omb. No.	Description	
1	Dead Only	
2	1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 0 deg - No Ice	
3	0.9 Dead+1.0 Wind 0 deg - No Ice	
4	1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 30 deg - No Ice	
5	0.9 Dead+1.0 Wind 30 deg - No Ice	
6	1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 60 deg - No Ice	
7	0.9 Dead+1.0 Wind 60 deg - No Ice	
8	1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 90 deg - No Ice	
9	0.9 Dead+1.0 Wind 90 deg - No Ice	
10	1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 120 deg - No Ice	
11	0.9 Dead+1.0 Wind 120 deg - No Ice	
12	1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 150 deg - No Ice	
13	0.9 Dead+1.0 Wind 150 deg - No Ice	
14	1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 180 deg - No Ice	
15	0.9 Dead+1.0 Wind 180 deg - No Ice	
16	1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 210 deg - No Ice	
17	0.9 Dead+1.0 Wind 210 deg - No Ice	
18	1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 240 deg - No Ice	
19	0.9 Dead+1.0 Wind 240 deg - No Ice	
20	1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 270 deg - No Ice	
21	0.9 Dead+1.0 Wind 270 deg - No Ice	
22	1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 300 deg - No Ice	
23	0.9 Dead+1.0 Wind 300 deg - No Ice	
24	1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 330 deg - No Ice	
25	0.9 Dead+1.0 Wind 330 deg - No Ice	
26	1.2 Dead+1.0 Ico+1.0 Temp	
27	1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 0 deg+1.0 lce+1.0 Temp	
28	1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 30 deg+1.0 Ice+1.0 Temp	
29	1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 60 deg+1.0 lce+1.0 Temp	
30	1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 90 deg+1.0 Ice+1.0 Temp	
31	1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 120 deg+1.0 lce+1.0 Temp	
32	1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 150 deg+1.0 lce+1.0 Temp	
33	1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 180 deg+1.0 lce+1.0 Temp	

	Structural Anal	ysis						Albion,
ct Numbor 11247.	NE-ALBION							Page
Description	Elevation	Offset From	Azimuth Angla	E,	E _N	Ear	E2	
		Centroid				1.000		

					wer Loa				
Description	Face or Leg	Offset Type	Offsets: Horz Lateral Vert	Azimuth Adjustmen t	Placement		C, A, Front	C _A A ₄ Side	Weight
			ft fi		ft		112	ft ²	к
			ft						
AW3802-T2-H	A	From Leg	1.000	0.000	118.000	No Ice	2.625	1.034	0.027
			0.000			1/2"	2.837	1.195	0.044
			0.000			lce 1" lce	3.056	1.364	0.064
AW3802-T2-H	в	From Log	1.000	0.000	118,000	No lcc	2.625	1.034	0.027
			0.000			1/2"	2.837	1,195	0.044
			0.000			1° loe	3.056	1.364	0.064
AW3802-T2-H	с	From Log	1.000	0.000	118.000	No Ice	2.625	1.034	0.027
THE OWNER AND		110111 203	0.000	0.000	110.000	1/2"	2.837	1,195	0.011
			0.000			Ice	3.056	1.364	0.064
(2) EPMP 3000	A	From Leg	1.000	0.000	118.000	1" Ice No Ice	0.357	0.139	0.002
(2) EPMP 3000	~	From Leg	0.000	0.000	110.000	1/2"	0.437	0.139	0.002
			0.000			lce	0.524	0.266	0.008
			2.300			1" lcc			
(2) EPMP 3000	в	From Leg	1.000	0.000	118.000	No loe	0.357	0.139	0.002
			0.000			1/2"	0.437	0.199	0.004
			0.000			Ice 1" Ice	0.524	0.266	0.008
2) EPMP 3000	C	From Leg	1.000	0.000	118,000	No lce	0.357	0.139	0.002
			0.000			1/2"	0.437	0.199	0.004
			0.000			Ice 1" Ice	0.524	0.266	0.008
" x 2" STD Pipe	A	From Leg	0.500	0.000	118.000	No lce	0.866	0.866	0.015
		_	0.000			1/2"	1.111	1.111	0.022
			0.000			Ice 1" Ice	1.365	1.365	0.032
4" x 2" STD Pipe	в	From Leg	0.500	0.000	118,000	No loc	0.866	0.866	0.015
		-	0.000			1/2"	1.111	1.111	0.022
			0.000			1° Ice	1.365	1.365	0.032
* x 2" STD Pipo	с	From Log	0.500	0.000	118.000	No Ice	0.866	0.866	0.015
			0.000			1/2"	1.111	1.111	0.022
			0.000			Ice	1.365	1.365	0.032
***						1" loe			
x 4" STD Pipe	A	From Log	0.500	0.000	110.000	No loc	1.202	1.202	0.043
			0.000			1/2"	1.577	1.577	0.056
			0.000			lco	1.840	1.840	0.072
" x 4" STD Pipe	в	From Lea	0.500	0.000	110.000	1" Ice No Ice	1.202	1.202	0.043
A 4 STU Pipe	в	From Leg	0.500	0.000	110.000	No Ice 1/2"	1.202	1.202	0.043
			0.000			lce	1.840	1.840	0.072
						1" Ice			
4" x 4" STD Pipe	С	From Leg	0.500	0.000	110.000	No Ice	1.202	1.202	0.043
			0.000			1/2" Ice	1.577	1.577	0.056
			0.000			1° Ice	1.640	1.640	0.072
25xh 36" Enclosure	с	From Leg	1,500	0.000	5.000	No loe	6.420	6.120	0.090
and an analogung	0	on Leg	0.000	0.000	0.000	1/2"	6.743	6.437	0.155
			0.000			lcc	7.073	6.761	0.226
						1" Ice			

InxTower Report - version 8.1.1.0



lectio n No.	Elevation ft	Сотропын Туре	Condition	Guv. Load Comb.	Axinl K	Major Axis Moment kip-ft	Minur Axis Moment kip-ft
L1	121.107 - 86.393	Pole	Max Tension	3	0.000	-0.000	-0.000
			Max. Compression	26	-2.427	0.000	-0.113
			Max. Mx	20	-1.193	30.955	-0.042
			Max. My	11	-1.193	0.000	-30.997
			Max, Vy	20	-1.547	30.955	-0.042
			Max. Vx	14	1.547	0.000	-30.997
			Max. Torque	20			-0.000
L2	86.393 - 66.93	Pole	Max Tension	1	0.000	0.000	0.000
			Max. Compression	26	-3.793	0.001	-0.205
			Max. Mx	20	-2.026	65.721	-0.076
			Max. My	14	-2.026	0.000	-65.796
			Max. Vy	20	-1.963	65.721	-0.076
			Max. Vx	14	1.963	0.000	-65.796
			Max. Torque	22			-0.000
L3	66.93 - 28.997	Pole	Max Tension	1	0.000	0.000	0.000
			Max, Compression	26	-7.177	0.001	-0.403
			Max. Mx	20	-4.354	154.246	-0.156
			Max. My	14	-4.354	0.001	-154.401



Load Combination	Vertical	Shearx	Shear,	Overturning Moment, M.	Overturning Moment, M,	Torque
Comanaoan	ĸ	к	ĸ	kip-ft	kip-ft	kip-ft
Dead Only	6.268	0.000	0.000	0.265	0.193	0.000
1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 0 deg - No Ice	7.521	0.003	-3.528	-248.905	0.222	-0.351
0.9 Dead+1.0 Wind 0 deg - No Ice	5.641	0.003	-3.528	-245.921	0.164	-0.351
1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 30 deg - No Ice	7.521	1.769	-3.057	-215.519	-124.400	-0.203
0.9 Dead+1.0 Wind 30 deg - No Ice	5.641	1.769	-3.057	-212.947	-122.924	-0.203
1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 60 deg - No Ice	7.521	3.061	-1.767	-124.297	-215.627	-0.000
0.9 Dead+1.0 Wind 60 deg - No Icc	5.641	3.061	-1./6/	-122.847	-213.027	-0.000
1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 90 deg - No Ice	7.521	3.532	-0.003	0.320	-249.014	0.203
0.9 Dead+1.0 Wind 90 deg - No Ice	5.611	3.532	-0.003	0.235	-246.003	0.203
1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 120 deg - No Ice	7.521	3.057	1.761	124.938	-215.616	0.351
0.9 Dead+1.0 Wind 120 deg - No lee	5.641	3.057	1.761	123.319	-213.017	0.351
1.2 Doad+1.0 Wind 150 dog - No Ice	7.521	1.763	3.054	216.168	-124.381	0.406
0.9 Dead+1.0 Wind 150 deg - No Ice	5.641	1.763	3.054	213.426	-122.905	0.405
1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 180 deg - No Ice	7.521	-0.003	3.528	249.564	0.243	0.351
0.9 Dead+1.0 Wind 180 deg - No Ice	5.641	-0.003	3.528	246.410	0.185	0.351

Load Combination	Verticaí	Shear _s	Shear	Overturning Moment, My	Overturning Moment, My	Тогдив
Combination	к	к	ĸ	Moment, My kip-li	intoment, M ₂ kip-Ω	kip-fl
deg 0.9 Dead-1.0 Ev+1.0 Eh 30 deg	5.482	0.091	-0.167	-13.909	-1.997	-0.000
1.2 Doad+1.0 Ev+1.0 Eh 60 deg	7.680	0.157	-0.091	-7.966	-14.138	-0.000
0.9 Doad-1.0 Ev+1.0 Eh 60 deg	5.482	0.157	-0.091	-7.927	-13.980	-0.000
1.2 Doad+1.0 Ev+1.0 Eh 90 deg	7.680	0.182	0.000	0.331	-16.361	0.000
0.9 Dead-1.0 Ev+1.0 Eh 90 deg	5.482	0.181	0.000	0.245	-16.169	0.000
1.2 Dead+1.0 Ev+1.0 Eh 120 dog	7.680	0.157	0.091	8.628	-14.138	0.000
0.9 Dead-1.0 Ev+1.0 Eh 120 dog	5.482	0.157	0.091	8.417	-13.980	0.000
1.2 Dead+1.0 Ev+1.0 Eh 150 deg	7.680	0.091	0.157	14.702	-8.064	0.000
0.9 Dead-1.0 Ev+1.0 Eh 150 deg	5.482	0.091	0.157	14.399	-7.997	0.000
1.2 Dead+1.0 Ev+1.0 Eh 180 deg	7.680	0.000	0.182	16.925	0.233	0.000
0.9 Dead-1.0 Ev+1.0 Eh 180 dog 1.2 Dead+1.0 Ev+1.0 Eh 210	5.482	0.000	0.181	16.589	0.175	0.000
deg 0.9 Dead-1.0 Ev+1.0 Eh 210	5.482	-0.091	0.157	14.702	8.530	0.000
teg 1.2 Doad+1.0 Ev+1.0 Eh 240	7.680	-0.091	0.091	8.628	14.604	0.000
deg 0.9 Doad-1.0 Ev+1.0 Eh 240	5.482	-0.157	0.091	8.417	14.329	0.000
deg 1.2 Doad+1.0 Ev+1.0 Eh 270	7.680	-0.182	0.000	0.331	16.827	-0.000
deg 0.9 Dead-1.0 Ev+1.0 Eh 270	5.482	-0.181	0.000	0.245	16.519	-0.000
deg 1.2 Dead+1.0 Ev+1.0 Eh 300	7.680	-0.157	-0.091	-7.966	14.604	-0.000
dog 0.9 Dead-1.0 Ev+1.0 Eh 300 dog	5.482	-0.157	-0.091	-7.927	14.329	-0.000
deg 1.2 Dead+1.0 Ev+1.0 Eh 330 deg	7.680	-0.091	-0.157	-14.040	8.530	-0.000
0.9 Dead-1.0 Ev+1.0 Eh 330 deg	5.482	-0.091	-0.157	-13.909	8.347	-0.000

				tion Sum			
	Sun	of Applied Force	55		Sum of Reactio		
Load Comb.	PX K	PY K	PZ K	PX K	PY K	PZ K	% Error
1	0.000	-6.268	0.000	0.000	6.268	0.000	0.000%
2	0.003	-7.521	-3.528	-0.003	7.521	3.528	0.000%
3	0.003	-5.641	-3.528	-0.003	5.641	3.528	0.000%
4	1.769	-7.521	-3.057	-1.769	7.521	3.057	0.000%
5	1.769	-5.641	-3.057	-1.769	5.641	3.057	0.000%
6	3.061	-7.521	-1.767	-3.061	7.521	1.767	0.000%
7	3.061	-5.641	-1.767	-3.061	5.641	1.767	0.000%
8	3.532	-7.521	-0.003	-3.532	7.521	0.003	0.000%
9	3.532	-5.641	-0.003	-3.532	5.641	0.003	0.000%
10	3.057	-7.521	1.761	-3.057	7.521	-1.761	0.000%
11	3.057	-5.641	1.761	-3.057	5.641	-1.761	0.000%
12	1,763	-7.521	3.054	-1.763	7.521	-3.054	0.000%
13	1.763	-5.641	3.054	-1.763	5.641	-3.054	0.000%
14	-0.003	-7.521	3.528	0.003	7.521	-3.528	0.000%
15	-0.003	-5.641	3.528	0.003	5.641	-3.528	0.000%
16	-1.769	-7.521	3.057	1.769	7.521	-3.057	0.000%
1/	-1.769	-5.641	3.057	1.769	5.641	-3.05/	0.000%
18	-3.061	-7.521	1.767	3.061	7.521	-1.767	0.000%

120 Ft Monopole Tower Str Project Number 11247.NE-J		s				Albion, Page
Load Combination	Vertical K	Shear, K	Shear, K	Overtuming Moment. M. kip-ft	Overturning Moment. M, kip-ft	Torque kio-ft
.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 210 deg	7.521	-1.769	3.057	216.178	124.865	0.203
No Ice	7.021	-1.705	3.037	210.176	124.005	0.203
9 Dead+1.0 Wind 210 dog	5.641	-1.769	3.057	213.436	123.272	0.203
No Ice						
.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 240 deg	7.521	-3.061	1.767	124,956	216.092	0.000
No loe						
9 Dead+1.0 Wind 240 deg	5.641	-3.061	1.767	123.337	213.376	0.000
No loe						
.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 270 deg	7.521	-3.532	0.003	0.340	249.480	-0.203
No Ice						
.9 Dead+1.0 Wind 270 dog	5.641	-3.532	0.003	0.256	246.352	+0.203
No Ice						
.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 300 deg	7.521	-3.057	-1.761	-124.279	216.082	-0.351
No Ice						
.9 Dead+1.0 Wind 300 deg	5.641	-3.057	-1.761	-122.829	213.366	-0.351
.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 330 deg No loc	7.521	-1.763	-3.054	-215.509	124.848	-0.406
.9 Dead+1.0 Wind 330 deg	5.641	-1.763	-3.054	-212,936	123.255	-0.405
No lee	5.641	-1.765	-5.051	-212.830	123.200	-0.405
.2 Dead+1.0 lce+1.0 Temp	11.337	-0.000	0.000	0.768	0.474	0.000
.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 0	11.337	0.001	-1.623	-110,939	0.473	-0.076
eg+1.0 lce+1.0 Temp	11.007	0.001	-1.020	-110.000	0.475	-0.070
2 Dead+1.0 Wind 30	11.337	0.813	-1.406	-95,972	-55.389	-0.044
og+1.0 lcc+1.0 Temp	11.007	0.010	-1.400	-33.372	-33.305	-0.044
.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 60	11.337	1,407	-0.812	-55,080	-96.282	-0.000
eg+1.0 lce+1.0 Temp	111001	1.101	010112	001000		4.444
2 Dead+1.0 Wind 90	11.337	1.624	-0.001	0.781	-111.249	0.044
eq+1.0 lce+1.0 Temp						
2 Dead+1.0 Wind 120	11.337	1.406	0.811	56.643	-96.281	0.076
eg+1.0 lce+1.0 Temp						
.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 150	11.337	0.811	1.405	97.537	-55.386	0.088
eg+1.0 lce+1.0 Temp						
.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 180	11.337	-0.001	1.623	112.505	0.4/8	0.076
og+1.0 lcc+1.0 Temp						
.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 210	11.337	-0.813	1.406	97.540	56.340	0.044
eg+1.0 lce+1.0 Temp						
.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 240	11.337	-1.407	0.812	56.647	97.234	0.000
eg+1.0 lce+1.0 Temp	44.007	4 604	0.001	0.700	440.000	0.011
.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 270	11.337	-1.624	0.001	0.786	112.200	-0.044
eg+1.0 lce+1.0 Temp .2 Dead+1.0 Wind 300	11.337	-1.406	-0.811	-55.076	97.231	-0.076
eg+1.0 lce+1.0 Temp	11.337	-1.400	-0.611	-55.076	97.231	-0.076
.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 330	11.337	-0.811	-1.405	-95.970	56.336	-0.088
eg+1.0 lce+1.0 Temp	11.337	-0.011	-1.400	-33.870	30.330	-0.000
lead+Wind 0 deg - Service	6.268	0.001	-0.971	-70.096	0.191	-0.090
lead+Wind 30 deg - Service	6.268	0.487	-0.842	-60.669	-34.994	-0.052
lead+Wind 60 deg - Service	6.268	0.843	-0.486	-34.913	-60.752	-0.000
lead+Wind 90 dog - Service	6.268	0.972	-0.001	0.271	-70.178	0.052
lead+Wind 120 deg -	6.268	0.842	0.485	35.456	-60.749	0.090
ervice		010.10				
lead+Wind 150 deg -	6.268	0.485	0.841	61.214	-34.990	0.104
ervice						
lead+Wind 180 deg -	6.268	-0.001	0.971	70.643	0.197	0.090
ervice						
lead+Wind 210 deg -	6.268	-0.487	0.842	61.216	35.382	0.052
ervice						
lead+Wind 240 deg -	6.268	-0.843	0.486	35.460	61.140	0.000
ervice						
lead+Wind 270 deg -	6.268	-0.9/2	0.001	0.2/6	/0.566	-0.052
ervice						
lead+Wind 300 deg -	6.268	-0.842	-0.485	-34.909	61.137	-0.090
ervice	0.007	0.45-			05.077	
lead+Wind 330 deg -	6.268	-0.485	-0.841	-60.667	35.378	-0.104
ervice	7 660	0.000	0.100	-16.264	0.000	0.000
.2 Dead+1.0 Ev+1.0 Eh 0	7.680	0.000	-0.182	-10.264	0.233	-0.000
+g .9 Doad-1.0 Ev+1.0 Eh 0	5.482	0.000	-0.181	-16.099	0.175	-0.000
				-10.099		-0.000
Hq						

InxTower Report - version 8.1.1.0

June 07, 2023 Albion, NE Paye 18 120 Fl Monopole Tower Structural Analysis Project Number 11247.NE-ALBION m of Ref 2 PY K 5.6411 7.5211 1.1337 11.13 Londucture Control Contrel Contrel Control Control Control Control Control Control Contr% Error PZZ K 1.7671 1.7611 1.7611 1.7611 1.7611 1.7612 1.7611 1.7612 1.7611 1.7612 1.7611 1.7612
 PX
 K

 3.0011
 3.057

 3.357
 3.057

 3.1763
 3.057

 3.017
 3.057

 3.017
 3.057

 3.017
 3.057

 3.017
 3.057

 3.057
 3.057

 3.057
 3.057

 3.057
 3.057

 3.057
 3.057

 3.057
 3.057

 3.057
 3.057

 3.057
 3.057

 3.057
 3.057

 3.057
 3.057

 3.057
 3.057

 3.057
 3.057

 3.057
 3.057

 3.0110
 3.011

 3.0110
 3.011

 3.0111
 3.011

 3.0111
 3.011

 3.0111
 3.011

 3.0111
 3.011

 3.0111
 3.011

 3.0111
 3.011

 3.0111
 3.011

 3.0111
 3.011

 3.0111
 3.011

 3.
 PZ
 κ

 -1.767
 -1.761

 -1.761
 -1.761

 1.761
 1.761

 1.761
 1.761

 1.761
 1.761

 1.761
 1.761

 1.761
 1.761

 1.761
 1.761

 1.761
 1.761

 1.761
 0.01

 1.761
 0.01

 0.01
 0.01

 0.01
 0.01

 0.01
 0.01

 0.01
 0.01

 0.01
 0.01

 0.01
 0.01

 0.01
 0.01

 0.01
 0.01

 0.01
 0.01

 0.01
 0.01

 0.01
 0.01

 0.01
 0.01

 0.01
 0.01

 0.01
 0.01

 0.01
 0.01

 0.01
 0.01

 0.01
 0.01

 0.01
 0.01

 0.01
 0.01

 0.01
 0.01

 0 000% Non-Linear Convergence Results Number of Cycles 4 5 4 6 5 Displacement Tolerance 0.00000001 0.00000001 0.00000001 0.00000001 Load Converged Tolerance 0.00000001 0.00054952 0.00054952 0.00054958 0.00074781 Combination Yos Yes Yes Yes Yos tnxTower Report - version 8.1.1.0

6	Yes	6	0.00000001	0.00009169
7	Yes	5	0.00000001	0.00074814
8	Yes	5	0.00000001	0.00000001
9	Yes	4	0.00000001	0.00055120
10	Yes	6	0.00000001	0.00009215
11	Yes	5	0.00000001	0.00075124
12	Yes	6	0.00000001	0.00009190
13	Yes	5	0.00000001	0.00074921
14	Yes	5	0.00000001	0.00000001
15	Yes	4	0.0000001	0.00055073
16	Yes	6	0.00000001	0.00009209
17 18	Yes Yes	5	0.00000001	0.00075076 0.00009206
19	Yes	5	0.00000001	0.00075040
20	Yes	5	0.00000001	0.000000001
21	Yes	4	0.00000001	0.00055127
22	Yes	6	0.00000001	0.00009160
23	Yes	5	0.00000001	0.00074738
24	Yos	6	0.00000001	0.00009186
25	Yes	5	0.00000001	0.00074944
26	Yes	4	0.0000001	0.00003135
27	Yes	5	0.00000001	0.00049268
28	Yes	5	0.0000001	0.00099553
29	Yes	5	0.00000001	0.00099324
30 31	Yes Yes	5	0.00000001	0.00049598 0.00011626
31	Yes	6	0.00000001	0.00011626
33	Yes	5	0.00000001	0.00049909
34	Yes	6	0.00000001	0.00011600
35	Yes	6	0.00000001	0.00011627
36	Yes	5	0.00000001	0.00049617
37	Yes	5	0.00000001	0.00099332
38	Yes	5	0.00000001	0.00099685
39	Yes	4	0.00000001	0.00009995
40	Yes	1	0.00000001	0.000/1335
41	Yes	4	0.00000001	0.00071375
12	Yes	1	0.00000001	0.00010067
43	Yes	4	0.00000001	0.00072630
44 45	Yes	4	0.00000001	0.00072109 0.00010115
45	Yes	4	0.00000001	0.00072498
40	Yes	4	0.00000001	0.00072496
48	Yos	4	0.00000001	0.00010072
49	Yes	4	0.00000001	0.00071199
50	Yes	4	0.00000001	0.00071720
51	Yes	4	0.00000001	0.00000001
52	Yes	4	0.00000001	0.00000001
53	Yes	4	0.00000001	0.0000001
54	Yes	4	0.00000001	0.00000001
55	Yes	4	0.00000001	0.0000001
56	Yes	4	0.0000001	0.0000001
57	Yes	4	0.00000001	0.00000001
58	Yes	4	0.00000001	0.00000001
59 60	Yes	4	0.00000001	0.00000001
60	Yes Yes	4	0.00000001	0.00000001
62	Yes	4	0.00000001	0.00000001
63	Yes	4	0.00000001	0.00000001
64	Yes	4	0.00000001	0.00000001
65	Yes	4	0.00000001	0.00000001
66	Yes	4	0.00000001	0.00000001
67	Yes	4	0.00000001	0.00000001
68	Yes	4	0.00000001	0.00000001
69	Yes	4	0.00000001	0.00000001
70	Yes	4	0.00000001	0.00000001
71	Yes	1	0.00000001	0.00000001
72	Yos	4	0.00000001	0.00000001
73	Yes	4	0.00000001	0.00000001

	Gov.	Defiection	Tin	Twist	Radius of
		in	~		Curvature
07 (14ft to19.107ft)					
ower Section 4 - 3	46	0.403	0.177	0.000	6/51
3) andrew LDF5-	46	0.372	0.166	0.000	7178
om 0 to 116 (9.107ft 19.107ft)					
ower Section 4 - 4	46	0.115	0.058	0.000	20252
3) and rew LDF5-	46	0.104	0.053	0.000	20252
	C 2007 (14ft to19.107ft) 2008 Section 4 - 3 3) andrew LDF5- om 0 to 116 (9.107ft 19.107ft) 2008 Section 4 - 4	ower Section 4 - 3 46 3) andrew LDF5- 46 om 0 to 118 (9.107ft 19.107ft word Soction 4 - 4 46 3) andrew LDF5- 16	in in Contb. in owner Structure 4 - 3 46 0.402 synarthere LDF5- 46 0.372 om 0 to 116 (9.107t) 19.107t) 19.107t) word Soction 4 - 4 46 0.115 synarthere LDF6- 46 0.101	Control. in * ower Stadius 4 - 3 46 0.402 0.177 somer Stadius 4 - 3 46 0.402 0.177 3) andrew LDF5 46 0.402 0.186 on 0 to 116 (9.107h) 9107h 9107h 9107h over Stadius 4 - 4 6 0.115 0.058 a) andrew LDF5 46 0.104 0.053	Comb in * * Yourd Status 4 - 3 46 0.402 0.177 0.000 Syndrew LOFE 46 0.402 0.177 0.000 on to be 16 (9.107ht 19.107ht 0.372 0.166 0.000 word Status 4.4 46 0.115 0.058 0.000 swef Status 4.4 46 0.115 0.053 0.000

	M	aximum 1	Tower De	eflections	- Design Wind
Section No.	Elevation	Horz. Deflection	Gov. Load	Titt	Twist
	tt	in	Comb.		4
L1	121.107 - 86.393	77.556	14	5.800	0.000
L2	89.723 - 66.93	41.350	14	4.862	0.000
L3	69.93 - 28.997	23.677	14	3.541	0.000
L4	32.664 - 2	4.432	14	1.337	0.000

levation	Appurtenance	Gov. Load	Deflection	Tilt	Twist	Radius of Curvature
ft		Comb.	in	4	4	ft
18.750	Seismic Tower Section 1 - 1	14	71.681	6.767	0.000	6029
18.000	AW3802-T2-H	14	73.768	5.744	0.000	6029
16.107	Seismic miscl Step Bolts From 14 to 119.107 (109.107ft to119.107ft)	14	71.464	5.708	0.000	6029
14.554	Seismic (3) andrew LDF5- 50A(7/8") From 0 to 116 (109.1078 to1168)	14	69.580	5.679	0.000	4599
11.393	Seismic Tower Section 1 - 2	14	65.767	5.614	0.000	3102
10.000	HP2-11	14	64.098	5.584	0.000	2713
06.107	Seismic miscl Step Bolts From 14 to 119.107 (99.107ft to109.107ft)	14	59.483	5.490	0.000	2008
05.554	Seismic (3) andrew LDF5- 50A(7/8*) From 0 to 108 (99.10/fL to 108ft)	14	58.834	5.475	0.000	1936
01.393	Seismic Tower Section 1 - 3	14	54.021	5.353	0.000	1526
96.107	Seismic miscl Step Bolts From 14 to 119.107 (89.107ft to99.107ft)	14	48.109	5.162	0.000	1202
91.393	Seismic Tower Section 1 - 4	14	43.073	4.948	0.000	1018
88.327	Scismic Tower Section 2 - 1	14	39.937	4.785	0.000	961
86.107	Seismic miscl Step Bolts From 14 to 119.107 (79.107ft Io89.107ft)	14	37.742	4.654	0.000	946
81.930	Seismic Tower Section 2 - 2	14	33.782	4.385	0.000	933
76.107	Seismic miscl Step Bolts From 14 to 119.107 (69.107ft to79.107ft)	14	28.611	3.980	0.000	916
71.930	Seismic Tower Section 2 - 3	14	25.228	3.682	0.000	905
69.464	Scismic Tower Section 3 - 1	14	23.323	3.508	0.000	901
66.107	Seismic miscl Step Bolts From 14 to 119.107 (59.107ft to69.107ft)	14	20.860	3.278	0.000	903
63.997	Seismic Tower Section 3 - 2	14	19.389	3.136	0.000	905
56.107	Seismic miscl Step Bolts From	14	14.413	2.630	0.000	914

120 Ft Monopole Tower Structural Analysis Project Number 11247.NE-ALBION

June 07, 2023 Albion, NE Page 19

		Maximum	Tower	Deflections	- Service Wine
Section No.	Elevation	Horz. Deflection	Gov. Load	Tit	Twist
	fi	in	Comb.	9	*
L1	121.107 - 86.393	22.195	45	1.657	0.000
L2	89.723 - 66.93	11.825	45	1.393	0.000
L3	69.93 - 28.997	6.755	45	1.014	0.000
L4	32.664 - 2	1.258	45	0.380	0.000

Critical Deflections and Radius of Curvature - Service Wind

evation	Appurtenance	Gov.	Deflection	Tilt	Twist	Radius of
fi		Load Comb.	in			Curvature It
18.750	Scismic Tower Section 1 - 1	45	21.372	1.646	0.000	20933
18,000	AW3802-T2-H	45	21.110	1.642	0.000	20933
16.107	Seismic miscl Step Bolts From	45	20.451	1.632	0.000	20933
10.101	14 to 119.107 (109.107ft to 119.107ft)	40	20.401	1.002	0.000	20000
14.554	Seismic (3) andrew LDF5- 50A(7/8") From 0 to 116 (109.107ft to116ft)	45	19.911	1.624	0.000	15970
11.393	Seismic Tower Section 1 - 2	45	18.819	1.606	0.000	10774
10.000	HP2-11	45	18.341	1.598	0.000	9423
06.107	Seismic miscl Step Bolts Fram 14 to 119.107 (99.107ft to 109.107ft)	45	17.020	1.571	0.000	6977
05.554	Seismic (3) andrew LDF5- 50A(//8") From 0 to 108 (99.107ft to108ft)	45	16.834	1.567	0.000	6729
01.393	Seismic Tower Section 1 - 3	45	15.456	1.533	0.000	5308
6.107	Seismic miscl Step Bolts From 14 to 119.107 (89.107/t to99.107ft)	45	13.762	1.479	0.000	4186
1.393	Scismic Tower Section 1 - 4	45	12.318	1.418	0.000	3547
8.327	Seismic Tower Section 2 - 1	45	11.419	1.371	0.000	3343
6.107	Scismic miscl Stop Bolts From 14 to 119.107 (79.107ft to89.107ft)	45	10.790	1.334	0.000	3286
1.930	Seismic Tower Section 2 - 2	45	9.654	1.257	0.000	3229
6.107	Seismic miscl Step Bolts From 14 to 119.107 (69.107ft to79.107ft)	45	8.179	1.140	0.000	3155
1.930	Seismic Tower Section 2 - 3	45	7.200	1.054	0.000	3107
9.464	Seismic Tower Section 3 - 1	45	6.654	1.004	0.000	3094
6.107	Seismic miscl Step Bolts From 14 to 119.107 (59.107ft to69.107ft)	45	5.948	0.938	0.000	3102
3.997	Seismic Tower Section 3 - 2	45	5.527	0.897	0.000	3114
6.107	Seismic miscl Step Bolts From 14 to 119.107 (49.107ft to59.107ft)	45	4.104	0.752	0.000	3159
3.997	Seismic Tower Section 3 - 3	45	3.764	0.714	0.000	3171
6.107	Scismic miscl Step Bolts From 14 to 119.107 (39.107/L to49.107ft)	45	2.640	0.582	0.000	3219
3.997	Seismic Tower Section 3 - 4	15	2.379	0.549	0.000	3231
6.107	Seismic miscl Step Bolts From 14 to 119.107 (29.107ft to39.107ft)	45	1.549	0.429	0.000	3283
3.997	Scismic Tower Section 3 - 5	45	1.365	0.399	0.000	3327
2.332	Seismic Tower Section 4 - 1	15	1.232	0.375	0.000	3/10
27.000	Scismic Tower Section 4 - 2	45	0.870	0.303	0.000	4051
86.107	Seismic miscl Step Bolts From 14 to 119.107 (19.107ft	45	0.818	0.292	0.000	4201
	ta29.107ft)					

InxTower Report - version 8.1.1.0

June 07, 2023 Albion, NE Page 22

Elevation	Appuntenance	Guv. Lond	Deflection	TR	Twist	Radius of Curvature
ſi		Comb.	in			0
	14 to 119.107 (49.107ft lab9.10/ft)					
53,997	Seismic Tower Section 3 - 3	14	13.222	2.501	0.000	916
46.107	Scismic miscl Stop Bolts From 14 to 119.107 (39.107ft to49.107ft)	14	9.284	2.042	0.000	926
13,997	Seismic Tower Section 3 - 4	14	8.368	1.925	0.000	928
36.107	Scismic miscl Stop Bolts From 14 to 119.107 (29.107/t to39.107ft)	14	5.454	1.508	0.000	939
33,997	Seismic Tower Section 3 - 5	14	4.810	1.403	0.000	951
32.332	Seismic Tower Section 4 - 1	14	4.341	1.321	0.000	974
27.000	Soismic Towar Section 4 - 2	14	3.068	1.068	0.000	1156
26.107	Seismic miscl Step Bolts From 14 to 119.107 (19.107ft to29.107ft)	14	2.885	1.027	0.000	1199
18.554	Seismic miscl Step Bolts From 14 to 119.107 (14ft to 19.107ft)	14	1.629	0.691	0.000	1745
17.000	Seismic Tower Section 4 - 3	14	1.425	0.624	0.000	1926
16.107	Seismic (3) andrew LDF5- 50A(7/8") From 0 to 116 (9.107ft to19.10/ft)	16	1.315	0.586	0.000	2048
7.000	Scisnic Tower Section 4 - 4	16	0.406	0.205	0.000	5778
6.554	Seismic (3) andrew LDF5- 50A(7/8") From 0 to 116 (0ft to9.10/(l)	16	0.368	0.187	0.000	5778
5.000	Te25xh 36" Enclosure	16	0.240	0.123	0.000	5778

		(Compr	essio	n Ch	ecks			
			Pole	Desig	jn Da	ita			
Section No.	Elevation	Sizu	L	L,	Kl/r	A	P ₆	¢٩.	Ratio P.
	n		a	ſt		in ²	ĸ	ĸ	P., 6P.,
L1	121.107 - 86.393 (1)	TP13.4x8.54x0.179	34.714	0.000	0.0	7.173	-1.193	336.267	0.004
L2	86.393 - 66.93 (2)	TP15.75x12.576x0.179	22.793	0.000	0.0	8.521	-2.026	380.776	0.005
L3	66.93 - 28.997 (3)	TP20.7x14.974x0.239	40.933	0.000	0.0	14.984	-4.354	672.132	0.006
14	28,997 - 2 (4)	TP24x19.709x0.313	30.664	0.000	0.0	23,255	-7.519	1070.900	0.007

		Pole	e Bendi	ng Desi	ign Da	ita		
Section No.	Elevation	Size	Max	φ <i>M</i> _{ex}	Ratio Mar	May	ϕM_{n_f}	Ratio M _{2V}
	ft		kip-ft	kip-ft	ϕM_{er}	kip-ft	kip-ft	4Mm
L1	121.107 - 86.393 (1)	TP13.4x8.54x0.179	30.997	107.113	0.289	0.000	107.113	0.000
L2	86.393 - 66.93 (2)	TP15.75x12.576x0.179	65.796	148.397	0.443	0.000	148.397	0.000
L3	66.93 - 28.997 (3)	TP20.7x14.974x0.239	154.401	344.015	0.449	0.000	344.015	0.000
L4	28.997 - 2 (4)	TP24x19.709x0.313	249.648	640.467	0.390	0.000	640.467	0.000

tnxTower Report - version 8.1.1.0

41

Version 2.1.1

Modified

120 Ft Monopole Tower Structural Analysis Project Number 11247.NE-ALBION

APPENDIX B ADDITIONAL CALCULATIONS







Depth of embedment required, d = 12.74 ft <--- GOOD Design embedment depth is sufficient

No.		Ρ.	Ma	May	V _a	Τ.,	Stress	Stress		
	ft	φP _i	φMax	uM.y	ψV,-	φT _n	Ratio	Ratio		
L1	121.107 -	0.004	0.289	0.000	0.015	0.000	0.293	1.000	4.8.2	
	86.393 (1)									
L2	86.393 -	0.005	0.443	0.000	0.018	0.000	0.449	1.000	4.8.2	
L3	66.93 (2) 66.93 -	0.006	0.449	0.000	0.014	0.000	0.455	1.000	4.8.2	
L3	28,997 (3)	0.006	0.448	0.000	0.014	0.000	0.400	1.000	4.8.2	
L4	28.997 - 2 (4)	0.007	0.390	0.000	0.010	0.000	0.397	1.000	4.8.2	
					-					
				Sectio	n Capa	acity Ta	ible			
Sectio	n Elevation	Comp	onent	s	ize	Critical	P	@Pahav	%	Pass

Pole Interaction Design Data

٨., V_{s}

Elevation

Section No.

7B Precast Base

Ratic Ratio Ratio Ratio Ratio T,

Allow. Stress Ratio 1.000 Comb. Stress Ratio 0.293

Criteria

Section Elevation Component Size Critical P #Paixer Na. ft Type Element K K	% Pass
	Capacity Fail
L1 121.107 - Pole TP13.4x8.54x0.179 1 -1.193 336.267 86.393	29.3 Pase
L2 86.393 - 66.93 Pole TP15.75x12.576x0.179 2 -2.026 380.776	44.9 Pasa
L3 66.93 - 28.997 Pole TP20,7x14.974x0.239 3 -4.354 672.132	45.5 Pass
L4 28.997 - 2 Pole TP24x19.709x0.313 4 -7.519 1070.900	39.7 Pass

	Monopole Tow Number 11247							June A
		Po	le Shea	ar Desig	n Data	3		
Section No.	Elevation	Size	Actual V.,	ψV,,	Ratio V.	Actual T.	ϕT_{σ}	Ratio T,
	ft		ĸ	ĸ	ψV.c	kip-ft	kip-ff	ψT _e
L1	121.107 - 86.393 (1)	TP13.4x8.54x0.179	1.547	106.513	0.015	0.000	102.637	0.000
L2	86.393 - 66.93 (2)	TP15.75x12.576x0.179	1.963	109.417	0.018	0.000	112.131	0.000
L3	66.93 - 28.997 (3)	TP20.7x14.974x0.239	2.782	196.604	0.014	0.000	265.233	0.000
L4	28.997 - 2 (4)	TP24x19.709x0.313	3.536	345.339	0.010	0.203	563.428	0.000

June 07, 2023 Albion, NE Page 24



Modified

Version 2.1.1



	Tower Sect on Louis							
Section Number		Top Height	Mid Height, It,	Section Weight, w.				
1-1	4.71	119.11	116.75	0.0754	1028.01	0.0480	0.0087	0.0020
1-2	10.00	114.3\$	139.39	0.1725	2055.71	0.0965	0.\$175	0.0015
1-3	10.00	104.39	93,39	0.1394	1969.96	0.0921	0.0167	0.0052
1.4	10.00	54.39	89.39	0.2262	1807.58	0.0845	0,5153	0.5059
2.1	2./9	87.72	3E.33	D.(JE63	49.3.75	0.0231	0.11142	0.0017
2.2	10.00	84.93	731.93	D.244/	1563.05	0.0730	0.2138	0.0064
2.3	10.00	74.93	69.93	0.2713	1326.75	0.0520	0.0113	0.00/1
3.1	0.93	67.93	67.46	0.0351	159.93	0.0075	0.1014	0.0009
3.2	10.00	67.00	62.00	0.3100	1460.45	0.0582	0.512/	0.0099
3-3	10.00	57.00	52.00	0.4157	1123.98	0.0525	0.0095	0.0108
3-4	10.50	47.00	42.00	0.4515	795.25	0.0372	0.5068	0.511.8
3.5	10.50	37.00	32.00	0.4872	498.83	0.0233	0.5012	0.5127
4.1	0.68	30.68	31.23	D.0430	29.58	0.0018	0.003	0.00011
4.7	10.00	30.00	25.00	D-6511	406.97	361010	0.5035	0.0169
4.3	10.00	20.00	15.00	0.6378	157.01	0.0073	0.1013	0.2182
4.4	10.00	10.00	5.00	D./446	18.61	0.0009	0.1002	0.2194
			Sile	5.1619	14916-25			

Tower De	tails		
Tower Type:	Tapered Monopole		
Height, h:	119.107	ft	
Effective Seismic Weight, W:	6.05	kips	
Amplification Factor, A _s :	1.0		2.7.8.1
Seismic Base	e Shear		
Response Modification Factor, R:	1.5		
Discrete Appurtenance Weight in Top 1/3 of Structure, W.:	0.348	kips	
W _i :	5.706990454	kips	
E:	29000.0	ksi	
g:	386.088	in/s ²	
Average Moment of Inertia, I _{onc}	521.6721531	in ⁴	
Γ.:	0.29948725	hz	
Approximate Fundamental Period Monopole, T _a :	3.3390	s	2.7.7.1.3.3
Seismic Response Coefficient, C.	0.0868	7	2.7.7.1.1
Seismic Response Coefficient Max 1, Corra	0.0134	-	2.7.7.1.1
Seismic Response Coefficient Max 2, Carry	N/A	-	27711
Seismic Response Coefficient Min 1, Cartin	0.0300	-	2.7.7.1.1
Seismir Response Coefficient Min 2, Caria	N/A	-	27711
Controlling Scismic Response Coefficient, C _{st}	0.0300		
Seismic Base Shear, V	0.182	kips	2.7.7.1.1
Vertical Distribut			

Discrete Ligade								
Name								
a aha wileksa ito AWARCA 12 H	116,10	2.02/0	363.31	0.51/0	0/0242	200.2		
a ata wirelesi Ito AW3802-12-H	116.00	1.02/0	363.31	0.00.70	0.0332	0.001		
a ata wirekus ite AW3802 12 11	\$16.00	0.0270	263.31	0.24/0	0.02011	0.000		
(2) cambium networks LPV/P 3000	116.00	0.0340	53.82	0.0025	0.00055	2.002		
(2) cantium networks CPV/2 3000	116.00	0.0040	53,82	0.0025	0,0005	\$00.3		
(2) cambium networks CPV/P 3000	116.00	0.0040	53.82	0.0025	0.0005	0.000		
mount pipes 4' x 2" 5"0 Pipe	116.00	0.0150	201.84	40,5094	0.0017	0.001		
mount pipes 4" x 2" 5"D Pipe	116.00	0.0150	201.84	403.0	0.0517	5.005		
mount tipes 41 x 31 5 10 ripe	216,00	11.01.50	201.84	0.2094	0.0017	0.001		
mount pipes 4' x 4' STD Hpe	108,00	1,0490	501.55	0.0234	0.0043	5.0(1		
mount pipes 41 x 41 SID Vipe	208.20	0.0420	102.00	0.2236	0.0343	2.003		
mount pipes 4' x 4" 5: 0 Pipe	308.00	2.0430	501.55	0.0234	0,0243	0.001		
misd Te25sh 26* Endpsure	3.00	0.0900	0.81	0.000	0.0000	\$,002		
radioouves (#2-11	108.00	0.0270	314.93	0.0147	0.0027	0.000		
raduoaves HP2-11	108.00	0.0270	314.93	0.5(47	0.0527	2,000		
taliouses HP2-11	108.00	5.0270	314.93	0.0247	0.0527	0.000		
	Sun	2,4380	4/027.18			-		





ASCE 7 Hazards Report

Address: No Address at This Location
 Standard:
 ASCE/SEI 7-16
 Latitude:
 41.69848

 Risk Category:
 I
 Longitude:
 -97.93211

 Soil Class:
 D - Default (see Section 11.4.3)
 Elevation:
 1841.7867614109277 ft (NAVD 88)



Wind

Date Accessed:

Wind Speed	112 Vmph	
10-year MRI	79 Vmph	
25-year MRI	86 Vmph	
50-year MRI	91 Vmph	
100-year MRI	97 Vmph	
Data Source:	ASCE/SEI 7-16, Fig. 26.5-1B and	F

ASCE/SEI 7-16, Fig. 26.5-1B and Figs. CC.2-1–CC.2-4, and Section 26.5.2 Mon Jun 05 2023

Value provided is 3-second gust wind speeds at 33 ft above ground for Exposure C Category, based on linear interpolation between contours. Wind speeds are interpolated in accordance with the 7-16 Standard. Wind speeds correspond to approximately a 7% probability of exceedance in 50 years (annual exceedance probability = 0.00143, MRI = 700 years).

Site is not in a hurricane-prone region as defined in ASCE/SEI 7-16 Section 26.2.

https://asco7hazardtool.online/	Page 1 of 3	Mon Jun 05 2023



D - Default (see Section 11.4.3)



Page 2 of 3



Resu	ilts:	
	Ice Thickness:	1.00 in.
	Concurrent Temperature:	-5 F
	Gust Speed	50 mph
Data	Source:	Standard ASCE/SEI 7-16, Figs. 10-2 through 10-8
Date	Accessed:	Mon Jun 05 2023

Lee thicknesses on structures in exposed locations at elevations higher than the surrounding terrain and in valleys and gorges may exceed the mapped values.

Values provided are equivalent radial ice thicknesses due to freezing rain with concurrent 3-second gust speeds, for a 500-year mean recurrence interval, and temperatures concurrent with ice thicknesses due to freezing rain. Thicknesses for ice accretions caused by other sources shall be obtained from local meteorological studies. Ice thicknesses he exposed locations at elevations higher than the surrounding terrain and in valleys and gorges may exceed the mapped values.

The ASCE 7 Hszerd Tool is provided for your convenience, for informational purposes only, and is provided "as is" and willhoal warranties of any kind. The location data included herein has been obtained from information developed, produced, and manhaned by third party providers: or has been enterprised from major incorporated in the ASCF 3 standard with MASCF has maintee every effort to use data chained from reliable sources or methodologies, ASCE does not make any representations or warranties as to the accuracy, completeness, reliability currency, or quality of any data provided herein Ary third party frake provided by this Tool should not be construed as an endorsement, affiliation, reliationability, or sponsorship of such third-party content by or from ASCE.

ASCE does not intend, nor should anyone interpret, the results provided by this Tool to replace the sound judgment of a competent professional, having snowledge and experience in the appropriate field(s) of practice, nor to substitute for the standard of care required of such professional is interpreting and applying the contents of this Tool of the ASCE? standard.

In using this Tool, you expressly assume all risks associated with your use. Under no circumstances shall ASCE or its officers, directors, employees, members, attiluise, or agents be lable to you or any other person for any direct, indirect, special, indirectal, or consequential damages anting from or initiated to your use of, or reliance on, the Tool or any information oblaund thumit. To the fulficat extent permitted by Isaw, you garee to release and hold harmless. ASCE from any and all liability of any nature arising out of or resulting from any use of data provided by the XBEC / Huaari Tool.

https://asce7hazardtool.online/

Page 3 of 3

Mon Jun 05 2023

AFFIDAVIT

The State of Texas)
) S.S.
County of Parker)

I, Megan Croop, of Hudson Oaks in Parker County Texas, being duly sworn state under oath that:

On or about August 2023, Nextlink attempted to collocate on other aerial assets within 1 mile of our current proposed location located at the address: 3846 245th St. Anthon, IA 51004. This process was unsuccessful due to one of the following reasons: Rent amount too substantial, no space for further equipment, or declined by asset owner.

Wegan Croop

(Signature) Megan Croop

STATE OF TEXAS COUNTY OF PARKER

SUBSCRIBED TO AND SWORN BEFORE ME, this _//*

Day of 2023 LIGUST Signature NOTARY RUBLIC 9/13/2026 My Commission Expires:





VICINITY AREA

These depictions are for demonstrative purposes only. They are to be used in addition to the engineering drawings for an accurate prepresentation of the site.



 SITE NAME:
 IA-ANTHON-SO-4

 SITE NUMBER:
 IA-ANTHON-SO-4

 SITE ADDRESS:
 3846 245TH ST., ANTHON, IA 51004





Before

VIEW - 1

After

These depictions are for demonstrative purposes only. They are to be used in addition to the engineering drawings for an accurate prepresentation of the site.



 SITE NAME:
 IA-ANTHON-SO-4

 SITE NUMBER:
 IA-ANTHON-SO-4

 SITE ADDRESS:
 3846 245TH ST., ANTHON, IA 51004





Before

VIEW - 2

After

These depictions are for demonstrative purposes only. They are to be used in addition to the engineering drawings for an accurate prepresentation of the site.



 SITE NAME:
 IA-ANTHON-SO-4

 SITE NUMBER:
 IA-ANTHON-SO-4

 SITE ADDRESS:
 3846 245TH ST., ANTHON, IA 51004





Before

VIEW - 3

After

These depictions are for demonstrative purposes only. They are to be used in addition to the engineering drawings for an accurate prepresentation of the site.

TOWAIR Determination Results

*** NOTICE ***

TOWAIR's findings are not definitive or binding, and we cannot guarantee that the data in TOWAIR are fully current and accurate. In some instances, TOWAIR may yield results that differ from application of the criteria set out in 47 C.F.R. Section 17.7 and 14 C.F.R. Section 77.13. A positive finding by TOWAIR recommending notification should be given considerable weight. On the other hand, a finding by TOWAIR recommending either for or against notification is not conclusive. It is the responsibility of each ASR participant to exercise due diligence to determine if it must coordinate its structure with the FAA. TOWAIR is only one tool designed to assist ASR participants in exercising this due diligence, and further investigation may be necessary to determine if FAA coordination is appropriate.

DETERMINATION Results

Structure does not require registration. There are no airports within 8 kilometers (5 miles) of the coordinates you provided.

Your Specifications

NAD83 Coordinates	
Latitude	42-20-41.1 north
Longitude	095-51-18.4 west
Measurements (Meters)	
Overall Structure Height (AGL)	36.6
Support Structure Height (AGL)	36.6
Site Elevation (AMSL)	420.6
Structure Type	
MTOWER - Monopole	

Tower Construction Notifications

Notify Tribes and Historic Preservation Officers of your plans to build a tower.

CLOSE WINDOW



« OE/AAA

Notice Criteria Tool

Notice Criteria Tool - Desk Reference Guide V_2018.2.0

The requirements for filing with the Federal Aviation Administration for proposed structures vary based on a number of factors: height, proximity to an airport, location, and frequencies emitted from the structure, etc. For more details, please reference CFR Title 14 Part 77.9.

You must file with the FAA at least 45 days prior to construction if:

- your structure will exceed 200ft above ground level
- your structure will be in proximity to an airport and will exceed the slope ratio your structure involves construction of a traverseway (i.e. highway, railroad, waterway etc...) and once adjusted upward with the appropriate vertical distance would exceed a standard of 77.9(a) or (b)
- your structure will emit frequencies, and does not meet the conditions of the FAA Co-location Policy your structure will be in an instrument approach area and might exceed part 77 Subpart C your proposed structure will be in proximity to a navigation facility and may impact the assurance of navigation signal reception
- your structure will be on an airport or heliport
- filing has been requested by the FAA

If you require additional information regarding the filing requirements for your structure, please identify and contact the appropriate FAA representative using the Air Traffic Areas of Responsibility map for Off Airport construction, or contact the FAA Airports Region / District Office for On Airport construction.

The tool below will assist in applying Part 77 Notice Criteria.

* Structure Type:	POLE Monopole 🗸				
	Please select structure type and complete location point information.				
Latitude:	42 Deg 20 M 41.1 S N 🗸				
Longitude:	95 Deg 51 M 18.4 S W 🗸				
Horizontal Datum:	NAD83 V				
Site Elevation (SE):	1380 (nearest foot)				
Structure Height :	120 (nearest foot)				
Is structure on airport:	No				
	O Yes				

Results

You do not exceed Notice Criteria.



PARCEL REPORT

Summary

07404/000006
874316300005
722970
N/A
16-87-43
SESW 16-87-43
(Note: Not to be used on legal documents)
574-646 (1/28/2003)
40.00
40.00
1572.22
AP - AGRICULTURAL PRESERVATION
0004 MILLER/MAPLE VALLEY ANTHON OTO SCH
MAPLE VALLEY ANTHON OTO
N/A

Owner

Deed Holder BALDWIN MARK D & SHELLE J 3846 245TH ST ANTHON IA 51004-8065 Contract Holder Mailing Address BALDWIN MARK D & SHELLE J 3846 245TH ST ANTHON IA 51004-8065

Land

Lot Area 40.00 Acres ;1,742,400 SF

Valuation

	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019
Classification	Agriculture	Agriculture	Agriculture	Agriculture	Agriculture
+ Assessed Land Value	\$51,280	\$39,840	\$39,840	\$37,670	\$37,670
+ Assessed Building Value	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
+ Assessed Dwelling Value	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
= Gross Assessed Value	\$51,280	\$39,840	\$39,840	\$37,670	\$37,670
 Exempt Value 	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
= Net Assessed Value	\$51,280	\$39,840	\$39,840	\$37,670	\$37,670











SOIL REPORT

Summary		
Parcel ID	8743163000	05
Gross Acres	40.00	
ROW Acres	0.00	
Gross Taxable Acres	40.00	
Exempt Acres	0.00	
Net Taxable Acres	40.00	(Gross Taxable Acres - Exempt Land)
Average Unadjusted CSR2	39.43	(1577.23 CSR2 Points / 40 Gross Taxable Acres)
Agland Active Config	2017 CSR2	

Agland Active Config Sub Parcel Summary

Description	Acres	CSR2	Unadjusted CSR2 Points	Adjusted CSR2 Points
100% Value	39.22	39.43	1.546.27	1.546.27
Non-Crop	0.78	39.69	30.96	25.95
Total	40.00		1,577.23	1,572.22

Soil Summary

Description	SMS	Soll Name	CSR2	Adjusted Acres	Unadjusted CSR2 Points	Adjusted CSR2 Points
100% Value	12C	NAPIER SILT LOAM, 5 TO 9 PERCENT SLOPES	89.00	1.27	113.03	113.03
100% Value	10C2	MONONA SILT LOAM, 5 TO 9 PERCENT SLOPES, MODERATELY ERODED	86.00	6.51	559.86	559.86
100% Value	1C3	IDA SILT LOAM, 5 TO 9 PERCENT SLOPES, SEVERELY ERODED	58.00	1.31	75.98	75.98
100% Value	101E2	MONONA-IDA SILT LOAMS, 14 TO 20 PERCENT SLOPES, MODERATELY E	40.00	7.75	310.00	310.00
100% Value	1D3	IDA SILT LOAM, 9 TO 14 PERCENT SLOPES, SEVERELY ERODED	32.00	6.04	193.28	193.28
100% Value	1E3	IDA SILT LOAM, 14 TO 20 PERCENT SLOPES, SEVERELY ERODED	18.00	16.34	294.12	294.12
Non-Crop	101E2	MONONA-IDA SILT LOAMS, 14 TO 20 PERCENT SLOPES, MODERATELY E	40.00	0.75	30.00	25.01
Non-Crop	1D3	IDA SILT LOAM, 9 TO 14 PERCENT SLOPES, SEVERELY ERODED	32.00	0.03	0.96	0.94
Total				40.00	1.577.23	1,572.22

WOODBURY COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AGENDA ITEM(S) REQUEST FORM

Date:	8/2/2023	Weekly Agenda Date:	8/8/2023
ELECTED OFFICIAL / DEPA		Supervisor J.T	aylor/M. Nelson
Upon Striking Agricultural	Preservation as relates to		
Give Direction for a New P	roposed Ordinance in Re	egards to Utility-Scale	Solar
	ACTION R		
Approve Ordinance	Approve Res		pprove Motion 🖌
Public Hearing	Other: Inform	national 🗌 🛛 A	ttachments

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The Board of Supervisors unanimously has voiced support for adding solar energy systems (private use) as accessory use in each zoning district and affirming support of solar energy systems (utility scale) in the GI Zoning District. However, given that AP constitutes roughly 75% of Woodbury County's 875 sq. mi and inherent to Agricultural Preservation is the preservation of agriculture, we have an interest in doing what is inherent in the name: preserving agriculture. Toward that end, we are not against solar but think that the following strikes a very reasonable and thoughtful balance, something that can feel rushed in the readings and end up making solar development projects so loose as to not know the desired saturation, legal implications (at least 2 other counties are in lawsuits based on the conditions set after the fact), and how we want to grow the next 25, 50, and 100 years.

lowa Farm Bureau states regarding energy policy: "lowa should maintain a balanced electrical energy generation portfolio to ensure energy reliability and resilience at an affordable cost" (2023) and "lowa's electrical energy policy should not promote new wind and solar energy generation on viable and productive agricultural ground. Existing structures and nonproductive ground should be utilized to expand our energy production" (2023).

BACKGROUND:

lowa Cattlemen land use policy states: "Whereas the issue of land use in lowa becomes increasingly important as lowa population grows and the use of land becomes more intensified, and whereas the cattlemen of lowa have distinctive problems and interests in the use of land for production of beef cattle; and whereas the complexities of the many issues and interests involved are substantial, not the least of which are the preservation of private property rights and the location of control over land-use decisions. Therefore, be it resolved, land suitable for the grazing of livestock should be deemed agricultural land worthy of preservation and that grazing and be given over recreational and/or urban uses. Be it further resolved, public lands should be subject to the same rules and regulations as privately owned lands."

As the two supervisors representing the most rural areas, we deeply desire the preservation of agriculture while at the same time understanding the need for balance: private property rights, economic development, clean energy, and freedom. Therefore, if the county was to engage in utility-scale solar, at minimum, the county should consider this only if the following is met:

+ A conditional use permit for AP "C" with Planning and Zoning and the Board of Adjustment to be able to site-specifically take into consideration the concerns of neighbors, land/soil, and other factors when approving permit.

+ A slope of no more than 5% in order to preserve the land and to account for soil erosion, compaction, and future land stewardship.

+ A maximum height of no more than 20' for panel structures.

+ Of all AP, no more than 49% can be in such a project. In short, 51% must be for agricultural production or no longer considered "AP."

+ Utility solar can be no more than 2% of all AP "agricultural preservation," preserving 98% of AP. This equates to approximately 8,540 acres of the 427,000 acres of ag land, ag land constituting 75% of the 570,000 total acres in Woodbury County.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

(cont...)

+ Current notification for utility-scale solar shall be 1 mile for public comment instead of 500 feet.

+ A requirement (or at least strong consideration) that the utility-scale solar project either be on a landowner's property or that the owner of the land be a resident of Woodbury County.

IF THERE IS A CONTRACT INVOLVED IN THE AGENDA ITEM, HAS THE CONTRACT BEEN SUBMITTED AT LEAST ONE WEEK PRIOR AND ANSWERED WITH A REVIEW BY THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE?

Yes 🗆 No 🗆

RECOMMENDATION:

Upon Striking Agricultural Preservation as relates to Amendment 2 (Utility-Scale Solar), a Motion to Give Direction for a New Proposed Ordinance in Regards to Utility-Scale Solar

ACTION REQUIRED / PROPOSED MOTION

Upon Striking Agricultural Preservation as relates to Amendment 2 (Utility-Scale Solar), a Motion to Give Direction for a New Proposed Ordinance in Regards to Utility-Scale Solar

WOODBURY COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AGENDA ITEM(S) REQUEST FORM

Date:	9/21/2023	Weekly Agenda Date:	9/26/2023
ELECTED OFFICIAL / DEPA		Supervisor J.	Taylor/M. Nelson
Give Direction to Planning		ther Considerations D [,]	uring Public Hearings
Regarding Utility-Scale Zo	-		<u> </u>
	ACTION F	REQUIRED:	
Approve Ordinance	Approve Res	solution A	approve Motion
Public Hearing	Other: Inforr	national 🗌 🛛 A	ttachments

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The Board of Supervisors unanimously has voiced support for adding solar energy systems (private use) as accessory use in each zoning district and affirming support of solar energy systems (utility scale) in the GI Zoning District. However, given that AP constitutes roughly 75% of Woodbury County's 875 sq. mi and inherent to Agricultural Preservation is the preservation of agriculture, we have an interest in doing what is inherent in the name: preserving agriculture. Toward that end, we are not against solar but think that the following strikes a very reasonable and thoughtful balance.

During the last item, we asked that consideration of adding utility-scale solar be considered in AP with limitations such as slope (<5%, no more than 2% of all AP be for solar, a "C" for conditional use, notification from 500 ft to 1 mi, at least 51% maintained in agricultural production.)

Upon public hearing comments and further reflection, we offer an alternative to be considered that might be preferable, namely the expansion of "Light Industrial." We would ask that landowners who desire such utility-scale solar be rezoned to this presently constituting only 101 acres of Woodbury County's 570,000 acres. Landowners could continue to farm the land but open up an avenue that would be far preferable than Agricultural Preservation and much more appropriate.

BACKGROUND:

+ A conditional use permit for AP "C" with Planning and Zoning and the Board of Adjustment to be able to site-specifically take into consideration the concerns of neighbors, land/soil, and other factors when approving permit.

+ A slope of no more than 5% ONLY for fixed arrays (most technology is now movable arrays) in order to preserve the land and to account for soil erosion, compaction, and future land stewardship.

+ No more than 1% of industrial land conversion every 4 years for reclassification, roughly 5,700 acres.

+ Current notification for utility-scale solar shall be 1 mile for public comment instead of 500 feet.

+ A decommissioning plan from solar companies reviewed by P&Z/BOA subject to approval by the Woodbury County Board of Supervisors. None

IF THERE IS A CONTRACT INVOLVED IN THE AGENDA ITEM, HAS THE CONTRACT BEEN SUBMITTED AT LEAST ONE WEEK PRIOR AND ANSWERED WITH A REVIEW BY THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE?

Yes 🗆 No 🗆

RECOMMENDATION:

Move to give direction for a new proposed ordinance in regards to utility-scale solar

ACTION REQUIRED / PROPOSED MOTION:

Move to give direction for a new proposed ordinance in regards to utility-scale solar

Topics for Consideration

Content Provided Herein is for Discussion/Informational Purposes and is Subject to Changes.

INFORMATION ITEM OUTLINE

1. TOPIC 1: Introduction

2. TOPIC 2: Appropriate Location(s)

- a. Zoning Districts
- b. Considerations
 - i. Zoning District(s)
 - ii. Corn Suitability Rating 1 vs. 2
 - iii. Agricultural Related Use
 - iv. Slope Cap
 - v. Acre Cap
 - vi. Height Cap
 - vii. Density
 - viii. Notification Area
 - ix. Site Considerations
 - x. Property Ownership
- c. Other / Additional

3. TOPIC 3: Ordinance Type (Standalone vs. Zoning Ordinance Amendment)

- a. Standalone Ordinance
- b. Ordinance Amendment
- c. Other / Additional

4. TOPIC 4: Process Types

- a. Zoning Ordinance Map Amendment (Rezone)
 - i. Regular Process
 - ii. General Industrial (GI)
 - iii. Limited Industrial (LI)
 - iv. Overlay District?
- b. Conditional Use Permit
 - i. Regular Process
 - ii. Added Ordinance Requirements
 - iii. Other / Additional

5. TOPIC 5: Information Collection (Application Requirements)

- a. Zoning Ordinance Map Amendment (Rezone)
- b. Conditional use Permit Application Procedures
- c. Certified Abstractor's Listing
- d. General Information
- e. Mapping
- f. Documentation
- g. Requirements for Development Plans / Site Plans
- h. Other / Additional

6. TOPIC 6: Requirements for Permitting of US-SES

- a. Separation Distances / Setbacks / by Zoning District
- b. Screening
- c. Fencing / Security

- d. Signage
- e. Lighting
- f. Noise
- g. Glare Minimization
- h. Utility Connections
- i. Accessory Structures
- j. Outdoor Storage
- k. Endangered Species and Wetlands
- 1. Weed Control
- m. Slope
- n. Waste
- o. Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement
- p. Cessation of Operations
- q. Repowering
- r. Decommissioning
- s. Cleaning Chemicals and Solvents
- t. Road Use Agreements
- u. Special Flood Hazard Area (Floodplain)
- v. Soil Erosion and Sediment Control
- w. Storm Water Management
- x. Compliance with Local, State, and Federal Regulations
- y. Transfer
- z. Administration and Enforcement
- aa. Fee Structure
- bb. Other / Additional

7. TOPIC 7: Definitions

I OI IC 7. Definitions		
Agreement	Non-participating Landowner	Solar Energy Systems, Private
Agrisolar or Agrivoltaics	Occupied Structure	Solar Energy Systems, Utility
	-	Scale (US-SES)
Applicant	Operator	Solar Panel
Community Solar	Owner	Solar Storage Battery
Conditional Use Permit (CUP)	Participating Landowner	Solar Storage Unit
Concentrating Solar Power	Photovoltaic (PV) Cells	Solar Thermal Energy System
Systems		(STES)
Corn Suitability Rating 2 (CSR2)	Professional Engineer	Structure
Critical Slope Angle	Project Area	Structure-Mounted Energy
	-	System
Developed Project Areas	Property Line	Substation
Easement	Residence	System Height
Feeder Circuits / Lines	Setback	- Other Additional -
Glare/Glint	Slope	
Grounded-Mounted System	Solar Array	
Interconnection	Solar Collector	
Module	Solar Easement	
Mounting	Solar Energy	
		1 11 11 11 1

- These definitions are being presented for discussion and informational purposes only and is subject to changes including additions, deletions, or modifications.

8. TOPIC 8: Other

1. APPROPRIATE LOCATION(S)

- a. Zoning District(s)
 - i. General Industrial (GI)
 - 1. 11,221 total acres*
 - ii. Agricultural Preservation (AP)
 - 1. 476,513 total acres*
 - iii. Limited Industrial (LI)
 - 1. 101 total acres* <u>*includes acres already developed.</u>

iv. Possible Creation of a "Utility-Scale Solar Overlay District" to be placed over portions of AP, per rezone application.?

- b. Considerations:
 - i. Zoning District(s)
 - ii. Corn Suitability Rating 1 / Corn Suitability Rating 2
 - 1. Under 65 CSR (Woodbury County Development Plan)
 - 2. CSR1/2 Resources:
 - a. <u>https://crops.extension.iastate.edu/cropnews/2015/04/corn-suitability-rating-2-equation-updated</u>
 b. <u>https://www.fbn.com/community/blog/iowa-corn-suitability-rating-index-</u>
 - csr2#:~:text=The%20range%20of%20CSR2%20is,and%20it%20is%20not%20irrigated.
 - c. https://support.agridatainc.com/CornSuitabilityRating2(CSR2).ashx
 - d. http://www.extension.iastate.edu/Publications/PM1168.pdf
 - e. http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/HomePage.htm
 - iii. Agricultural Related Use (51%)
 - 1. Of all AP, no more than 49% can be in such a project. In short, 51% must be for agricultural production or no longer considered "AP."
 - iv. Slope Cap
 - 1. A slope of no more than 5% in order to preserve the land and to account for soil erosion, compaction, and future land stewardship.
 - 2. No greater than 5% soil slopes.
 - v. Acre Cap
 - 1. Utility solar can be no more than 2% of all AP "agricultural preservation," preserving 98% of AP. This equates to approximately 8,540 acres of the 427,000 acres of ag land, ag land constituting 75% of the 570,000 total acres in Woodbury County.
 - 2. Agricultural Preservation (AP)
 - a. 2% Cap = 9,530 acres
 - 3. General Industrial (GI)
 - a. No cap
 - vi. Height Cap
 - 1. 20 FT?
 - vii. Density
 - 1. Separation Distances / Setbacks / By Zoning District
 - a. Occupied Residence
 - b. Occupied Structures
 - c. Non-participating Parcels
 - d. Public Right-of-Way
 - e. Airports
 - f. Etc.
 - viii. Notification Area
 - 1. Current notification for utility-scale solar shall be 1 mile for public comment instead of 500 feet.
 - ix. Site Considerations
 - 1. A conditional use permit for AP "C" with Planning and Zoning and Board of Adjustment to be able to site-
 - specifically take into consideration the concerns of neighbors, land/sol, and other factors when approving permit. x. Property Ownership
 - x. Property Ownership
 - 1. A requirement (or at least strong consideration) that the utility-scale solar project either be on a landowner's property or that the owner of the land be a resident of Woodbury County.

2. ORDINANCE TYPE (STANDALONE VS. ZONING ORDINANCE AMENDMENT)

- a. Standalone Ordinance
 - i. Similar to the Commercial Wind Energy Conversion Systems Ordinance
 - 1. Permits considered by the Board of Supervisors
 - 2. No use of Zoning Districts
- b. Zoning Ordinance Amendment
 - i. Conditional Use Process
 - 1. Zoning Commission Application Review for Recommendation
 - 2. Board of Adjustment Consideration for Approval
 - ii. Rezone to a different zoning district or overlay district
 - 1. Zoning Commission Application Review for Recommendation
 - 2. Board of Supervisors Consideration for Approval

iii. Zoning Districts are used to determine appropriate areas of the county to consider permits.

3. PROCESS TYPES

- a. Zoning Ordinance Map Amendment (Rezone)
 - i. General Industrial (GI)
 - ii. Overlay District? Utility-Scale Solar Energy Systems Overlay District?
 - 1. Appropriateness of the Area Based on Considerations referenced in Section 1.
 - iii. Zoning Commission / Board of Supervisors
- b. Conditional Use Permit
 - i. Regular Process
 - ii. Added Ordinance Requirements

4. INFORMATION COLLECTION (APPLICATION)

- a. Conditional Use Permit Application Procedures (Zoning Ordinance Section 2.02.9)
- b. Certified Abstractor's Listing (1 Mile?)
- c. General Information
 - i. Name of Applicant(s), Project Owner(s), and/or Operator(s), Landowner(s) Contact Information
 - ii. Project Summary
 - iii. General Description
 - iv. Number of Modules
 - v. Manufacturer
 - vi. Model
 - vii. Mounting Type
 - viii. System Height
 - ix. System Capacity
 - x. Total Land Area covered by the system.
 - xi. Information about facilities
 - 1. Substations
 - 2. Feeder lines
 - 3. Battery Storage
 - 4. Etc.
- d. Map of the Project Location and Surrounding Area
- e. Legal Description of the Property with the US-SES will be located
- f. Evidence of a power purchase agreement or interconnection application for the project
- g. Consultation with or notifications from relevant state and federal agencies
 - i. Demonstrating how the project will not be a hazard to:
 - 1. Wildlife
 - 2. Communications
 - 3. Air Traffic
 - 4. Etc.
 - Documentation of easement locations acquired for US-SES and associated facilities
- i. Project Plan

h.

- i. Based on a plat of survey by an Iowa licensed surveyor to establish property lines and/or setbacks. Project plan shall include:
 - 1. Parcel lines;
 - 2. All existing structures, with dimensions (length, width, & height clearly marked);
 - 3. Sanitary Infrastructure (e.g. Septic Fields);
 - 4. Presence of wells, capped and otherwise functional;
 - 5. Setback Measurements;
 - 6. Easements present on the property, including those for existing utilities;
 - 7. Field tile locations with mapping;
 - 8. Floodplain Locations;
 - 9. Topography Lines (with 2-foot contours);
 - 10. Location of all solar panels, solar collectors, solar arrays, and associated equipment (with dimensions);
 - 11. The height and depths of each mounting structure including footings, and maximum area of ground cover. Include dimensions (length, width, & height clearly marked) and ground clearance for each US-SES;
 - 12. A detailed electrical grid drawing, certified by an electrical engineer, showing all connection points in the US-SES and to a connecting electrical grid. Include utility lines, telephone lines and other lines, both above and below ground within 200 feet of any and all above-ground portions of the US-SES;
 - 13. Standard drawings and dimensional representatives of the solar energy system including panels and arrays, mounting structures, and footings.
 - 14. Color photo simulations showing the proposed location of the tower with a photo-realistic representation of the proposed US-SES as it would appear viewed form the nearest residentially used and / or zoned property and nearest roadway, street or highway.
 - 15. Planned location and dimensions of security fencing;
 - 16. A grading plan with 2-foot contours showing existing and proposed topography.
 - 17. A storm water management plan showing retention/detention areas, storm sewers and drainage ways. A drainage report certified by a professional engineer is required to verify the size of retention or detention facilities and outflows from the site. Any flood hazard areas should be identified.

- 18. A landscaping plan illustrating screening and buffering intended to minimize conflicts with nearby properties and uses. Species, numbers and initial sizes of plan materials should be indicated.
- 19. A soil analysis illustrating the soil types, slopes and Corn Suitability Rating 2 (CSR2) for the entire footprint of the project area.
- 20. Any other information necessary to describe the intended use.

5. **<u>REQUIREMENTS</u>**

- a. Separation Distances / Setbacks / By Zoning District
 - i. Occupied Residence
 - ii. Occupied Structures
 - iii. Non-participating Parcels
 - iv. Public Right-of-Way
 - v. Airports
 - vi. Etc.
- b. Screening
 - i. Adequate safeguards shall be taken to fence or screen any on-site hazards from the public. A landscape buffer may be required to be installed and maintained. The need for screening requirements will be evaluated as part of the review by Staff and the approval process and will be based on the surroundings of the site.
- c. Fencing / Security
 - i. A security fence must be installed along all exterior sides of the US-SES installation and be equipped with a minimum of one gate and locking mechanism on the primary access side. Security fences, gates and warning signs must be maintained in good condition until the US-SES solar installation is decommissioned.
- d. Signage
 - i. No signs other than appropriate warning signs, or standard signs for operation or identification, shall be allowed.
- e. Lighting
 - i. Lighting shall be shielded and downcast such that the light does not project directly onto the adjacent properties.
- f. Noise
 - i. Noise levels caused by the US-SES measured at the residence(s) shall not exceed fifty (50) decibels (A-weighted) when located adjacent to an existing residence or residential district.
- g. Glare Minimization
 - i. The US-SES shall be designed and constructed to diminish glare or reflection onto adjacent properties and adjacent roadways and must not interfere with traffic, including air traffic, or crate a safety hazard.
- h. Utility Connections
 - i. Reasonable efforts shall be made to place all project collection lines within the solar installation underground, depending on appropriate soil conditions, shape and topography of the site, distance to the connection, or other conditions or
 - requirements. High-voltage lines between the US-SES and substations may be above ground.
- i. Accessory Structures
 - i. All accessory structures shall be subject to the bulk and height regulations of structures in the underlying zoning district, unless specified differently in the ordinance.
- j. Outdoor Storage
 - i. Only the outdoor storage of materials, vehicles, and equipment that directly support the operation and maintenance of the US-SES shall be allowed.
- k. Endangered Species and Wetlands
 - i. Applicant(s) shall consult with the Iowa Department of Natural Resources and provided verification to the Zoning Director or their designee.
- 1. Weed Control
 - i. Applicant(s) must present an acceptable weed/grass control plan for property outside of the fenced area for the entire project. The operating company during the operation of the Solar Farm must maintain the fence and adhere to a weed control plan.
- m. Slope
 - i. Slope length and steepness influence both the volume and velocity of surface runoff. Long slopes produce more runoff to the bottom of slopes. Steep slopes increase runoff velocity. Both situations increase the potential for erosion. The project area shall not exceed medium erosion potential including eight (5%) percent or greater slope.
- n. Waste
 - i. All solid wastes, whether generated from supplies, equipment parts, packaging, operation, grazed animals, farming operation or maintenance of the US-SES shall be removed from the site and disposed of in an appropriate manner. All hazardous waste generated by the operation shall be removed from the site immediately and disposed of in a manner consistent with all local, state, and federal guidelines.
- o. Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement
 - i. Maintenance shall include, but not limited to painting, structural repairs, integrity of security measures. Site access shall be maintained to a level acceptable to emergency response officials. Any retrofit, replacement or refurbishment of equipment shall adhere to all applicable local, state and federal requirements. Any discarded materials or construction debris will be promptly removed in a timely manner. Said debris shall remain on the property no longer than sixty (60) days.
- p. Cessation
 - i. Any US-SES provided for in this Ordinance that has not been in operation and producing electricity for at least one hundred and eighty (180) consecutive days, excluding natural catastrophic event, shall be removed. The Woodbury County Zoning Director or their designee shall notify the owner to remove the system. Within ninety (90) days, the owner shall either submit evidence showing that the system has been operating and producing electricity or remove it. IF the owner fails to or refuses to remove the US-SES, the violation shall be referred to the Woodbury County Attorney. In the case of a

natural catastrophic event, a detailed restoration plan to return to operational status must be provided to the Zoning Director.

- q. Repowering
 - i. Proposals to replace more than twenty-five percent (25%) of the panels in a facility within a twelve (12) month period shall be required to submit a Conditional Use Permit Application for review and approval with all associated costs assigned to the Applicant and/or the property owner(s).
- r. Decommissioning
 - i. The US-SES's owner shall enter into a decommissioning agreement with Woodbury County prior to the start of construction of the US-SES project. Woodbury County's approval and execution of the agreement shall not be unreasonably withheld. The plan shall include:
 - 1. A description of the plan to remove the US-SES's equipment, or at landowner's request, to restore the land to its previous use upon the end of the project's life.
 - 2. Provisions for the removal of structures, debris, and associated equipment on the surface and to a level of not less than four (4) fee above the surface, and the timeline/sequence in which removal is expected to occur;
 - 3. Provisions for the restoration of the soil, vegetation and disturbed earth, which shall be graded and reseeded;
 - 4. An estimate of the decommissioning costs certified by a licensed professional engineer in current dollars. The engineer providing this estimate shall submit it to the Woodbury County Finance/Budget Director, or their designee, for review and all costs associated with this engagement shall be borne by the applicant;
 - 5. A written financial plan approved to ensure that funds will be available for decommissioning and land restoration;
 - 6. A provision that the terms of the decommissioning plan shall be binding upon the owner or operator and any of their successors, assigns, or heirs.
 - 7. Upon review of the decommissioning plan, the Woodbury County Board of Supervisors shall set an amount to be held in a bond, escrow, or other acceptable form of funds approved by the Board. The value of the surety shall not be reduced based on the salvage value of any materials or equipment. The plan shall state that Woodbury County shall have access to the project and to the funds to effect or complete decommissioning one (1) year after cessation of operations; and,
 - 8. The applicant shall provide the county with a new estimate of the cost to decommission the US-SES project every five (5) years under the same conditions as set forth in this Sections above. Salvage value of structures, electrical wire and other appurtenances shall not be considered within the cost estimate calculations. Upon receipt of this new estimate, the county may require, and the applicant, owner, and/or operator of the US-SES project shall provide, a new financial plan for decommissioning acceptable to the County. Failure to provide an acceptable financial plan shall be considered a cessation of operations.
 - 9. Release of Financial Security. Financial security shall only be released when the Board of Supervisors determines, after inspection, that the conditions of the decommissioning plan have been met.
- s. Cleaning Chemicals and Solvents
 - i. During operation of the proposed installation, all chemicals or solvents used to clean photovoltaic panels should be low in volatile organic compounds and the operator should use recyclable or biodegradable products to the extent possible. Any on-site storage of chemicals or solvents shall be referenced.
- t. Road Use Agreements
 - i. Applicant(s) shall adhere to the Woodbury County Road Use and Repair Agreement, and in doing so, shall identify all roads to be used for the purpose of transporting US-SES associated parts, cement, and/or equipment for construction, operation or maintenance of the US-SES and obtain applicable weight and size permits from the impacted road authorities prior to construction.
- u. Special Flood Hazard Area
 - i. No portion of the US-SES site proposed for development may be located in a mapped 100-year floodplain.
- v. Soil Erosion and Sediment Control
 - i. The applicant(s) agree to conduct all roadwork and other site development work in compliance with a national pollutant discharge elimination system (NPDES) permit as required by the state department of natural resources and comply with requirements as detailed by local jurisdictional authorities during the plan submittal. If subject to NPDES requirements, the applicant must submit the permit for review and comment, and an erosion and sediment control plan before beginning construction. The plan must include both general "best management practices" for temporary erosion and sediment control both during and after construction and permanent drainage and erosion control measures to prevent damage to local roads or adjacent areas and to prevent sediment-laden run-off into waterways.
- w. Storm Water Management
 - i. The plan shall include details on stormwater rate and runoff management as well as pollutant removal and flood reduction. The applicant shall include a detailed analysis of pre- and post-development stormwater runoff rates for review. Such review will incorporate appropriate stormwater management practices as required by the County Engineer, Woodbury County and any State of Iowa best practices. The plan shall include detention of specified rainfall events, and infiltration components consistent with practices as detailed in the state stormwater management manual.
- x. Compliance with Local, State, and Federal Regulations
 - i. US-SES shall comply with applicable local, state, and federal regulations.
- y. Transfer
 - i. Building permits and associated decommissioning and road use agreements granted under this Ordinance may be transferred to another party subject to the Woodbury County Board of Supervisors approval, which approval shall not be unreasonably withheld. Any assignee of the building permits and associated decommissioning and road agreements shall be subject to all the requirements in this Ordinance and the agreements.
- z. Administration and Enforcement
 - i. The Zoning Director and any necessary personnel may enter any property for which a Conditional Use or Building Permit has been issued under this ordinance to conduct an inspection to determine whether the conditions stated in the permit have

been met as specified by statute, ordinance, and code. Failure to provide access by appointment within 48 hours of request shall be deemed a violation of this ordinance.

- aa. Fee Structure
 - i. The Conditional Use Permit fee(s) will be approved and adopted by Resolution through the Woodbury County Board of Supervisors under Zoning Permit Fees.

6. **DEFINITIONS**

- a. Agreement. A legally binding document signed by both a participating landowner and an owner or operator for a specific purpose, including but not limited to a contract, easement, or lease.
- b. Agrisolar or Agrivoltaics. A utility-scale solar system co-located on the same parcel of land primarily adapted, by reason of nature and area, for use for agricultural production, including crop production, grazing, apiaries, or other agricultural products or services. Fifty-one percent (51%) of the use of the land is for agricultural purposes.
- c. Applicant. The person or entity submitting the application under this Ordinance, which is normally expected to be the owner or operator of a US-SES, or the owner of the US-SES development.
- d. Community Solar. A utility-scale solar energy system developed by a municipality, utility, or other third party that typically allows community members to subscribe to the project.
- e. Conditional Use Permit (CUP). A use that is allowed in conformance with the regulations of the zoning district in which it is located, if and only if, approved by the Board of Adjustment as provided in subsection 2.02-9. A CUP issued by the Woodbury County Board of Adjustment is required before associated building permit(s) can be issued in unincorporated Woodbury County.
- f. Concentrating Solar Power Systems. A system that generates solar power by using mirrors, lenses, or similar reflecting surfaces to concentrate sunlight collected over large areas onto smaller focal areas.
- g. Corn Suitability Rating 2 (CSR2). An index to the inherent soil productivity of each kind of soil for row crop production. The index is scaled from 100, for the most productive soils, to 5 as the least productive.
- h. Critical Slope Angle. The maximum slope incline which the soil and rock materials underlying the slope can support, without failure, under existing climate, vegetation, and land use.
- i. Developed Project Areas. The total project area that is subject toa an agreement between the Owner/Operator and the Participating Landowner and is actually developed and utilized for placement of a US-SES.
- j. Easement. A legal agreement for the use of property for a specified purpose.
- k. Feeder Circuits/Lines. A power line or network of lines used as a collection system that carries energy produced by a solar energy system to an interconnection point like a substation. Feeder circuits are most often placed underground.
- 1. Glare/Glint. Light reflected off of a surface.
- m. Ground-Mounted System. A system where a rack(s) of panels is mounted on concrete posts or poles anchored in the ground and are wired or plumbed to an adjacent home or structure.
- n. Interconnection. Link between a generator of electricity and the electric grid. Interconnection typically requires connection via infrastructure such as power lines and a substation, as well as a legal agreement for the project to be connected to the grid.
- o. Module. An individual unit comprised of multiple photovoltaic (PV) cells, with multiple modules used in a solar energy system.
- p. Mounting. The method of anchoring solar energy system modules to the ground or a building.
- q. Non-Participating Landowner. A landowner who has not signed a binding agreement with the Applicant/Developer/Owner of the US-SES project.
- r. Occupied Structure. For the purpose of this ordinance, shall include any existing occupied house, apartment, barn, or machine shed regularly used by the property owner, or parties in possession of the property at the time of the permit application.
- s. Operator. The entity or individual that operates a solar energy system.
- t. Owner. The entity or entities with an equity interest in the US-SES, including their respective successors and assigns. Owner does not mean the landowner from whom a lease, easement, or other property right is acquired for locating the US-SES unless the landowner has an equity interest in the US-SES, or any person holding a security interest in the US-SES solely to secure an extension of credit, or a person foreclosing on such security interest provided that after foreclosure, such person seeks to sell the US-SES at the earliest practical date.
- u. Participating Landowner. A landowner under lease, easement or other binding property agreement with the applicant, developer, or owner of the US-SES.

- v. Photovoltaic (PV) Cells. Semiconductors which generate electricity whenever light strikes them; generally grouped on panels.
- w. Professional Engineer. A qualified individual who is licensed in the State of Iowa as a professional engineer.
- x. Project Area. The geographic area encompassing all components of a US-SES project, including border fencing.
- y. Property Line. The legal boundary between separately owned real estate parcels, and between privately owned parcels and public owned land or public right of way.
- z. Residence. A house, apartment or other shelter that is the abode of a person, family, or household and regularly occupied.
- aa. Setback. The minimum distance from a certain object, structure or point to the edge of any part or component of the US-SES.
- bb. Slope. The inclination of the land surface from the horizontal, with the steeper and longer having the most erosion potential.
- cc. Solar Array. Equipment used for private or utility scale solar energy systems. Can be mounted on primary or accessory structures, on a racking system affixed to the ground, or integrated as a mechanical or structural component of a structure.
- dd. Solar Collector. A device, structure or part of a device or structure for which the primary purpose is to transform solar radiant energy into thermal, mechanical, chemical, or electrical energy.
- ee. Solar Easement. An easement created to protect a solar project from encroachment by adjacent properties which would shade panels. See Iowa Code 564A.
- ff. Solar Energy. Radiant energy received from the sun that can be collected in the form of heat or light by a solar collector.
- gg. Solar Energy Systems, Private. An energy system that converts solar energy to usable thermal, mechanical, chemical, or electrical energy primarily for immediate onsite use that already has an existing principal use on the same parcel. Solar Energy Systems, Private shall be allowed only as a non-utility scale accessory use to a permitted principal use. Surplus energy sold back to a utility must comply with all applicable laws including but not limited to Section 199, Chapter 15.11(5) of Iowa Administrative Code, and all requirements of the Iowa Utilities Board. Systems can be mounted on primary or accessory structures, on a racking system affixed to the ground, or integrated as a mechanical or structural component of a structure.
- hh. Solar Energy Systems, Utility Scale (US-SES). An energy system, commonly referred to as a "solar farm", which converts solar energy to useable thermal, mechanical, chemical, or electrical energy primarily for transmission through the electrical grid for offsite use or wholesale and/or retail sale. Systems can be mounted on primary or accessory structures, on a racking system affixed to the ground, or integrated as a mechanical or structural component of a structure. Utility scale solar energy systems do not include concentrating solar power (CSP) systems.
- ii. Solar Panel. 1) A grouping of photovoltaic cells used to generate electricity directly from sunlight. A grouping of these panels is called an array. 2) A panel circulating water or other liquid through tubes to collect, transfer and store the sun's heat for domestic hot water and building heat.
- jj. Solar Storage Battery. A device that stores energy from the sun and makes it available in an electrical form.
- kk. Solar Storage Unit. A component of a solar energy deice that is used to store solar-generated electricity or heat for later use.
- 11. Solar Thermal Energy System (STES). A system that directly heats water or other liquids using sunlight. The heated liquid is used for such purposes as space heating and cooling, domestic hot water, and hearing pool water.
- mm. Structure. Anything constructed or erected on the ground or attached to the ground, including but not limited to, antenna(s), buildings, sheds, cabins, residences, signs, storage tanks, towers, wind turbines and other similar objects.
- nn. Structure-Mounted Energy System. A system where photovoltaic panels or solar thermal panels are mounted on racks attached to the roof or sidewalls of a building. Panels can be flush-mounted or angled for optimal sun exposure.
- oo. Substation. A facility that converts electricity produced by a generator like a solar energy system to a higher voltage, allowing for interconnection to high-voltage transmission lines.
- pp. System Height. The height of a solar energy system, usually referring to ground mounted systems. Total system heigh is the measurement from the ground to the top of the mounting or modules associated with a system.
- qq. Transmission lines. Power lines used to carry electricity from collection systems or substations over long distances.

Consideration 1: A conditional use permit for AP "C" with Planning and Zoning and Board of Adjustment to be able to site-specifically take into consideration the concerns of neighbors, land/sol, and other factors when approving permit.

- **Public Notification:** Newspaper Legals and Letter to Property Owners within 1 mile regarding public hearing before Board of Adjustment and Zoning Commission consideration.
- Land/Soil: Corn Suitability Rating 2 (CSR2) and Soil Types with Slope Content
 - CSR2 Average by Parcel in Agricultural Preservation (AP) Zoning District *Data acquired via Schneider/Beacon
 Using 65+ CSR2



- Agricultural Preservation: Estimated Total acres based on Schneider/Beacon gross acres with gross CSR2 greater than 65
 204,405.91 Acres
- Agricultural Preservation: Estimated Total acres based on Schneider/Beacon gross acres with gross CSR2 greater than 75
 115,504.96 Acres



CSR2 Average by Parcel in Agricultural Preservation (AP) Zoning District *Data acquired via Schneider/Beacon



- Agricultural Preservation: Estimated Total acres based on Schneider/Beacon gross acres with gross CSR2 greater than 65
 204,405.91 Acres
- Agricultural Preservation: Estimated Total acres based on Schneider/Beacon gross acres with gross CSR2 greater than 75
 115,504.96 Acres



AP zoned area in

• Soil Types with Slope Content *NRCS Data acquired via Schneider/Beacon



V	
V	
V	
V	
V	
V	
V	
V	
~	
V	
V	
V	
V	
V	
-	
V	
V	
V	
V	
~	
~	
V	
V	
V	
v	
v	
V	
V	
V	
V	
V	
-	
V	
-	
V	
~	
V	
V	
V	
V	
V	
V	
V	
1.	

Albaton silty clay, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded	Albaton silty clay, 0 to 2 percent s
Albaton silty clay, depressional, drained, 0 to 1 percent slopes, frequently flooded	
Anthon silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Anthon silty clay loam, 0 to 2 per
Anthon silty clay loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes	Anthon silty clay loam, 2 to 5 per
Blake silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded	Blake silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percer
Blake silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded	Blake silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percer
Blencoe-Woodbury silty clays, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded	Blencoe-Woodbury silty clays, 0 t
Blend silty clay, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded	Blend silty clay, 0 to 2 percent slop
Burcham silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded	Burcham silt loam, 0 to 2 percent
Burchard clay loam, 9 to 18 percent slopes	Burchard clay loarn, 9 to 18 perce
Calco silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded	Calco silty clay loam, 0 to 2 perce
Castana silt loam, 14 to 20 percent slopes	Castana silt loam, 14 to 20 percen
Castana silt loam, 9 to 14 percent slopes	Castana silt loam, 9 to 14 percent
Cooper silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded	Cooper silty clay loam, 0 to 2 perc
Danbury silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded	Danbury silt loam, 0 to 2 percent
Deloit Ioam, 2 to 5 percent slopes	Deloit loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes
Deloit loam, 5 to 9 percent slopes	Deloit loam, 5 to 9 percent slopes
Deloit Ioam, 9 to 18 percent slopes	Deloit loam, 9 to 18 percent slope
Dockery-Quiver silt loams, deep loess, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded	Dockery-Quiver silt loams, deep l
airhaven silt loam, 32 to 40 inches to sand and gravel, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Fairhaven silt loam, 32 to 40 inche
airhaven silt loam, 32 to 40 inches to sand and gravel, 2 to 5 percent slopes	Fairhaven silt loam, 32 to 40 inche
luvaquents, 0 to 2 percent slopes, frequently flooded	Fluvaquents, 0 to 2 percent slopes
Galva silty clay loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes	Galva silty clay loam, 2 to 5 perce
Salva silty clay loam, 5 to 9 percent slopes, eroded	Galva silty clay loam, 5 to 9 perce
alva silty clay loam, terrace, 2 to 5 percent slopes	Galva silty clay loam, terrace, 2 to
Salva silty clay loam, terrace, 5 to 9 percent slopes, eroded	Galva silty clay loam, terrace, 5 to
rable-Morconick complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded	Grable-Morconick complex, 0 to 2
Grable-Morconick complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded	Grable-Morconick complex, 0 to
Frantcenter silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded	Grantcenter silty clay loam, 0 to 2
lamburg silt loam, 40 to 75 percent slopes	Hamburg silt loam, 40 to 75 perce
lawick sandy loam, 14 to 18 percent slopes	Hawick sandy loam, 14 to 18 perc
Hawick sandy loam, 18 to 25 percent slopes	Hawick sandy loam, 18 to 25 perc
Hawick sandy loam, 5 to 9 percent slopes	Hawick sandy loam, 5 to 9 percer
Hawick sandy loam, 9 to 14 percent slopes	Hawick sandy loam, 9 to 14 perce
Haynie silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded	Haynie silt loam, 0 to 2 percent sl
Haynie silt loam, deep loess, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded	Haynie silt loam, deep loess, 0 to
Holly Springs silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded	Holly Springs silty clay loam, 0 to
Holly Springs silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded, overwash	Holly Springs silty clay loam, 0 to
da silt loam, 14 to 20 percent slopes, severely eroded	Ida silt loam, 14 to 20 percent slop
da silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes, severely eroded	Ida silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slope
da silt loam, 20 to 30 percent slopes	Ida silt loam, 20 to 30 percent slop
da silt loarn, 20 to 30 percent slopes, severely eroded	Ida silt loam, 20 to 30 percent slop
da silt loam, 30 to 40 percent slopes	Ida silt loam, 30 to 40 percent slop
da silt loam, 5 to 9 percent slopes	Ida silt loam, 5 to 9 percent slopes
da silt loam, 5 to 9 percent slopes, severely eroded	Ida silt loam, 5 to 9 percent slopes

slopes, rarely flooded drained, 0 to 1 percent slopes, frequently flooded ercent slopes ercent slopes ent slopes, occasionally flooded ent slopes, rarely flooded) to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded lopes, rarely flooded nt slopes, rarely flooded ent slopes ent slopes, occasionally flooded ent slopes nt slopes rcent slopes, rarely flooded slopes, occasionally flooded les loess, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded hes to sand and gravel, 0 to 2 percent slopes hes to sand and gravel, 2 to 5 percent slopes es, frequently flooded ent slopes cent slopes, eroded to 5 percent slopes o 9 percent slopes, eroded 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded cent slopes rcent slopes rcent slopes ent slopes cent slopes slopes, occasionally flooded 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded o 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded o 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded, overwash opes, severely eroded es, severely eroded opes opes, severely eroded opes es es, severely eroded

Ida silt Ioam, 9 to 14 percent slopes, severely eroded V Ida-Urban land complex, 14 to 20 percent slopes **v** Ida-Urban land complex, 2 to 9 percent slopes V Ida-Urban land complex, 20 to 30 percent slopes Ida-Urban land complex, 9 to 14 percent slopes **v** Judson silty clay loam, deep loess, 2 to 5 percent slopes V Judson silty clay loam, deep loess, 5 to 9 percent slopes V Judson-Rawles complex, 0 to 5 percent slopes V Keg loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded V V Kennebec silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded V Kennebec silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded, overwash Kennebec silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded V Lakeport silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded V Larpenteur loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded V Liston-Burchard complex, 18 to 25 percent slopes ✓ ✓ Liston-Burchard complex, 25 to 40 percent slopes Luton silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded V V Luton silty clay, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded Modale complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded J Modale complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded V V Monona silt loam, 14 to 20 percent slopes Monona silt loam, 14 to 20 percent slopes, severely eroded V Monona silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes Image: A start of the start of Monona silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes, eroded V **v** Monona silt loam, 20 to 30 percent slopes V Monona silt loam, 5 to 9 percent slopes, eroded V Monona silt loam, 9 to 14 percent slopes, eroded V Monona silt loam, 9 to 14 percent slopes, severely eroded Monona silt loam, terrace, 0 to 2 percent slopes V V Monona silt loam, terrace, 2 to 5 percent slopes Monona silt loam, terrace, 2 to 5 percent slopes, eroded Monona silt loam, terrace, 5 to 9 percent slopes, eroded V V Monona silty clay loam, 14 to 20 percent slopes, eroded V Monona silty clay loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes Monona silty clay loam, 5 to 9 percent slopes, eroded V **v** Monona silty clay loam, 9 to 14 percent slopes, eroded Monona silty clay loam, terrace, 0 to 2 percent slopes V -Monona silty clay loam, terrace, 2 to 5 percent slopes Monona silty clay loam, terrace, 5 to 9 percent slopes, eroded V Monona silty clay loam, terrace, 9 to 14 percent slopes, eroded 1 Monona-Ida silt loams, 14 to 20 percent slopes, eroded ✓ V Monona-Urban land complex, 14 to 20 percent slopes Monona-Urban land complex, 2 to 5 percent slopes V **v** Monona-Urban land complex, 5 to 9 percent slopes V Monona-Urban land complex, 9 to 14 percent slopes Morconick fine sandy loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded V Morconick fine sandy loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded V Moville-Holly Springs, overwash complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded ٧ Napa-Luton-Tieville silty clays, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded V Napier silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes V Napier silt loam, 5 to 9 percent slopes ۷ V Napier-Castana silt loams, 9 to 20 percent slopes V Napier-Castana-Urban land complex, 9 to 14 percent slopes V Napier-Gullied land complex, 5 to 14 percent slopes V Napier-Kennebec-Colo complex, 0 to 5 percent slopes V Napier-Rawles complex, 2 to 5 percent slopes V Napier-Urban land complex, 2 to 5 percent slopes V Napier-Urban land complex, 5 to 9 percent slopes V Onawa silty clay, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded V Onawa-Albaton complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded V Owego silty clay, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded V Percival silty clay, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded V Percival-Albaton complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded **v** Percival-Haynie-Urban land complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded V Pits, clay V Pits, sand and gravel <</p> Rawles silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded Rawles-Urban land complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes Salix silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded Sarpy loamy fine sand, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded Sarpy loamy fine sand, 2 to 5 percent slopes, occasionally flooded Sarpy loamy fine sand, 2 to 5 percent slopes, rarely flooded ۷ ✓ ✓ Sarpy loamy fine sand, 5 to 9 percent slopes, occasionally flooded Sarpy-Morconick complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded Scroll silty clay, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded V V Sewage Jagoon Smithland silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded, overwash V V Smithland silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded Smithland-Danbury-Judson complex, 0 to 5 percent slopes V Spillville loam. 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded √ V Ticonic very fine sandy loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded V Tieville silty clay, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded Udorthents, loamy V V Udorthents, sanitary landfill V Urban land V Water V Wilsey silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded Woodbury silty clay, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded V Zook silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded V

Ida silt loam, 9 to 14 percent slopes, severely eroded Ida-Urban land complex, 14 to 20 percent slopes Ida-Urban land complex, 2 to 9 percent slopes Ida-Urban land complex, 20 to 30 percent slopes Ida-Urban land complex, 9 to 14 percent slopes Judson silty clay loam, deep loess, 2 to 5 percent slopes Judson silty clay loam, deep loess, 5 to 9 percent slopes Judson-Rawles complex, 0 to 5 percent slopes Keg loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded Kennebec silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded Kennebec silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded, overwash Kennebec silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded Lakeport silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded Larpenteur loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded Liston-Burchard complex, 18 to 25 percent slopes Liston-Burchard complex, 25 to 40 percent slopes Luton silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded Luton silty clay, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded Modale complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded Modale complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded Monona silt loam, 14 to 20 percent slopes Monona silt loam, 14 to 20 percent slopes, severely eroded Monona silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes Monona silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes, eroded Monona silt loam, 20 to 30 percent slopes Monona silt loam, 5 to 9 percent slopes, eroded Monona silt loam, 9 to 14 percent slopes, eroded Monona silt loam, 9 to 14 percent slopes, severely eroded Monona silt loam, terrace, 0 to 2 percent slopes Monona silt loam, terrace, 2 to 5 percent slopes Monona silt loam, terrace, 2 to 5 percent slopes, eroded Monona silt loam, terrace, 5 to 9 percent slopes, eroded Monona silty clay loam, 14 to 20 percent slopes, eroded Monona silty clay loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes Monona silty clay loam, 5 to 9 percent slopes, eroded Monona silty clay loam, 9 to 14 percent slopes, eroded Monona silty clay loam, terrace, 0 to 2 percent slopes Monona silty clay loam, terrace, 2 to 5 percent slopes Monona silty clay loam, terrace, 5 to 9 percent slopes, eroded Monona silty clay loam, terrace, 9 to 14 percent slopes, eroded Monona-Ida silt loams, 14 to 20 percent slopes, eroded Monona-Urban land complex, 14 to 20 percent slopes Monona-Urban land complex, 2 to 5 percent slopes Monona-Urban land complex, 5 to 9 percent slopes Monona-Urban land complex, 9 to 14 percent slopes Morconick fine sandy loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded Morconick fine sandy loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded Moville-Holly Springs, overwash complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded Napa-Luton-Tieville silty clays, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded Napier silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes Napier silt loam, 5 to 9 percent slopes Napier-Castana silt loams, 9 to 20 percent slopes Napier-Castana-Urban land complex, 9 to 14 percent slopes Napier-Gullied land complex, 5 to 14 percent slopes Napier-Kennebec-Colo complex, 0 to 5 percent slopes Napier-Rawles complex, 2 to 5 percent slopes Napier-Urban land complex, 2 to 5 percent slopes Napier-Urban land complex, 5 to 9 percent slopes Onawa silty clay, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded Onawa-Albaton complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded Owego silty clay, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded Percival silty clay, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded Percival-Albaton complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded Percival-Haynie-Urban land complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded Pits, clay Pits, sand and gravel Rawles silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded Rawles-Urban land complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes Salix silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded Sarpy loamy fine sand, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded Sarpy loamy fine sand, 2 to 5 percent slopes, occasionally flooded

Sarpy loamy fine sand, 2 to 5 percent slopes, occasionally flooded Sarpy loamy fine sand, 2 to 5 percent slopes, rarely flooded Sarpy loamy fine sand, 5 to 9 percent slopes, occasionally flooded Sarpy-Morconick complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded Scroll silty clay, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded Sewage lagoon

Smithland silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded, overwash Smithland silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded Smithland-Danbury-Judson complex, 0 to 5 percent slopes Spillville loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded Ticonic very fine sandy loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded Ticoile silty clay, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded Udorthents, loamy Udorthents, sanitary landfill Urban land Water

Wilsey silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded Woodbury silty clay, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely flooded Zook silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded

*NRCS Data acquired via Schneider/Beacon

Soil Types with Slope content greater than 5% (Red) *NRCS Data acquired via Schneider/Beacon 0



Abaton silv, civ, 2 to 2 percent slopes, neely flooded Abaton silv, civ, 2 depressional (sained, 50 to 1 percent slopes, frequently flood Abaton silv, civ, 2 depressional (sained, 50 to 1 percent slopes, ranky flooded Bike silv, civ, loam, 50 a 2 percent slopes, ranky flooded Bike silv, civ, loam, 50 a 2 percent slopes, ranky flooded Bike silv, civ, loam, 50 a 2 percent slopes, ranky flooded Bike silv, civ, loam, 50 a 2 percent slopes, ranky flooded Burchard (s), civ, 50 a 3 percent slopes, ranky flooded Burchard (s), civ, 50 a 3 percent slopes, ranky flooded Burchard (s), civ, 50 a 3 percent slopes, ranky flooded Databaton (s), civ, 50 a 3 percent slopes, ranky flooded Databaton (s), civ, 50 a 3 percent slopes, ranky flooded Databaton (s), civ, 50 a 3 percent slopes, ranky flooded Databaton (s), civ, 50 a 3 percent slopes, ranky flooded Databaton (s), civ, 50 a 3 percent slopes, ranky flooded Databaton (s), civ, 50 a 3 percent slopes, ranky flooded Databaton (s), civ, 50 a 3 percent slopes, ranky flooded Databaton (s), civ, 50 a 3 percent slopes, ranky flooded Databaton, 76 a 5 percent slopes, ranky flooded Hamburg ail Leam, 40 to 3 percent slopes Haukick andy leam, 18 to 3 percent slopes Haukick andy leam, 18 to 3 percent slopes Haukick andy leam, 18 to 3 percent slopes, ranky flooded Holly 5 pings slity, civ, leam, 10 to 3 percent slopes, ranky flooded Holly 5 pings slity, civ, leam, 10 to 3 percent slopes, ranky flooded Holly 5 pings slity, civ, leam, 10 to 3 percent slopes, ranky flooded Holly 5 pings slity, civ, leam, 10 to 3 percent

Abbots niky day, 0 to 2 percent signs, many finoded al Abbots niky day, expressional, about, 0 to 1 percent signs, frequently finoded Abbots niky day isam, 2 to 3 percent signs filters niky day, 1 and 2 percent signs, response finoded Bierce Wordbury niky day, 0 to 2 percent signs, response finoded Bierce Wordbury niky day, 0 to 2 percent signs, response finoded Bierce Nathon, 1 to 3 percent signs, ranky finoded Bierce Nathon, 1 to 2 percent signs, ranky finoded Bierce Nathon, 1 to 2 percent signs, ranky finoded Bierce Nathon, 1 to 2 percent signs, ranky finoded Bierce Nathon, 1 to 2 percent signs, ranky finoded Bierce Nathon, 1 to 2 percent signs, ranky finoded Debts and 2 percent signs Debts and 2 percent signs, ranky finoded Debts and 2 percent signs. Table, and 2 percent signs Debts and 2 percent signs, ranky finoded Debts

Mecconick fine sandy loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, accasionally filocoded Mecconick fine sandy loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, analy filocoded Mecconick fine sandy loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, randy flocoded Naga-1 uton-Terville silly claps, 0 to 2 percent slopes, randy flocoded Naga-in til boam, 5 to 5 percent slopes Nagier sill boam, 5 to 5 percent slopes Nagier sill boam, 5 to 5 percent slopes Nagier-Statan-Urban land complex, 9 to 1 A percent slopes Nagier-Statan-Urban land complex, 9 to 1 A percent slopes Nagier-Statan-Urban land complex, 0 to 5 percent slopes Nagier-Urban land complex, 0 to 5 percent slopes Percival-Nation complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes, consisting/flooded Percival-Haban complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes, nerely flooded Percival-Haban complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes, nerely flooded Percival-Haban complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes, nerely flooded Percival-Haban complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes, nerely flooded Percival-Haban complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes, nerely flooded Percival-Haban complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes, nerely flooded Percival-Haban complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes, nerely flooded Percival-Haban complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes, nerely flooded Percival-Haban complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes, nerely flooded Percival-Haban complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes, nerely flooded Percival-Haban complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes, nerely flooded Percival-Nation complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes, nerely flooded Percival-Haban complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes, nerely flooded Percival-Nation complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes, nerely flooded Percival-Nation complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes, nerely flooded Percival-Nation complex, 0 to 2 Percival-Haineria Complex, 10: 2 percent dioper, caccionally floaded Percival-Haineria Complex, 10: 2 percent dioper, carely floaded Pet, sand and grandl Rawles Uban Into Complex, 10: 2 percent dioper Sandon S

*NRCS Data acquired via Schneider/Beacon

Macconick fine sandy loam, 0 to 2 parcent slope, a cossionally flooded Macconick fine sandy loam, 0 to 2 parcent slope, anely flooded Macconick fine sandy loam, 0 to 2 parcent slopes, mely flooded Napa: Linkon Tiellik silly clays. 10 to 2 parcent slopes majer al loam, 3 to 8 parcent slopes Napier al loam, 3 to 8 parcent slopes Napier-Catana Linkon land comples, 9 to 1 parcent slopes Napier-Catana Linkon land comples, 9 to 9 parcent slopes Napier-Catana Linkon land Scomples, 9 to 9 parcent slopes Napier-Linkon lander, 10 s 9 parcent slopes Napier-Linkon lander, 10 s 9 parcent slopes Napier-Linkon land comples, 10 s 9 parcent slopes Comaan slihy clay, 0 to 2 parcent slopes, rarely flooded Parcial-Maktor comples, 10 s 2 parcent slopes, cassionaly flooded Parcial-Nabitor comples, 10 s 2 parcent slopes, cassionaly flooded Parcial-Nabitor comples, 10 s 2 parcent slopes, cassionally flooded Parcial-Nabitor comples, 10 s 2 parcent slopes, cassionally flooded Parcial-Nabitor comples, 10 s 2 parcent slopes, cassionally flooded Parcial-Nabitor comples, 10 s 2 parcent slopes, cassionally flooded Parcial-Nabitor comples, 10 s 2 parcent slopes, cassionally flooded Parcial-Nabitor comples, 10 s 2 parcent slopes, cassionally flooded Parcial-Nabitor comples, 10 s 2 parcent slopes, cassionally flooded Parcial-Nabitor comples, 10 s 2 parcent slopes, cassionally flooded Parcial-Nabitor comples, 10 s 2 parcent slopes, cassionally flooded Parcial-Nabitor comples, 10 s 2 parcent slopes, cassionally flooded Parcial-Nabitor comples, 10 s 2 parcent slopes, 3 parcent

Percival-Habitanic complex, Dt.2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded Petc.34-Habitanic complex, Dt.2 percent slopes, rarely flooded Petc, and and garvel Ravies slith Jama, Dt.2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded Ravies slith Lana, Dt.2 percent slopes, and the slope s

70

• Areas with soil slopes between 0-5% *NRCS Data acquired via Schneider/Beacon



• Areas with soil slopes greater than 5% *NRCS Data acquired via Schneider/Beacon



- o Floodplain and Soils with Slope over 5% *NRCS data and floodplain Data acquired via Schneider/Beacon
 - Blue Represents Floodplain Areas
 - Red represents areas with Slope over 5%
 - Green represents areas with Slope under 5%



• Floodplain and CSR2 *NRCS data and floodplain Data acquired via Schneider/Beacon

- Floodplain "Blue"
- CSR2
 - 0-35 "Green"
 - 35-64– "Brown"
 - 65-100 = "Red"



Consideration 3: A maximum height of no more than 20' for panel structures.

- Language could be considered that places a 20' height limitation on the solar panels.
 - According to the *Renewable Solar Energy Systems Model Ordinance* by Guyer and Snell, 20 FT is offered as a possible height limitation for consideration. However, if agrisolar systems are to be considered in the future, the bulk regulations of the zoning district could be considered which are 45 FT. According to the AgriSolar Clearinghouse, "maximum heights range from 12 to 45 feet. Most fall between 15 and 25 feet" (Website: https://www.agrisolarclearinghouse.org/)

County	Location	Population (2023)	Height Requirement
Adair		7,439	Unspecified.
Clayton		16,716	Reverts to Zoning Ordinance. Varies: 25 to 35 FT.
Clinton		45,662	Bulk regulations of the ordinance for structures by Zoning District.
Dubuque		100,949	Bulk regulations of the ordinance for structures by Zoning District.
Johnson		159,445	35 FT
Linn		236,020	Not referenced.
Louisa		10,672	Not referenced.
Mills		14,310	15 FT at a maximum tilt.
Monona		8,604	No restriction.
Muscatine		43,382	Bulk regulations.
Polk		510,929	Bulk regulations.
Ringgold		4,522	No reference.

Scott	177,501	Bulk regulations of the ordinance for structures by Zoning District.
Tama	16,946	TBD

Consideration 4: Of all AP, no more than 49% can be in such a project. In short, 51% must be for agricultural production or no longer considered "AP."

• This is to consider the co-existence of agricultural and utility solar. If a solar project is to co-exist on farm ground, it may be considered to require that 51% of the project be used to support agricultural purposes.

Consideration 5: Utility solar can be no more than 2% of all AP "agricultural preservation," preserving 98% of AP. This equates to approximately 8,540 acres of the 427,000 acres of ag land, ag land constituting 75% of the 570,000 total acres in Woodbury County.

• Based on GIS data calculated by WCICC, it appears the Agricultural Preservation (AP) Zoning District is comprised of 508,624.55 total assessed acres. If a 2% cap is instituted, this would make approximately 10,172.49 acres available for consideration for utility-solar in the AP Zoning District.

Zoning District		Total Assessed Net Acres	2% Cap
Agricultural Pres	ervation (AP)	508,624.55	10,172.49
ParcelNumber County_Zoning_G		500,021.55	10,172.19
1 874231300002 AP	1749948.0119600 40.00		
2 894328300001 AP	1687765.7362400 39.00		
3 864610400002 AP	1694640.7414700 39.00		
4 884422300005 AP	1585196.7091100 36.11		
5 864423100001 AP	1704218.3953600 38.43		
6 874301400003 AP	1676879.5581500 39.00		
7 86430620006 AP	1846312.5195300 40.42		
8 864214400001 AP	1780673.1848300 40.00		
9 86473520003 AP	1711274.6214900 40.00		
	1711274.0214300 40.00		
Total_AP_Parcels 1 16277			
Total_AP_Parcels_with_calculated_a 1 16000	rea_data		
Total_AP_Parcels_with_net_acres_d	ata		
1 16017			
Total_AP_Area_in_SqR 1 22235446657.2539488 Total_AP_assessed_netarces			
1 508624.55		0.051.00	
General Industria	l (GI)	9,051.89	-
ParcelNumber County_Zoning	GIS area neta	es	
1 874719200006 GI	501954.5607650 11.4		
2 874717300006 GI	1568660.3322300 34.5		
3 874717300004 GI	1783263.2969900 40.0		
4 874731200001 GI	1650863.1450400 37.7		
5 874811300002 GI	33478.7569978 0.00		
6 874811400004 GI	1703073.5293600 39.0		
7 874720400002 GI	1705136.0371400 39.0		
8 874721300007 GI	158891.1942290 3.41		
Total_AP_Parcels			
1 340 Total_AP_Parcels_with_calculated	l area data		
1 338			
Total_AP_Parcels_with_net_acres	_data		
Total_AP_Area_in_SqPt 1 458024577.7374108			
Total_AP_assessed_netarces 1 9051.89			
·			

Consideration 6: Current notification for utility-scale solar shall be 1 mile for public comment instead of 500 feet.

- As a conditional use, the notification area of 500 FT from the project site could be expanded to one (1) mile. It will be important to note, that this could increase administrative costs. However, the Board of Supervisors did revise the fee schedule on August 2, 2022 to require the owners(s)/applicant(s) for conditional use permits to pay additional costs associated with the processing, printing, and the mailing of notifications of the public hearings when the number of mailings exceeds 30. They shall also pay the additional costs of the legal publication notice(s) in newspaper(s) when the fees exceed \$100.00.
- The Zoning Commission may also make recommendations to the fee structure for utility-scale solar conditional use permits.

Consideration 7: A requirement (or at least strong consideration) that the utility-scale solar project either be on a landowner's property or that the owner of the land be a resident of Woodbury County.

• The Zoning Commission might consider either a requirement or consideration that the utility-scale solar project either be on a landowner's property or that the owner of the land be a resident of Woodbury County.