NOTICE OF MEETING OF THE WOODBURY COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS (JULY 22, 2025) (WEEK 30 OF 2025)



Live streaming at: <u>https://www.youtube.com/user/woodburycountyiowa</u>

Agenda and Minutes available at: <u>www.woodburycountyiowa.gov</u>

Daniel A. Bittinger II	Kent T. Carper	David L. Dietrich	Mark E. Nelson	Matthew A. Ung
389-4405	570-7681	870-9224	540-1259	490-7852
dbittinger@woodburycountyiowa.gov	kcarper@woodburycountyiowa.gov	ddietrich@woodburycountyiowa.gov	mnelson@woodburycountyiowa.gov	matthewung@woodburycountyiowa.gov

You are hereby notified a meeting of the Woodbury County Board of Supervisors will be held July 22, 2025, at **4:30 p.m.** in the Basement of the Courthouse, 620 Douglas Street, Sioux City, Iowa, for the purpose of taking official action on the agenda items shown hereinafter and for such other business that may properly come before the Board.

This is a formal meeting during which the Board may take official action on various items of business. Members of the public wishing to speak on an item must follow the participation rules adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

- 1. Please silence cell phones and other devices while in the Boardroom.
- 2. The Chair may recognize speakers on agenda items after initial discussion by the Board.
- 3. Speakers will approach the microphone one at a time and give their name and address before their statement.
- 4. Speakers will limit their remarks to three minutes on any one item and address their remarks to the Board.
- 5. At the beginning of discussion on any item, the Chair may request statements in favor of an action be heard first followed by statements in opposition to the action. The Chair may also request delegates provide statements on behalf of multiple speakers.
- 6. Any concerns or questions which do not relate to a scheduled item on the agenda will be heard under the item "Citizen Concerns." Please note the Board is legally prohibited from taking action on or engaging in deliberation on concerns not listed on the agenda, and in such cases the Chair will request further discussion take place after properly noticed.
- 7. Public comment by electronic or telephonic means is prohibited except for a particular agenda item when approved by the Chair 24 hours before a meeting or by a majority of the board during a meeting for a subsequent meeting.

<u>AGENDA</u>

- 4:30 p.m. Call Meeting to Order Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag Moment of Silence
 - 1. Approval of the agenda

Action

Consent Agenda

Items 2 through 6 constitute a Consent Agenda of routine action items to be considered by one motion. Items pass unanimously unless a separate vote is requested by a Board Member.

- 2. Approval of the minutes of July 15, 2025, meeting
- 3. Approval of claims
- 4. Human Resources Melissa Thomas Approval of Memorandum of Personnel Transactions
- 5. County Treasurer Tina Bertrand Approval of property tax refund on parcel #884717408003 in the amount of \$3007.00

6. Board Administration – Karen James Approval to lift tax suspension for T.S.

End of Consent Agenda

7.	Human Resources – Melissa Thomas Approve the purchase of new office furniture and carpet for the Human Resources office	Action
8. 4:40 p.m. (Set time)	 Planning/Zoning – Dan Priestley a. Conduct first public hearing to amend the Zoning Ordinance to include nuclear energy facilities, nuclear waste storage, and related uses as allowed or condition uses in specific zoning districts, such as the General Industrial Zoning District, while addressing prohibitions, public notification requirements, and necessary updates to definitions and ordinance structure, and related changes b. Approve the 1st Reading of the Zoning Ordinance Text Amendments 	Action nal Action
9. 4:45 p.m. (Set time)	 Planning/Zoning – Dan Priestley a. Conduct first public hearing to amend the Zoning Ordinance to comply with Iowa Senate File 592, signed into Iaw on May 1, 2025, which mandates allowing at least one accessory dwelling unit on lots with single-family residences and prohibits certain restrictive regulations, necessitating updates to sections like the Land use Summary Table, Lot Requirements, and Number of Residential Struct b. Approve the 1st reading of the Zoning Ordinance Text Amendments 	e
10.	Williams & Company – Chad Reginer Discuss and receive the Woodbury County's Certified Annual Financial Report for FY 2024	Action
11.	County Treasurer – Tina Bertrand Information and discussion regarding ATV ordinance	Information
12.	Juvenile Detention – Ryan Weber Approve contract with State of Nebraska to house youth in the juvenile detention center	Action
13.	Reports on Committee Meetings	Information
14.	Citizen Concerns	Information
15.	Board Concerns	Information

ADJOURNMENT

Subject to Additions/Deletions

CALENDAR OF EVENTS

WED., JUL 23	2:30 p.m.	Rolling Hills Community Services Region Governance Board Meeting
MON., JUL 28	5:00 p.m.	Zoning Commission Meeting, Courthouse Basement Boardroom
THU., JUL 24		Western Iowa Community Improvement Regional Housing Trust Fund Board of Directors, 6401 Gordon, Dr.
MON., AUG 4	5:00 p.m.	Board of Adjustment meeting, Courthouse Basement Boardroom
WED., AUG 6	4:45 p.m.	Veteran Affairs Meeting, Veteran Affairs Office, 1211 Tri-View Ave.
WED., AUG 13	7:30 a.m.	SIMPCO Executive Finance Committee Meeting, 6401 Gordon Drive
	8:05 a.m.	Woodbury County Information Communication Commission, First Floor Boardroom
	12:00 p.m.	District Board of Health Meeting, 1014 Nebraska St.
THU., AUG 14	12:00 p.m.	SIMPCO Board of Directors, 6401 Gordon Drive.
FRI., AUG 15	12:00 p.m.	Siouxland Human Investment Partnership Board Meeting, 607 – 4 th Street.
	4:00 p.m.	Conservation Board Meeting, Dorothy Pecaut Nature Center, Stone Park
WED., AUG 20	12:00 p.m.	Siouxland Economic Development Corporation Meeting, 617 Pierce St., Ste. 202
THU., AUG 21	4:30 p.m.	Community Action Agency of Siouxland Board Meeting, 2700 Leech Avenue
MON., AUG 25	5:00 p.m.	Zoning Commission Meeting, Courthouse Basement Boardroom
WED., AUG 27	2:30 p.m.	Rolling Hills Community Services Region Governance Board Meeting

Woodbury County is an Equal Opportunity Employer. In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, the County will consider reasonable accommodations for qualified individuals with disabilities and encourages prospective employees and incumbents to discuss potential accommodations with the Employer.

JULY 15, 2025, TWENTY-NINTH MEETING OF THE WOODBURY COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

The Board of Supervisors met on Tuesday, July 15, 2025, at 4:30 p.m. Board members present were Carper, Ung, Nelson, and Dietrich, Bittinger II was absent. Staff members present were Karen James, Board Administrative Assistant, Ryan Ericson, Budget and Finance Director, Joshua Widman, Assistant County Attorney, and Michelle Skaff, Auditor/Clerk to the Board.

The regular meeting was called to order with the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag and a Moment of Silence.

1. Motion by Ung second by Dietrich to approve the agenda for July 15, 2025. Carried 4-0. Copy filed.

Motion by Dietrich second by Carper to approve the following items by consent:

- 2. To approve minutes of the July 8, 2025 meeting. Copy filed.
- 3. To approve the claims totaling \$721,099.26. Copy filed.
- 4. To approve the reclassification of Sam Briese, Assistant County Attorney, County Attorney's Dept., effective 07-21-2025, \$89,679.00/year. Per AFSCME Attorney: Move from Step 1 to Step 2. Anniversary Date: 07/21/25. Copy filed.
- 5a. To receive the County Auditor's Quarterly report for the period of April 1, 2025 through June 30, 2025. Copy filed.
- 5b. To receive the County Recorder's report of fees collected for the period of 04/01/2025 through 06/30/2025. Copy filed.
- 6. To receive the Treasurer's semiannual report for the period ending June 30, 2025. Copy filed.

Carried 4-0.

8. A public hearing was held at 4:35 p.m. for the sale of parcel #894726113008, 1001 Helen St.

Motion by Ung second by Dietrich to close the public hearing. Carried 4-0.

Motion by Nelson second by Ung to approve and authorize the Chairperson to sign a Resolution for the sale of parcel #894726113008, 1001 Helen St., to RGC Properties LLC, Sioux City, for \$8,000.00, plus recording fees. Carried 4-0.

RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF WOODBURY COUNTY, IOWA RESOLUTION #<u>13,929</u>

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Woodbury County, Iowa, that the offer at public auction of:

By RGC Properties LLC____in the sum of Eight Thousand Dollars & 00/100 (\$8,000.00)-------dollars.

For the following described real estate, To Wit:

Parcel #894726113008

Lots Four (4), Five (5), and Six (6) in Block Twenty-Five (25), Booge and Taylor's Addition to Sioux City, Iowa and that part of the north/south alley abutting Lots 4, 5, 6, Block 25, Booge and Taylor's Addition, Sioux City, Woodbury County, Iowa and vacated obsolete Tenth Street, abutting Lot 6, Block 25, Booge and Taylor's Addition to Sioux City, Woodbury County, Iowa (1001 Helen Street)

Now and included in and forming a part of the City of Sioux <u>City</u>. Iowa, the same is hereby accepted: said

Amount being a sum <u>LESS</u> than the amount of the general taxes, interests, costs and penalties against the said Real Estate.

BE IT RESOLVED that payment is due by close of business on the day of passage of this resolution or this sale is null and void and this resolution shall be rescinded.

BE IT RESOLVED that per Code of Iowa Section 569.8(3 & 4), a parcel the County holds by tax deed shall not be assessed or taxed until transferred and upon transfer of a parcel so acquired gives the purchaser free title as to previously levied or set taxes. Therefore, the County Treasurer is requested to abate any taxes previously levied or set on this parcel(s).

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Chairman of this Board be and he is hereby authorized to execute a Quit Claim Deed for the said premises to the said purchaser.

SO DATED this 15th Day of July, 2025.

WOODBURY COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS Copy filed.

- 7. Motion by Nelson second by Carper to approve to award the bid to Barry Motor Company, for \$60,980.00 to be paid from Gaming. Carried 4-0. Copy filed.
- 9. Motion by Dietrich second by Nelson to approve the disposition of the 2 Single Axle Trucks, Ford tractor, Brush Chipper, Oliver Disc, and Garden Disc via sealed bids. Carried 4-0. Copy filed.
- 10. Reports on committee meetings where heard.
- 11. EMS Director Baier addressed the board regarding improvements at the Climbing Hill facility.

Christopher Prosch addressed the board regarding his State Senate District 1 candidacy.

12. Board concerns were heard.

The Board adjourned the regular meeting until July 22, 2025.

Meeting sign in sheet. Copy filed.

HUMAN RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

MEMORANDUM OF PERSONNEL TRANSACTIONS

DATE: July 22, 2025

* PERSONNEL ACTION CODE:

- A- Appointment T - Transfer P - Promotion D - Demotion
- R-Reclassification E- End of Probation S - Separation O - Other

TO: WOODBURY COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

NAME	DEPARTMENT	EFFECTIVE DATE	JOB TITLE	SALARY REQUESTED	% INCREASE	*	REMARKS
Flanders, Nevaeh	Building Services	08-11-2025	Custodian	\$17.81/hour		A	Job Vacancy Posted on 6/18/25. Entry Level Salary: \$17.81/hr.
McKinley, Yesenia	Building Services	08-11-2025	Custodian	\$17.81/hour		A	Job Vacancy Posted on 6/18/25. Entry Level Salary \$17.81/hr.
							-

APPROVED BY BOARD DATE:

MELISSA THOMAS, HR DIRECTOR:

Melisser Thomas HR purcher



Tina M. Bertrand Woodbury County Treasurer 822 Douglas St Ste 102 Sioux City IA 51101 712-279-6495

July 15, 2025

RE: Request for refund

Dear Board of Supervisors,

The following have requested our office to issue refunds to them:

Closing Siouxland 8847 17 408 003 (4560 Badger Ct) \$3007.00 An overpayment was paid on this property when the sale was closed.

Please approve the refund of the amount above for the parcel stated. The refund will be processed upon confirmation the payment is not returned as non-sufficient.

If you have any questions with this request, please feel free to contact me.

Thank you for your time,

Joined J. Spe

Janet L. Trimpe Woodbury County Tax Deputy jtrimpe@woodburycountyiowa.gov 712-224-6024



WOODBURY COUNTY TREASURER

www.woodburycountyiowa.gov 822 Douglas St. Room 102 Sioux City, IA 51101 Mail to: Woodbury County Treasurer 822 Douglas St., Room 102 Sioux City, Iowa 51101

Request for Property Tax Refund

We hereby request a refund of property tax paid for the following parcels:

PARCEL # 8847 17 408 003 PARCEL # PARCEL # PARCEL # PARCEL # REASON FOR REFUND:	Amount \$3,007 Amount Amount Amount
 ▷ Over Payment made on	Sold Property on
REFUND REQUESTED BY:	
Name(s): <u>Closing</u> Stourland Z (Refund to be issued to national Mailing Address: <u>JUW</u> 4 th St. City: <u>Stour</u> City State: <u>Fr</u> Phone Number: (<u>712</u>) <u>JJY</u> <u>Sbb9</u> Printed Name: <u>Michae</u> <u>Laugh bin</u> Signature: <u>Michae</u> <u>Laugh bin</u>	Zip: SIIO/ Email: Mike @ Closing Sionxland, Con
Signature: Markon Jauph	
Office use Only: Notes:	
Clerk:	Date: 7-14-25
Refund issued on:	Check #:

Property Tax: (712)279-6495 Fax: (712) 279-6493

WOODBURY COUNTY, IOWA BOARD ADMINISTRATION MEMORANDUM

TO: Board of Supervisors

FROM: Karen James, Administrative Assistant

DATE: July 17, 2025

RE: Lifting of Tax Suspensions

Please lift the tax suspension for T.S. as this person is deceased.

WOODBURY COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AGENDA ITEM(S) REQUEST FORM

te: 07/17/2025 Week	y Agenda Date: 07/22/2025				
LECTED OFFICIAL / DEPARTMEN	IT HEAD / CITIZEN: Melissa Thomas	s HR Director			
VORDING FOR AGENDA ITEM: Approve the purchase of new office furniture and carpet for the Human Resources office.					
ACTION REQUIRED:					
Approve Ordinance \Box	Approve Resolution \Box	Approve Motion			
Public Hearing	Other: Informational	Attachments 🗹			

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The HR office is in need of updating. The carpet, file cabinets and pieced together cubicles have been here for decades.

BACKGROUND:

This request is a CIP request. The estimates in the background material include all cubicles, desks, file cabinets, and tables to be replaced with the same furnishings as the LEC offices. The carpet will be replaced with the same as the new recorder's office.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

Office Elements: \$31,044.31 MD's Carpet One \$7,313.46

IF THERE IS A CONTRACT INVOLVED IN THE AGENDA ITEM, HAS THE CONTRACT BEEN SUBMITTED AT LEAST ONE WEEK PRIOR AND ANSWERED WITH A REVIEW BY THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE?

Yes 🗆 No 🗆

RECOMMENDATION:

Approve the motion

ACTION REQUIRED / PROPOSED MOTION:

Motion to approve the purchase of new office furniture and carpet for the Human Resources office.



Andy Greer Sioux City C: 712-253-8871 O: 712-255-0181

Page 1 of 31

Line #	Qty	Part Number	Part Description	Sell Price	Extended
-			File Area		
1	1	H9190A	Flagship 42W 2-Drw "A" Pull Lateral 42W 2 18D	8H \$598.29	\$598.29
			OMT Core to Order Key Alike		
			P1 Paint Opts		
			Charcoal		
2	1	H919442	42Wx18D Square Edge Laminate Top For Lateral File	\$284.79	\$284.79
			Grd L1 Standard Laminates		
			Mahogany		
3	3	H9194A	Flagship 42W 4-Drw "A" Pull Lateral 52-1/2 18D w/o Post	H \$1,009.47	\$3,028.41
			OMT Core to Order Key Alike		
			P1 Paint Opts		
			Charcoal		

Line #	Qty	Part Number	Part Description	Sell Price	Extended
4	4	HF23C	Lock Core Replacement Kit Brushed Chrome	\$18.20	\$72.80
5	2	HNL2948LD	110E 48x15x28-1/2 Wall Mount Storage lam doors	\$565.29	\$1,130.58
			Grd L1 Standard Laminates Mahogany Grd L1 Standard Laminates		
6	2	HNL2936LD	Mahogany 36x15x28-1/2 Wall Mount Storage lam doors	\$442.86	\$885.72
			Grd L1 Standard Laminates Mahogany Grd L1 Standard Laminates		
			Mahogany	Subtotal	\$6,000.59
			Katie		
7	1	HNLRC3066	66W x 30D Rectangle Worksurface	\$191.73	\$191.73

Page 2 of 31

Line #	Qty Part Number	Part Description	Sell Price	Extended
		Smooth Flat		
		Mahogany		
		Black		
		Grd L1 Standard Laminates		
		Mahogany		
8	1 HNLRC2442	42W x 24D Rectangle Worksurface	\$124.41	\$124.41
		Smooth Flat		
		Mahogany		
		Black		
		Grd L1 Standard Laminates		
		Mahogany		
9	1 HUSLMOD1354	Laminate Modesty 13h x 54w	\$258.72	\$258.72
		Grd L1 Standard Laminates		
		Mahogany		
		Mahogany		
		Black		
10	1 HHATB3S3LC	3 Stage 3 Leg Rectangle C Foot	\$680.79	\$680.79
		J - 7.		

Page 3 of 31

Line #	Qty Part Number	Part Description	Sell Price	Extended
		P1 Paint Opts		
		Charcoal		
		Standard Glide		
		Memory Preset		
11	1 HLSLZ5SC66	54"W External Stiffener	\$51.15	\$51.15
		Black		
12	1 HLSLZ5SC54	42"W External Stiffener	\$46.86	\$46.86
		Black		
13	1 HHN831124	Flat Bracket 24D	\$31.35	\$31.35
		Charcoal		
14	1 HNL2116MBBF	15-3/4x20-1/8x28-3/8 Mobile Ped	\$433.29	\$433.29
		box/box/file	9-155725	<i>9</i> 1 35,23
		Loop/Black		
		Grd L1 Standard Laminates		
		Mahogany		

Page 4 of 31

Line #	Qty	Part Number		Part Description	Sell Price	Extended
			Grd L1 Standa	ard Laminates		
			Mahogany			
15	2	HNL1530BK3		30x14-1/4x42 Bookcase 3-shelf	\$309.54	\$619.08
			Smooth Flat			
			Mahogany			
			Grd L1 Standa	ard Laminates		
			Mahogany			
			Grd L1 Standa	ard Laminates		
			Mahogany			
16	1	H9170A		Flagship 30W 2-Drw "A" Pull Lateral 30W 28H 18D	\$466.95	\$466.95
			OMT Core to	Order Key Alike		
			P1 Paint Opts			
			Charcoal			
17	1	H9183A		Flagship 36W 3-Drw "A" Pull Lateral 36W 39-1/8H 18D	\$689.37	\$689.37
			OMT Core to	Order Key Alike		
			P1 Paint Opts			
			Charcoal			

.ine #	Qty	Part Number	Part Description	Sell Price	Extended
18	1	H9184A	Flagship 36W 4-Drw "A" Pull Lateral 52-1/2 18D w/o Post	H \$879.45	\$879.4
			OMT Core to Order Key Alike		
			P1 Paint Opts		
			Charcoal		
19	4	HF23C	Lock Core Replacement Kit Brushed Chrome	\$18.20	\$72.8
			0		
			120E		
				Subtotal	\$4,545.9
			Melissa		
20	1	HNL2472LLC	72x24x29-1/2 Credenza w/Lateral Lf	\$762.63	\$762.6
			Smooth Flat		
			Mahogany		
			Loop/Black		
			Black		
			Grd L1 Standard Laminates		
			Mahogany		

Line #	Qty Part Number	Part Description	Sell Price	Extended
		Mahogany		
		Grd L1 Standard Laminates		
21	1 H9185A	Mahogany Flagship 36W 5-Dr "A" Pull Lat64-1/4H 18D	\$1,122.00	\$1,122.00
		Standard Random Key Lock		
		P1 Paint Opts		
		Charcoal		
22	1 HNLRC3072	72W x 30D Rectangle Worksurface	\$207.90	\$207.90
		Smooth Flat		
		Mahogany		
		Black		
		Grd L1 Standard Laminates		
		Mahogany		
23	1 HNLRC2442	42W x 24D Rectangle Worksurface	\$124.41	\$124.41
		Smooth Flat		
		Mahogany		
		Black		

Page 7 of 31

Line #	Qty Part Number	Part Description	Sell Price	Extended
		Grd L1 Standard Laminates		
24	1 HUSLMOD1366	Mahogany Laminate Modesty 13h x 66w	\$304.26	\$304.26
25	1 HHATB3S3LC	Grd L1 Standard Laminates Mahogany Mahogany Black 3 Stage 3 Leg Rectangle C Foot	\$680.79	\$680.79
		P1 Paint Opts Charcoal Standard Glide Memory Preset		
26	1 HLSLZ5SC66	54"W External Stiffener	\$51.15	\$51.15
27	1 HLSLZ5SC54	42"W External Stiffener	\$46.86	\$46.86

Line #	Qty	Part Number	Part Description	Sell Price	Extended
28	1	HHN831124	Black Flat Bracket 24D	\$31.35	\$31.35
29	1	HNL2116MBBF	Charcoal 15-3/4x20-1/8x28-3/8 Mobile Ped box/box/file	\$433.29	\$433.29
30	3	HNL1530BK4	Loop/Black Grd L1 Standard Laminates Mahogany Grd L1 Standard Laminates Mahogany 30x14-1/4x52-3/4 Bookcase 4-shelf	\$351.78	\$1,055.34
			Smooth Flat Mahogany Grd L1 Standard Laminates Mahogany Grd L1 Standard Laminates Mahogany		

Line #	Qty	Part Number	Part Description	Sell Price	Extended
31	1.	HTLA3672	Preside 72W x 36D Racetrack Shaped Lami Top	inate \$272.58	\$272.58
			2MM/Flat		
			Mahogany		
			No Grommets		
			Grd L1 Standard Laminates		
			Mahogany		
32	1	HTLP72	Preside Laminate Panel Base For 72" W Tal Tops	ble \$251.13	\$251.13
			Grd L1 Standard Laminates		
			Mahogany		
33	2	HIGS6	Ignition Guest/Multi-Purpose Chair Four-Le Stacking	eg \$214.40	\$428.80
			Fixed		
			All Surface Caster		
			4-Way Black		
			Grade 1 Uph		
			Contourett		
			Black		
			Black		

Line #	Qty	Part Number	Part Description	Sell Price	Extended
34	3	HF23C	Lock Core Replacement Kit Brushed Chrome	\$18.20	\$54.60
			125E		
·				Subtotal	\$5,827.09
			WOODBURY COUNTY HR#1		
35	1	H19723A	Flagship Pedestal "A" Pull Freestanding B/B/F	\$280.28	\$280.28
			Standard Random Key Lock		
			P1 Paint Opts		
			Charcoal		
36	1	H19823A	Flagship Series Pedestal "A" Pull Freestanding F/F	\$280.28	\$280.28
			Standard Random Key Lock		
			P1 Paint Opts		
			Charcoal		
37	2	H9193A	Flagship 42W 3-Drw "A" Pull Lateral 42W 39-1/8H 18D	\$798.27	\$1,596.54

OMT Core to Order Key Alike

Page 11 of 31

Line #	Qty Part	Number	Part Description	Sell Price	Extended
38	2 H91	Cl	Paint Opts harcoal 42Wx18D Square Edge Laminate Top For Lateral File	\$284.79	\$569.58
39	1 HCT	Μ	rd L1 Standard Laminates ahogany Left-hand Cantilever 24"D	\$17.42	\$17.42
40	1 HCT		lect P1 Paint harcoal Right-hand Cantilever 24"D	\$17.42	\$17.42
41	1 HEC		lect P1 Paint narcoal 35H "L" Connector Post	\$35.62	\$35.62
			Paint Opts		

Line #	Qty	Part Number		Part Description	Sell Price	Extended
42	3	HEC42PLN	Į.	42.5H "L" Connector Post	\$43.16	\$129.48
			P1 Paint Opts			
			Charcoal			
43	1	HECS1		Single Connector Strap	\$6.24	\$6.24
44	3	HECSL		"L" Connector Strap	\$6.24	\$18.72
45	1	HECVH07P	1	Variable Height Connector Kit 7.5H	\$21.06	\$21.06
			P1 Paint Opts			
			Charcoal			
46	1	HEFEC35P	7	Panel Finished End Covers 35H	\$19.76	\$19.76
			P1 Paint Opts			
			Charcoal			

Line #	Qty	Part Number		Part Description	Sell Price	Extended
47	1	HEFEC42P	7	Panel Finished End Covers 42.5H	\$21.32	\$21.32
			P1 Paint Opts			
			Charcoal			
48	3	HETC24		Panel Top Cap 24"W	\$15.34	\$46.02
			P1 Paint Opts			
			Charcoal			
49	5	HETC36		Panel Top Cap 36"W	\$23.14	\$115.70
			P1 Paint Opts			
			Charcoal			
50	1	HETC48	Chartour	Panel Top Cap 48"W	\$26.00	\$26.00
					in tarazenen	1.000000
			P1 Paint Opts			
			Charcoal			
51	1	HETP3524FP		Tackable Panel w/o TC 35H x 24W	\$108.68	\$108.68

Page 14 of 31

Line #	Qty Part Number	Part Description	Sell Price	Extended
		Grd A Fabric		
		Lucy		
		Pewter		
		P1 Paint Opts		
		Charcoal		
52	2 HETP3536FP	Tackable Panel w/o TC 35H x 36W	\$121.94	\$243.88
		Grd A Fabric		
		Lucy		
		Pewter		
		P1 Paint Opts		
		Charcoal		
53	2 HETP4224FP	Tackable Panel w/o TC 42.5H x 24W	\$115.18	\$230.36
		Grd A Fabric		
		Lucy		
		Pewter		
		P1 Paint Opts		
		Charcoal		

Page 15 of 31

Line #	Qty	Part Number		Part Description	Sell Price	Extended
54	3	HETP4236FP		Tackable Panel w/o TC 42.5H x 36W	\$127.92	\$383.76
			Grd A Fabric			
			Lucy			
			Pewter			
			P1 Paint Opts			
			Charcoal			
55	1	HETP4248FP		Tackable Panel w/o TC42.5H x 48W	\$144.56	\$144.56
			Grd A Fabric			
			Lucy			
			Pewter			
			P1 Paint Opts			
			Charcoal			
56	2	HF23C	0,	Lock Core Replacement Kit Brushed Chrome	\$18.20	\$36.40
			101E			
57	2	HF23C		Lock Core Replacement Kit Brushed Chrome	\$18.20	\$36.40
			0			

Line #	Qty	Part Number	Part Description	Sell Price	Extended
Line of the second			103E		
58	1	HHATB2S3LT	2 Stage 3 Leg T Foot	\$643.17	\$643.17
			P1 Paint Opts		
			Charcoal		
			Standard Glide		
			Memory Preset		
59	1	HHATCC704622L	70x46x22x22 Corner Cove - LH	\$290.94	\$290.94
			Grd L1 Standard Laminates		
			Mahogany		
			Mahogany		
			2 Grommets - Offset		
			Charcoal		
			Black		
60	4	HSCKTPS	Straight Connector Kit	\$8.58	\$34.32

No Option

Line #	Qty	Part Number	Part	Description	Sell Price	Extended
61	1	HWR2436P	Systems 24D x 3	: Rectangular Worksurface Edgeband 6W	\$128.18	\$128.18
			Grd L1 Standard Lamin	ates		
			Mahogany			
			Mahogany			
			Charcoal			
62	1	HWR2472P	Systems 24D x 72	Rectangular Worksurface Edgeband 2W	\$195.00	\$195.00
			Grd L1 Standard Lamin	ates		
			Mahogany			
			Mahogany			
			Charcoal			
63	2	HWSB2	Worksu	rface Bracket Kit	\$17.94	\$35.88
			P1 Paint Opts			
			Charcoal			
					Subtotal	\$5,712.97

WOODBURY COUNTY HR#2

Page 18 of 31

Line #	Qty	Part Number	Part Description	Sell Price	Extended
64	1	H19723A	Flagship Pedestal "A" Pull Freestanding B/B,	′F \$275.08	\$275.08
			OMT Core to Order Key Alike		
			P1 Paint Opts		
			Charcoal		
65	1	H19823A	Flagship Series Pedestal "A" Pull Freestandir F/F	g \$275.08	\$275.08
			OMT Core to Order Key Alike		
			P1 Paint Opts		
			Charcoal		
66	2	HF23C	Lock Core Replacement Kit Brushed Chrome	\$18.20	\$36.40
			105E		
67	1	HCTL241R	Right-hand Cantilever 24"D	\$17.42	\$17.42
			N.		
			Select P1 Paint		
			Charcoal		

Line #	Qty	Part Number		Part Description	Sell Price	Extended
68	3	HEC42PLN	F	42.5H "L" Connector Post	\$43.16	\$129.48
			P1 Paint Opts			
			Charcoal			
69	3	HECSL		"L" Connector Strap	\$6.24	\$18.72
70	2	HEFEC42P		Panel Finished End Covers 42.5H	\$21.32	\$42.64
71	3	HETC24	P1 Paint Opts Charcoal	Panel Top Cap 24"W	\$15.34	\$46.02
72	2	HETC36	P1 Paint Opts Charcoal	Panel Top Cap 36"W	\$23.14	\$46.28

P1 Paint Opts

Page 20 of 31

Line #	Qty	Part Number		Part Description	Sell Price	Extended
73	1	HETC48	Charcoal	Panel Top Cap 48"W	\$26.00	\$26.00
74	3	HETP4224FP	P1 Paint Opts Charcoal	Tackable Panel w/o TC 42.5H x 24W	\$115.18	\$345.54
75	2	HETP4236FP	Grd A Fabric Lucy Pewter P1 Paint Opts Charcoal	Tackable Panel w/o TC 42.5H x 36W	\$127.92	\$255.84
			Grd A Fabric Lucy Pewter P1 Paint Opts Charcoal			

Line #	Qty	Part Number	Part Description	Sell Price	Extended
76	1	HETP4248FP	Tackable Panel w/o TC42.5H x 48W	\$144.56	\$144.56
			Grd A Fabric		
			Lucy		
			Pewter		
			P1 Paint Opts		
			Charcoal		
77	1	HHATB2S2LT	2 Stage 2 Leg Rectangle T Foot	\$374.22	\$374.22
			P1 Paint Opts		
			Charcoal		
			Standard Glide		
			Memory Preset		
78	1	HHATW2270CT	70W x 22D Rect Worksurface - C/T Base	\$186.94	\$186.94
			Grd L1 Standard Laminates		
			Mahogany		
			W/2 A		

2 Grommets - Offset

2 010111111213 - 0113

Charcoal

Mahogany

Line #	Qty	Part Number	Part Description	Sell Price	Extended
79	2	HSCKTPS	Black Straight Connector Kit	\$8.58	\$17.16
80	1	HWR2448P	No Option Systems Rectangular Worksurface Edgeband 24D x 48W	\$149.76	\$149.76
81	1	HWSB2	Grd L1 Standard Laminates Mahogany Charcoal Worksurface Bracket Kit P1 Paint Opts Charcoal	\$17.94	\$17.94
			Charcoal	Subtotal	\$2,405.08
			WOODBURY COUNTY HR#3		
82	1	H19723A	Flagship Pedestal "A" Pull Freestanding B/B/I	\$275.08	\$275.08

Line #	Qty	Part Number		Part Description	Sell Price	Extended
			OMT Core to	Order Key Alike		
			P1 Paint Opts			
			Charcoal			
83	1	H19823A		Flagship Series Pedestal "A" Pull Freestanding F/F	\$275.08	\$275.08
			OMT Core to	Order Key Alike		
			P1 Paint Opts			
			Charcoal			
84	4	HF23C		Lock Core Replacement Kit Brushed Chrome	\$18.20	\$72.80
			0 <u>~</u>			
			108E			
85	1	HCTL241R		Right-hand Cantilever 24"D	\$17.42	\$17.42
			4			 Methods, 2011
			Select P1 Pain	t		
			Charcoal			
86	1	HEC42PLN	r	42.5H "L" Connector Post	\$43.16	\$43.16

Page 24 of 31

P1 Paint Opts

Line #	Qty	Part Number		Part Description	Sell Price	Extended
87	2	HEC65PLN	Charcoal	65Н "L" Connector Post	\$55.12	\$110.24
88	1	HECS1	P1 Paint Opts Charcoal	s Single Connector Strap	\$6.24	\$6.24
89	2	HECSL		"L" Connector Strap	\$6.24	\$12.48
90	. 1	HECVH22P		Variable Height Connector Kit 22.5H	\$25.74	\$25.74
91	1	HEFEC42P	P1 Paint Opts Charcoal	Panel Finished End Covers 42.5H	\$21.32	\$21.32
			P1 Paint Opts Charcoal			

Line #	Qty	Part Number		Part Description	Sell Price	Extended
92	1	HEFEC65P	7	Panel Finished End Covers 65H	\$25.22	\$25.22
			P1 Paint Opts			
			Charcoal			
93	2	HETC24		Panel Top Cap 24"W	\$15.34	\$30.68
						Ē
			P1 Paint Opts			
			Charcoal			
94	2	HETC36		Panel Top Cap 36"W	\$23.14	\$46.28
			P1 Paint Opts			
			Charcoal			
95	1	HETC48		Panel Top Cap 48"W	\$26.00	\$26.00
			P1 Paint Opts			
			Charcoal			
96	1	HETC60		Panel Top Cap 60"W	\$32.50	\$32.50
Qty	Part Number	Part Description	n Sell Price	Extended		
-----	-------------	-----------------------	---	--		
		P1 Paint Opts				
		Charcoal				
1	HETP4224FP	Tackable Panel w/o T	C 42.5H x 24W \$115.18	\$115.18		
		Grd A Fabric				
		Lucy				
		Pewter				
		P1 Paint Opts				
		Charcoal				
2	HETP4236FP	Tackable Panel w/o To	C 42.5H x 36W \$127.92	\$255.84		
		Grd A Fabric				
		Lucy				
		Pewter				
		P1 Paint Opts				
		Charcoal				
1	HETP6524FP	Tackable Panel w/o To	C 65H x 24W \$138.58	\$138.58		
	1	2 HETP4236FP	P1 Paint Opts Charcoal Tackable Panel w/o T Grd A Fabric Lucy Pewter P1 Paint Opts Charcoal Tackable Panel w/o T Grd A Fabric Charcoal Grd A Fabric Lucy Pewter P1 Paint Opts Grd A Fabric Lucy Pewter P1 Paint Opts Grd A Fabric Lucy Pewter P1 Paint Opts Grd A Fabric Lucy Pewter P1 Paint Opts Grd A Fabric Lucy Pewter P1 Paint Opts Charcoal	P1 Paint Opts Charcoal 1 HETP4224FP Grd A Fabric Grd A Fabric Lucy Pewter P1 Paint Opts Charcoal Grd A Fabric Charcoal Grd A Fabric Lucy Pewter P1 Paint Opts Grd A Fabric Lucy Pewter P1 Paint Opts Grd A Fabric Lucy Pitre Panel w/o TC 42.5H x 36W S127.92		

Grd A Fabric

Lucy

Page 27 of 31

Line #	Qty Part Number	Part Description	Sell Price	Extended
		Pewter		
		P1 Paint Opts		
		Charcoal		
100	1 HETP6548FP	Tackable Panel w/o TC 65H x 48W	\$177.58	\$177.58
		Grd A Fabric		
		Lucy		
		Pewter		
		P1 Paint Opts		
		Charcoal		
101	1 HETP6560FP	Tackable Panel w/o TC 65H x 60W	\$189.28	\$189.28
		Grd A Fabric		
		Lucy		
		Pewter		
		P1 Paint Opts		
		Charcoal		
102	1 HH870960	Tasklight 60W	\$100.10	\$100.10

Page 28 of 31

Line #	Qty	Part Number	Part Description	Sell Price	Extended
103	1	HHATB2S3LT	2 Stage 3 Leg T Foot	\$643.17	\$643.17
			P1 Paint Opts		
			Charcoal		
			Standard Glide		
			Memory Preset		
104	1	HHATCC704622R	70x46x22x22 Corner Cove - RH	\$290.94	\$290.94
			Grd L1 Standard Laminates		
			Mahogany		
			Mahogany		
			2 Grommets - Offset		
			Charcoal		
			Black		
105	1	HRVOH60FM	Abound Overhead-Metal Flipper Door 60"	\$310.18	\$310.18
			P1 Paint Opts		
			Charcoal		
			Omit Lock		

Page 29 of 31

Line #	Qty	Part Number		Part Description	Sell Price	Extended
106	2	HSCKTPS		Straight Connector Kit	\$8.58	\$17.16
			No Option			
107	1	HWR2460P		Systems Rectangular Worksurface Edgeband 24D x 60W	\$176.54	\$176.54
			Grd L1 Standard	d Laminates		
			Mahogany			
			Mahogany			
			Charcoal			
108	1	HWSB2		Worksurface Bracket Kit	\$17.94	\$17.94
			P1 Paint Opts			
			Charcoal			
					Subtotal	\$3,452.73
				Z		
109	1	Installation	,	Delivery and installation	\$3,100.00	\$3,100.00
					Subtotal	\$3,100.00

Line # Qty Part Number	Part Description	Sell Price	Extended
		Total Sell:	\$31,044.41

"IMPORTANT NOTE TO BUYER: THIS QUOTATION IS LIMITED TO THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS CONTAINED HEREIN.

Any additional or different terms proposed by Buyer in any purchase order or other document are hereby deemed to be material alterations and notice of objection to them is hereby given. Any such proposed terms shall be void and the terms herein shall constitute the complete and exclusive statement of the terms and conditions of the contract between the parties. Neither GBL, LLC's (an Office Elements Company) acknowledgement of a purchase order nor GBI LLC's (an Office Elements Company) failure to object to confliciting, different or additional terms and conditions in a purchase order shall be deemed an acceptance of such terms and conditions or a wiaver of the provisions hereof.

Security Interests. The buyer hereby grants GBI, LLC (an Office Elements Company), "Seller" a purchase money security interest in all products covered by this quotation as security for payment of all amounts due under this invoice. The Buyer authorizes the Seller to perfect its security interest by filing appropirate documents (including without limitation Form UCC-1 financing statements) and agrees to cooperate with Seller (at Seller's expense) in taking any further actions reasonably necessary to perfect that security interest. The Seller shall have all rights and remedies of a secured creditor under the Uniform Commercial Code."

Accepted by ______ Title _____ Date _____

Flooring MDs Carpet One PO Box 204 North Sioux City, SD 57049 US 6057803567 flooringmds@gmail.com

ADDRESS Kenny Woodbury County Courthouse 620 Douglas St. Sioux City, IA 51101 USA

ESTIMATE #	DATE	EXPIRATION DATE
1555	07/10/2025	03/10/2025

P.O. NUMBER

7th Floor HR Dept

SALES REP

Linny

DATE	ACTIVITY	DESCRIPTION		QTY	RATE	AMOUNT
	Carpet Tile	Impromptu - At F Material Only	First Glance	1,008	4.12	4,152.96
	Install	Install Product P Tile	urchased - Carpet	1,008	1.25	1,260.00
	Adhesive	Nexus Modular A	Adhesive	1	169.00	169.00
	Trim	Rubber Reducer		6	1.65	9.90
	Furniture	Move and reset	furniture	1	300.00	300.00
	Freight	Shipping Charge)	1	300.00	300.00
	Covebase	Covebase, adhe TBD	sive, & install -	240	2.59	621.60
	Install	2 days / Night or	weekend work	2	250.00	500.00
	financing with no down pay	yment!	SUBTOTAL			7,313.46
Estimate pricing is go Floor prep is not inclu	•		TAX			0.00
	alled, the walls may need to	be touched up after	TOTAL			\$7,313.46

We require a 75% down payment before product is ordered.

There will be a late fee of 1.5% applied if not paid within 30 days.

Accepted By

Accepted Date

Estimate

WOODBURY COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AGENDA ITEM(S) REQUEST FORM

	Date:	7/16/25	Weekly Agenda	Date: 7/22/25 4:4	40	
	ELECTED OFFICIAL / DEPA WORDING FOR AGENDA IT a. Conduct first public hearing to related uses as allowed or condi addressing prohibitions, public n related changes. b. Approve the	FM.			ste storage, and District, while structure, and	
	Approve Ordinance	Аррі	rove Resolution	Approve Motion	~	
	Public Hearing 🗹	Othe	er: Informational	Attachments		
EXECL	JTIVE SUMMARY:					
The Board nuclear end the Genera definitions	of Supervisors will hold a pu ergy facilities, nuclear waste Il Industrial Zoning District, v and ordinance structure, and	ublic hearing at 4: storage, and rela vhile addressing p d related changes	40 PM to discuss proposited uses as allowed or optimized by the probabilitions, public notifies.	sed Zoning Ordinanc conditional uses in sp cation requirements,	e Text Amendme becific zoning dis and necessary u	ents related to tricts, such as pdates to
Following t (1) Defer c (2) Reject t (3) Adopt t	he public hearing, the Board onsideration of the matter fo he proposed amendment; o ne ordinance amending the	l of Supervisors m r further study; or r text of this title.	nay:			

BACKGROUND: The proposed ordinance changes aim to establish a permitting process for potential nuclear energy projects that may arise in the future.

The focus of this debate is on creating a clear and predictable process for both the public and developers. This is not about promoting a specific project, but rather about being prepared with a transparent framework in place.

With the renewed interest in nuclear energy nationwide, driven in part by the increasing demand for electricity to power emerging technologies like data centers, it's essential that the county has a process to evaluate whether nuclear energy facilities or nuclear waste storage can be located within the county's borders.

The proposed ordinance does not authorize any new nuclear plants or storage facilities. Instead, it ensures a clear process for evaluating potential projects. If a project is proposed, it would need to go through the conditional use permit process to ensure it's suitable for a specific location within the General Industrial (GI) Zoning District.

The key benefits of this ordinance change include:

Establishing a clear and predictable process for evaluating potential nuclear energy projects
Ensuring transparency and public input through the conditional use permit process
Aligning with state and federal regulations

Supporting the county's comprehensive plan goal of promoting energy diversification and technological advances

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

URTHER BACKGROUND: Zoning Commission's Recommendation • Unanimous recommendation on June 23, 2025, to adopt proposed amendments • Emphasizes clarity in ordinance language, expanded notification, and alignment with state and federal regulations Introduction Updates Woodbury County Zoning Ordinance to address nuclear energy facilities and nuclear waste storage
 Responds to Board of Supervisors' July 2, 2024 directive to explore nuclear energy as a potential energy source
 No specific nuclear projects proposed; ensures regulatory clarity for future applications Defines nuclear energy facilities and nuclear waste storage Designates nuclear energy facilities and nuclear waste storage as conditional uses in the General Industrial (GI) Zoning District Expands public hearing notification from 500 feet to 10 miles for nuclear-related conditional use permits Alignment with Comprehensive Plan • Supports Goal IUS: promoting energy diversification and technological advances • Balances economic growth with environmental and safety considerations Public Engagement Public input gathered from August 2024 to June 2025 through multiple Zoning Commission hearings
 Mixed sentiments: support from local officials and labor groups, opposition from residents citing waste risks and safety concerns (minimal attendance)
 10-mile notification radius ensures broad community awareness and input for future proposals Conditional Use Permit Process Authority: Zoning Commission reviews and the Board of Adjustment decides on conditional use permit applications
 Purpose: Evaluates unique impacts of nuclear energy and waste storage facilities to ensure suitability for specific sites
 Application: Filed by property owners or those with contractual interest
 Review Process: Planning and Zoning Commission reviews and advises (public meeting/agenda item); Board of Adjustment holds public hearing and decides within 35 days Regulatory Oversight Federal agencies (Nuclear Regulatory Commission) oversee safety, licensing, and environmental standards
 County's role limited to zoning and conditional use permitting Economic and Environmental Considerations • Benefits: supports clean energy goals, potential job creation, and energy demands • Concerns addressed: restricts facilities to industrial zones, 10-mile notification enhances transparency Community Impact Ensures no nuclear facilities or waste storage in agricultural or residential zones
 10-mile notification radius addresses concerns about emergency preparedness and community awareness
 Encourages dialogue on balancing economic development with safety and environmental stewardship. Conduct public hearings to gather additional community input
 Board of Supervisors may adjust language during hearings
 Final adoption will establish a clear framework for potential nuclear energy developments IF THERE IS A CONTRACT INVOLVED IN THE AGENDA ITEM, HAS THE CONTRACT BEEN SUBMITTED AT LEAST ONE WEEK PRIOR AND ANSWERED WITH A REVIEW BY THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE? Yes No

Yes 🛛 No 🗆

RECOMMENDATION:

Open and close the public hearing. (Set Time: 4:40 PM)

Conduct and approve the first reading of the Zoning Ordinance Text Amendments.

The 2nd and 3rd public hearings and readings of the ordinance have been scheduled for Tuesday, 7/29/25 at 4:40 PM, and Tuesday, 8/5/25 at 4:40 PM, respectively.

ACTION REQUIRED / PROPOSED MOTION:

a. Conduct first public hearing to amend the Zoning Ordinance to include nuclear energy facilities, nuclear waste storage, and related uses as allowed or conditional uses in specific zoning districts, such as the General Industrial Zoning District, while addressing prohibitions, public notification requirements, and necessary updates to definitions and ordinance structure, and related changes.

b. Approve the 1st Reading of the Zoning Ordinance Text Amendments.



WOODBURY COUNTY PLANNING & ZONING

620 Douglas Street, Sixth Floor, Sioux City, Iowa 51101

712.279.6609 - 712.279.6530 (Fax)

Daniel J. Priestley, MPA – Zoning Coordinator dpriestley@woodburycountyiowa.gov Dawn Norton – Senior Clerk dnorton@woodburycountyiowa.gov

REPORT – REVISED 6-25-25

Consideration of Nuclear Energy Facilities and Nuclear Waste Storage

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

On June 23, 2025, the Zoning Commission unanimously recommended amending the Woodbury County Zoning Ordinance to explicitly include nuclear energy facilities and nuclear waste storage as conditional uses in the General Industrial (GI) Zoning District. The proposed amendment defines these terms, adds them to the Land Use Summary Table, and establishes a 10-mile notification radius for conditional use permits, enhancing public engagement and safety considerations.

Zoning Ordinance Text Amendment Summary:

- For nuclear energy facilities and nuclear waste storage facilities, notice must be mailed to all owners of real property within 10 miles of the subject property.
- Adding "nuclear energy facilities" and "nuclear waste storage" as conditional uses in the General Industrial (GI) zoning district, and prohibiting them in all other zoning districts.
- Defining "nuclear energy facility" and "nuclear waste storage" in the ordinance, with the definitions including compliance with federal and state regulatory requirements.
- The proposal also makes some technical changes, such as renumbering and reorganizing definitions, and adding a new page to the ordinance.

NOODBURY COU	WOODBURY COUNTY ZONING COMMISSION
TOWA	WOODBURY COUNTY COURTHOUSE 620 DOUGLAS STREET SIOUX CITY, IA 51101
То:	Woodbury County Board of Supervisors 620 Douglas Street Sioux City, Iowa 51101
From:	Christine Zellmer Zant, Chair Woodbury County Zoning Commission
Date:	June 24, 2025
Subject:	Zoning Commission Recommendation Nuclear Energy Facilities and Nuclear Waste Storage

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

The Woodbury County Zoning Commission held a public hearing on June 23, 2025, to review and recommend amendments to the Woodbury County Zoning Ordinance regarding nuclear energy facilities and nuclear waste storage. This recommendation is the result of comprehensive deliberation and public input collected during six public hearings and two informational meetings held on August 26, 2024; September 23, 2024; November 25, 2024; January 27, 2025; February 24, 2025; March 24, 2025; May 28, 2025; and June 23, 2025. We respectfully submit the attached draft amendments for your consideration.

It is imperative to assert that these recommended amendments must not be construed as an endorsement of nuclear energy-related uses by the Zoning Commission. This recommendation is only intended to enhance regulatory clarity and public engagement. Key changes include explicitly defining "nuclear energy facilities" and "nuclear waste storage" as conditional uses in the General Industrial (GI) Zoning District and expanding the public notification radius from 500 feet to 10 miles to ensure robust community involvement.

The Commission identified that existing ordinance terms, such as "electrical energy generation, not including wind" and "chemical and gas bulk storage," could be interpreted to conditionally allow nuclear-related uses in the GI Zoning District. The proposed amendments address this ambiguity by providing precise definitions and expanding notification requirements.

Public input reflected diverse perspectives. Supporters, including Mayor Bob Scott, Kyle Gates, Mayor Ken Bauer, and representatives from the Northwest Iowa Building and Construction Trade Council, emphasized potential benefits. Opponents, including Jerry Holder, Janet Kruger, and Alan Fagan, expressed concerns about nuclear waste risks and the need for public approval mechanisms. Additional feedback from Wendy Hess and Mark Nahra highlighted infrastructure, emergency preparedness, and compliance with Nuclear Regulatory Commission standards.

Although no comments were received at the June 23 hearing, all prior input informed the Commission's deliberations.

The proposed amendments strengthen the current conditional use permit process by ensuring evaluation and enhanced public notification. We believe these changes provide a clear framework for considering nuclear-related applications while prioritizing community engagement.

We respectfully submit this recommendation for your consideration and look forward to further discussion at your upcoming hearings. For additional details about the Commission's recommendation, please refer to the attached draft copy of the Zoning Commission minutes.

Respectfully submitted,

Christine Zellmer Zant, Chair Woodbury County Zoning Commission

Dated this 24 day of _____ 2025



WOODBURY COUNTY, IOWA

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE TEXT OF THE WOODBURY COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE TO INCLUDE THE USES OF NUCLEAR ENERGY FACILITIES AND NUCLEAR WASTE STORAGE AS ENERGY AND NUCLEAR WASTE STORAGE OPTIONS IN UNINCORPORATED WOODBURY COUNTY AND TO ALLOW FOR THE CONSIDERATION OF SUCH FACILITIES IN GENERAL INDUSTRIAL ZONING AREAS AS CONDITIONAL USES.

WHEREAS, the Woodbury County Comprehensive Plan 2040, adopted on May 7, 2024, in Chapter 4 (Public Infrastructure and Utilities), encourages "supporting the development of diverse energy sources and planning ahead for regulations around these facilities will put the county in a position to embrace those that are appealing to residents and beneficial to the economy" on pages 71-72; and

WHEREAS, the Woodbury County Zoning Ordinance, in Section 3.03.4 (Land Use Summary Table of Allowed Uses), currently classifies "Electrical Energy Generation (not including wind)" as a conditional use within the General Industrial (GI) Zoning District, demonstrating an existing framework for accommodating advanced energy production facilities in areas designated for industrial activity; and

WHEREAS, the Zoning Ordinance also classifies "Chemical and gas bulk storage" as a conditional use in the GI Zoning District under Section 3.03.4, providing a precedent for the safe management and storage of potentially hazardous materials, which supports the inclusion of nuclear waste storage as a compatible conditional use within the same zoning classification; and

WHEREAS, the General Industrial (GI) Zoning District, as described in Section 3.01 of the Zoning Ordinance, is intended to provide for the orderly development of heavy commercial, warehousing, and limited industrial uses, with appropriate sites featuring excellent infrastructure and transportation access, making it a suitable location for the establishment of nuclear energy facilities and nuclear waste storage; and

WHEREAS, the addition of definitions for "Nuclear energy facilities" and "Nuclear waste storage" in Article 6, Section 6.02 of the Zoning Ordinance, ensures clarity and consistency in the application of the ordinance, specifying that such facilities must comply with federal and state regulations, including those of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), thereby reinforcing the County's commitment to safety and regulatory oversight; and

WHEREAS, the Comprehensive Plan 2040, in Chapter 6 (Land Use and Natural Resources), emphasizes the importance of balancing economic development with environmental stewardship, and the inclusion of nuclear energy facilities and nuclear waste storage as conditional uses in the GI Zoning District supports this balance by leveraging existing industrial zones to minimize impacts on agricultural and residential areas; and

WHEREAS, the conditional use process outlined in Section 2.02.9 of the Zoning Ordinance provides a mechanism for the Woodbury County Zoning Commission to recommend and for the Woodbury County Board of Adjustment to evaluate and impose specific conditions on nuclear energy facilities and nuclear waste storage, ensuring compatibility with surrounding land uses and adherence to the Comprehensive Plan's vision for sustainable growth; and

WHEREAS, the exploration of nuclear energy as an alternative energy source responds to the County's proactive approach to energy planning, as evidenced by the Board of Supervisors' approval on July 2, 2024, to investigate zoning for nuclear power; and

WHEREAS, the proposed ordinance amendment enhances Woodbury County's ability to attract economic development opportunities in the energy sector, potentially replacing or supplementing existing energy infrastructure while maintaining the County's rural character and agricultural focus as prioritized in the Comprehensive Plan 2040;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Woodbury County Board of Supervisors hereby supports the passage of this Zoning Ordinance Text Amendment to include nuclear energy facilities and nuclear waste storage as conditional uses in the General Industrial Zoning District, consistent with the goals and policies of the Woodbury County Comprehensive Plan 2040 and the regulatory framework of the Woodbury County Zoning Ordinance.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ENACTED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF WOODBURY COUNTY, IOWA THAT THE BELOW ZONING ORDINANCE LANGUAGE AMENDMENTS BE MADE:

Amendments:

On page 8: To repeal Section 2.02.1 B(1)(e) as follows:

For a Board of Adjustment hearing on a conditional use or special exception, notice shall be mailed to all owners of real property located within 500 feet of the subject property, except that in the case of a conditional use to allow an airport or a sanitary landfill, or construction of a telecommunication tower as provided in subsection 5.06-3, notices shall be mailed to all owners of real property located within one mile of the subject property.

On page 8: To replace Section 2.02.1 B(1)(e) with the following:

For a Board of Adjustment hearing on a conditional use or special exception, notice shall be mailed to all owners of real property located within 500 feet of the subject property, except that in the case of a conditional use to allow an airport, a sanitary landfill, a

nuclear energy facility, a nuclear waste storage facility, construction of a telecommunication tower as provided in subsection 5.05, or a Utility-Scale Solar Energy System (US-SES) as provided in subsection 5.08, notices shall be mailed to all owners of real property located within one mile of the subject property for an airport, sanitary landfill, telecommunication tower, or Utility-Scale Solar Energy System (US-SES), and within ten miles of the subject property for a nuclear energy facility or nuclear waste storage facility.

On page 39: To add the following line-item use language within zoning ordinance Section 3.03.4 *Land Use Summary Table of Allowed Uses in each Zoning District* under the "Utilities" category:

"Nuclear energy facilities". With placement of the letter "C" within the GI (General Industrial) Zoning Districts column on the table related to this line-item use.

"Nuclear waste storage". With placement of the letter "C" within the GI (General Industrial) Zoning Districts Columns on the table related to this line-item use.

On page 104: To add the following definition, "Nuclear energy facility" as definition 112 to Article 6. Definitions. Section 6.02: Definitions as "112. Nuclear energy facility" means any facility designed or used for the generation of electricity or power through nuclear fission or fusion, including nuclear reactors and associated structures, systems, or components necessary for the production of atomic energy, as well as the handling, processing, or temporary storage of nuclear materials or byproduct materials, all in compliance with federal and state regulatory requirements as administered by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) or its duly authorized representatives.

On page 105: To add the following definition, "Nuclear waste storage" as definition 113 to Article 6. Definitions. Section 6.02: Definitions as "113. Nuclear waste storage" means any facility, structure, or area designated and engineered for the safe containment, isolation, or disposal of byproduct material, special nuclear material, or other radioactive materials generated from nuclear energy facilities, including temporary or permanent storage solutions, provided such storage complies with federal regulations under 10 CFR Part 50 and related parts, and is subject to oversight by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) to protect public health, safety, and the common defense and security.

Following the addition of the new definitions as described above: to renumber each of the subsequent definitions beginning with 114 through 193 and to note the shift of the subsequent definitions to new page locations between pages 104 to 110 and to add page 110.

Dated this _____ day of _____, 2025.

THE WOODBURY COUNTY, IOWA BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Daniel Bittinger II, Chairman

Mark Nelson, Vice-Chairman

Attest:

David Dietrich

Matthew Ung

Kent Carper

Michelle K. Skaff, Woodbury County Auditor

Adoption Timeline: Date of Public Hearing and First Reading Date of Public Hearing and Second Reading_ Date of Public Hearing and Third Reading_ Date of Adoption_____ Published/Effective Date

DRAFT-SUBJECT TO CHANGES THROUGHOUT THE REVIEW PROCESS



WOODBURY COUNTY COMMUNITY & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

620 Douglas St. - Sixth Floor - Sioux City, IA 51101 - Phone: 712.279.6609 - Fax: 712.279.6530 - Web: woodburycountyiowa.gov Daniel J. Priestley, MPA – Zoning Coordinator - dpriestley@woodburycountyiowa.gov Dawn Norton – Senior Clerk - dnorton@woodburycountyiowa.gov

STAFF COMMENT ON PROPOSED NUCLEAR RELATED ZONING ORDINANCE TEXT AMENDMENTS

 Staff recommends removal of the reference in the draft language to the Utility-Scale Solar Energy System (US-SES) notification distance. This is a current/existing regulation in Section 5.08. This was originally intended to be a housekeeping measure but should be addressed at a future time as the scope of the debate does not fit within the parameters of the nuclear related discussion.

On page 8: To replace Section 2.02.1 B(1)(e) with the following:

For a Board of Adjustment hearing on a conditional use or special exception, notice shall be mailed to all owners of real property located within 500 feet of the subject property, except that in the case of a conditional use to allow an airport, a sanitary landfill, a nuclear energy facility, a nuclear waste storage facility, construction of a telecommunication tower as provided in subsection 5.05, or a Utility-Scale Solar Energy System (US-SES) as provided in subsection 5.08, notices shall be mailed to all owners of real property located within one mile of the subject property for an airport, sanitary landfill, telecommunication tower, or Utility-Scale Solar Energy System (US-SES), and within ten miles of the subject property for a nuclear energy facility or nuclear waste storage facility.

Replace with:

On page 8: To replace Section 2.02.1 B(1)(e) with the following:

For a Board of Adjustment hearing on a conditional use or special exception, notice shall be mailed to all owners of real property located within 500 feet of the subject property, except that in the case of a conditional use to allow an airport, a sanitary landfill, a nuclear energy facility, a nuclear waste storage facility, or construction of a telecommunication tower as provided in subsection 5.06-3, notices shall be mailed to all owners of real property located within one mile of the subject property for an airport, sanitary landfill, telecommunication tower, and within ten miles of the subject property for a nuclear energy facility.

Staff recommends adding the following revised language that makes it clear that the conditional use permit is only allowed for consideration within the GI – General Industrial Zoning District.

On page 39: To add the following line-item use language within zoning ordinance Section 3.03.4 Land Use Summary Table of Allowed Uses in each Zoning District under the "Utilities" category:

"Nuclear energy facilities". With placement of the letter "C" (Conditional use) within the GI (General Industrial) Zoning District column and with the placement of "---" (Prohibited use) within the AP (Agricultural Preservation) Zoning District, AE (Agricultural Estates) Zoning District, NR (Non-Agricultural Residential) Zoning District, SR (Suburban Residential) Zoning District, GC (General Commercial) Zoning District, HC (Highway Commercial) Zoning District, and LI (Limited Industrial) Zoning District columns on the table related to this line-item use.

"Nuclear waste storage". With placement of the letter "C" (Conditional use) within the GI (General Industrial) Zoning Districts Column and with the placement of "--" (Prohibited use) within the AP (Agricultural Preservation) Zoning District, AE (Agricultural Estates) Zoning District, NR (Non-Agricultural Residential) Zoning District, SR (Suburban Residential) Zoning District, GC (General Commercial) Zoning District, HC (Highway Commercial) Zoning District, and LI (Limited Industrial) Zoning District columns on the table related to this line-item use on the table related to this line-item use.

THE SUBSEQUENT PAGES INCLUDE A REVISED DRAFT FOR CONSIDERATION TO ADDRESS THESE POINTS.

	DRAFT – SUBJECT TO CHANGES THROUGHOUT THE REVIEW PROCESS
-	TTTO CHANGES THROUGHOUT
	SUBJECT TO S PROCE

ORDINANCE NO.

WOODBURY COUNTY, IOWA

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE TEXT OF THE WOODBURY COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE TO INCLUDE THE USES OF NUCLEAR ENERGY FACILITIES AND NUCLEAR WASTE STORAGE AS ENERGY AND NUCLEAR WASTE STORAGE OPTIONS IN UNINCORPORATED WOODBURY COUNTY AND TO ALLOW FOR THE CONSIDERATION OF SUCH FACILITIES IN GENERAL INDUSTRIAL ZONING AREAS AS CONDITIONAL USES.

WHEREAS, the Woodbury County Comprehensive Plan 2040, adopted on May 7, 2024, in Chapter 4 (Public Infrastructure and Utilities), encourages "supporting the development of diverse energy sources and planning ahead for regulations around these facilities will put the county in a position to embrace those that are appealing to residents and beneficial to the economy" on pages 71-72; and

WHEREAS, the Woodbury County Zoning Ordinance, in Section 3.03.4 (Land Use Summary Table of Allowed Uses), currently classifies "Electrical Energy Generation (not including wind)" as a conditional use within the General Industrial (GI) Zoning District, demonstrating an existing framework for accommodating advanced energy production facilities in areas designated for industrial activity; and

WHEREAS, the Zoning Ordinance also classifies "Chemical and gas bulk storage" as a conditional use in the GI Zoning District under Section 3.03.4, providing a precedent for the safe management and storage of potentially hazardous materials, which supports the inclusion of nuclear waste storage as a compatible conditional use within the same zoning classification; and

WHEREAS, the General Industrial (GI) Zoning District, as described in Section 3.01 of the Zoning Ordinance, is intended to provide for the orderly development of heavy commercial, warehousing, and limited industrial uses, with appropriate sites featuring excellent infrastructure and transportation access, making it a suitable location for the establishment of nuclear energy facilities and nuclear waste storage; and

WHEREAS, the addition of definitions for "Nuclear energy facilities" and "Nuclear waste storage" in Article 6, Section 6.02 of the Zoning Ordinance, ensures clarity and consistency in the application of the ordinance, specifying that such facilities must comply with federal and state regulations, including those of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), thereby reinforcing the County's commitment to safety and regulatory oversight; and

WHEREAS, the Comprehensive Plan 2040, in Chapter 6 (Land Use and Natural Resources), emphasizes the importance of balancing economic development with environmental stewardship, and the inclusion of nuclear energy facilities and nuclear waste storage as conditional uses in the GI Zoning District supports this balance by leveraging existing industrial zones to minimize impacts on agricultural and residential areas; and

WHEREAS, the conditional use process outlined in Section 2.02.9 of the Zoning Ordinance provides a mechanism for the Woodbury County Zoning Commission to recommend and for the Woodbury County Board of Adjustment to evaluate and impose specific conditions on nuclear energy facilities and nuclear waste storage, ensuring compatibility with surrounding land uses and adherence to the Comprehensive Plan's vision for sustainable growth; and

WHEREAS, the exploration of nuclear energy as an alternative energy source responds to the County's proactive approach to energy planning, as evidenced by the Board of Supervisors' approval on July 2, 2024, to investigate zoning for nuclear power; and

WHEREAS, the proposed ordinance amendment enhances Woodbury County's ability to attract economic development opportunities in the energy sector, potentially replacing or supplementing existing energy infrastructure while maintaining the County's rural character and agricultural focus as prioritized in the Comprehensive Plan 2040;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Woodbury County Board of Supervisors hereby supports the passage of this Zoning Ordinance Text Amendment to include nuclear energy facilities and nuclear waste storage as conditional uses in the General Industrial Zoning District, consistent with the goals and policies of the Woodbury County Comprehensive Plan 2040 and the regulatory framework of the Woodbury County Zoning Ordinance.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ENACTED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF WOODBURY COUNTY, IOWA THAT THE BELOW ZONING ORDINANCE LANGUAGE AMENDMENTS BE MADE:

Amendments:

On page 8: To repeal Section 2.02.1 B(1)(e) as follows:

For a Board of Adjustment hearing on a conditional use or special exception, notice shall be mailed to all owners of real property located within 500 feet of the subject property, except that in the case of a conditional use to allow an airport or a sanitary landfill, or construction of a telecommunication tower as provided in subsection 5.06-3, notices shall be mailed to all owners of real property located within one mile of the subject property.

On page 8: To replace Section 2.02.1 B(1)(e) with the following:

For a Board of Adjustment hearing on a conditional use or special exception, notice shall be mailed to all owners of real property located within 500 feet of the subject property, except that in the case of a conditional use to allow an airport, a sanitary landfill, a nuclear energy facility, a nuclear waste storage facility, or construction of a telecommunication tower as provided in subsection 5.06-3, notices shall be mailed to all owners of real property located within one mile of the subject property for an airport, sanitary landfill, telecommunication tower, and within ten miles of the subject property for a nuclear energy facility or nuclear waste storage facility.

On page 39: To add the following line-item use language within zoning ordinance Section 3.03.4 *Land Use Summary Table of Allowed Uses in each Zoning District* under the "Utilities" category:

"Nuclear energy facilities". With placement of the letter "C" (Conditional use) within the GI (General Industrial) Zoning District column and with the placement of "--" (Prohibited use) within the AP (Agricultural Preservation) Zoning District, AE (Agricultural Estates) Zoning District, NR (Non-Agricultural Residential) Zoning District, SR (Suburban Residential) Zoning District, GC (General Commercial) Zoning District, HC (Highway Commercial) Zoning District, and LI (Limited Industrial) Zoning District columns on the table related to this line-item use.

"Nuclear waste storage". With placement of the letter "C" (Conditional use) within the GI (General Industrial) Zoning Districts Column and with the placement of "--" (Prohibited use) within the AP (Agricultural Preservation) Zoning District, AE (Agricultural Estates) Zoning District, NR (Non-Agricultural Residential) Zoning District, SR (Suburban Residential) Zoning District, GC (General Commercial) Zoning District, HC (Highway Commercial) Zoning District, and LI (Limited Industrial) Zoning District columns on the table related to this line-item use on the table related to this line-item use.

On page 104: To add the following definition, "Nuclear energy facility" as definition 112 to Article 6. Definitions. Section 6.02: Definitions as "112. Nuclear energy facility" means any facility designed or used for the generation of electricity or power through nuclear fission or fusion, including nuclear reactors and associated structures, systems, or components necessary for the production of atomic energy, as well as the handling, processing, or temporary storage of nuclear materials or byproduct materials, all in compliance with federal and state regulatory requirements as administered by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) or its duly authorized representatives.

On page 105: To add the following definition, "Nuclear waste storage" as definition 113 to Article 6. Definitions. Section 6.02: Definitions as "113. Nuclear waste storage" means any facility, structure, or area designated and engineered for the safe containment, isolation, or disposal of byproduct material, special nuclear material, or other radioactive materials generated from nuclear energy facilities, including temporary or permanent storage solutions, provided such storage complies with federal regulations under 10 CFR Part 50 and related parts, and is subject to oversight by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) to protect public health, safety, and the common defense and security.

Following the addition of the new definitions as described above: to renumber each of the subsequent definitions beginning with 114 through 193 and to note the shift of the subsequent definitions to new page locations between pages 104 to 110 and to add page 110.

Dated this _____ day of _____, 2025.

THE WOODBURY COUNTY, IOWA BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

	Daniel Bittinger II, Chairman
	Mark Nelson, Vice-Chairman
	Kent Carper
Attest:	David Dietrich
Michelle K. Skaff, Woodbury County Auditor	Matthew Ung
Adoption Timeline: Date of Public Hearing and First Reading Date of Public Hearing and Second Reading Date of Adoption Published/Effective Date DRAFT- SUBJECT TO CHANGES THROUGHOUT	THE REVIEW
SUBJECT TO CHANGES THROUGH	

ZONING COMMISSION AUDIO AND MINUTES

August 26, 2024

- Audio: <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ggkPBerPnWE</u>
- Minutes: <u>https://www.woodburycountyiowa.gov/files/committees/meetings/2024-08-26_minutes_zoning_commission_5289.pdf</u>
- Backup Materials: <u>https://www.woodburycountyiowa.gov/files/committees/meetings/2024-08-</u> 26_packet_zoning_commission_11760.pdf

September 23, 2024

- Audio: <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DXqp6jPtBtI</u>
- Minutes: <u>https://www.woodburycountyiowa.gov/files/committees/meetings/2024-09-23 minutes zoning commission 3148.pdf</u>
- Backup Materials: https://www.woodburycountyiowa.gov/files/committees/meetings/2024-09-23_packet_zoning_commission_6641.pdf

November 25, 2024

- Audio: <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PRQLWaCt2P0</u>
- Minutes: <u>https://www.woodburycountyiowa.gov/files/committees/meetings/2025-01-</u> 27 minutes zoning commission 1508.pdf
- Backup Materials: <u>https://www.woodburycountyiowa.gov/files/committees/meetings/2024-11-25 packet zoning commission 89348.pdf</u>

January 27, 2025

- Audio: <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PRQLWaCt2P0</u>
- Minutes: <u>https://www.woodburycountyiowa.gov/files/committees/meetings/2025-01-</u>27_minutes_zoning_commission_1508.pdf
- Backup Materials: <u>https://www.woodburycountyiowa.gov/files/committees/meetings/2025-01-</u> 27 packet zoning commission 46647.pdf

February 24, 2025

- Audio: <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RkHFoYupSFY</u>
- Minutes: <u>https://www.woodburycountyiowa.gov/files/committees/meetings/2025-02-</u>24_minutes_zoning_commission_4958.pdf
- Backup Materials: <u>https://www.woodburycountyiowa.gov/files/committees/meetings/2025-02-</u> 24_packet_zoning_commission_4361.pdf

March 24, 2025

- Audio: <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nEaUL_YC-xo</u>
- Minutes: <u>https://www.woodburycountyiowa.gov/files/committees/meetings/2025-03-24 minutes zoning commission 3044.pdf</u>
- Backup Materials: <u>https://www.woodburycountyiowa.gov/files/committees/meetings/2025-03-24_packet_zoning_commission_5490.pdf</u>

May 28, 2025

- Audio: <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HoUh4IVeBoI</u>
- Minutes: <u>https://www.woodburycountyiowa.gov/files/committees/meetings/2025-05-28_minutes_zoning_commission_2619.pdf</u>
- Backup Materials: <u>https://www.woodburycountyiowa.gov/files/committees/meetings/2025-05-28 packet zoning commission 6529.pdf</u>

June 23, 2025

- Audio: <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=a5z4GlaHc2o</u>
- Draft minutes provided below.
- Backup Materials: https://www.woodburycountyiowa.gov/files/committees/meetings/2025-06-23 packet zoning commission 3630.pdf

Woodbury County Zoning Commission Meeting Minutes

Date: June 23, 2025 Time: 5:00 PM Location: Board of Supervisors' Meeting Room, Basement, Woodbury County Courthouse, 620 Douglas Street, Sioux City, IA

MEETING AUDIO:

For specific content of this meeting, refer to the recorded video on the Woodbury County Zoning Commission "Committee Page" on the Woodbury County website:

- County Website Link:
 - o https://www.woodburycountyiowa.gov/committees/zoning_commission/
 - YouTube Direct Link:
 - o https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=a5z4GlaHc2o

Attendees

- Commissioners Present: Chris Zellmer Zant Chair, Tom Bride Vice Chair, Steve Corey, Jeff Hanson, Corey Meister
- Staff Present: Dan Priestley Zoning Coordinator, Dawn Norton Senior Clerk
- Supervisor(s) Present: Kent Carper
- Public Attendees: Kevin Heiss, Slater Ohm, Dana Neal (via phone), Lynn Drees (via phone)

Call to Order

Chair Chris Zellmer Zant called the meeting to order at 5:00 p.m. The Chair reviewed the meeting procedures, including the audiotaping of the meeting, the preparation of minutes, the request for cell phones to be turned off or set to vibrate, and the requirement for attendees to complete the attendance sheet. The Chair also outlined the public hearing procedures, including staff reports, applicant presentations, public comments (limited to three minutes per speaker), and the closure of hearings by motion and vote.

Roll Call

All the commissioners were present.

Public Comment on Matters Not on the Agenda (Information Item)

The Chair inquired if there were any public comments on matters not on the agenda. Seeing and hearing none, the meeting proceeded.

Approval of Minutes from Previous Meeting: May 28, 2025 (Special Meeting) (Action Item)

The Chair asked for any corrections or comments regarding the minutes from the previous meeting on May 28, 2025. Hearing none, a motion was entertained.

- Motion: To approve the minutes from the last meeting of May 28, 2025.
- Moved by: Tom Bride
- Seconded by: Corey Meister
- Vote: All in favor said "Aye." One commissioner (Jeff Hanson) abstained due to absence from the previous meeting.
- Action: The minutes of the previous meeting were approved.

5. Items of Business

a. Public Hearing and Action Item: Consideration of Nuclear Energy Facilities and Nuclear Waste Storage in the Woodbury County Zoning Ordinance (Action Item)

The public hearing was opened with Dan Priestley explaining that this discussion was a continuation from previous months (dating back to August/September 2024) regarding the inclusion of nuclear energy facilities, nuclear waste storage, and related uses in the Woodbury County Zoning Ordinance. He highlighted the complexity of the issue, noting the heavy involvement of federal (Nuclear Regulatory Commission - NRC) and state regulations.

Priestley explained that the existing Woodbury County Zoning Ordinance's land use summary table includes "electrical energy generation, not including wind," which could be interpreted to include nuclear facilities as a conditional use. However, the standard 500-foot public notification distance for conditional use permits was deemed insufficient for nuclear facilities. The current proposal extends this notification zone to 10 miles for any conditional use permit process related to nuclear energy or waste storage. This proposal utilizes the existing zoning ordinance infrastructure, requiring review by both the Zoning Commission and the Board of Adjustment.

Priestley clarified that the Board of Supervisors initiated this process to receive a recommendation from the Zoning Commission, with the Supervisors ultimately having up to three public hearings on any final proposal. He noted that public input had been collected over several meetings, and while not as extensive as for wind or solar energy, both support and opposition comments had been received.

Priestley presented a "Nuclear Energy Public Comments 2014-2025" document, summarizing past comments, and requested it be received into the public record.

- Motion: To receive the "Nuclear Energy Public Comments 2014-2025" document into the public record.
- Moved by: Tom Bride
- Seconded by: Jeff Hanson
- Vote: All in favor said "Aye."
- Action: The document was received into the public record.

Priestley then summarized key public comments:

- **Support**: Mayor Bob Scott (Sioux City), Kyle Gates (Secondary Roads), Mayor Ken Bauer (Correctionville), and Craig Levine and Rick Plathe (Northwest Iowa Building and Construction Trade Council).
- **Opposition:** Jerry Holder (concerns regarding waste risk and potential malfunctions), Janet Kruger (opposing nuclear activities, urging prohibition without public approval).
- Other Comments: Wendy Hess (9/11 Dispatch Center readiness, staff training, emergency exercises, budget increases), Mark Nara (former County Engineer, regarding infrastructure impact and NRC alignment), Patty Riesberg (clarified NRC's regulatory role). Brian Bergeon from the NRC had also provided details on their independent regulatory and licensing process in a previous packet.

Priestley reiterated that the local conditional use permit process allows for scrutiny and engagement with other levels of government, similar to telecommunication towers. He emphasized that the proposed ordinance amendment specifically defines "nuclear energy facilities" and "nuclear waste storage" and adds them to the land use summary table **only in the general industrial zoning district**. The 10-mile notification radius is a key added feature.

The Chair then opened the floor for public comments on this item.

- Public Comment: No one present in the room wished to comment.
- **Public Comment (via phone):** Lynn Drees (phone) from Danbury stated, "no comment." No other callers wished to comment.

The Chair then invited comments from the commissioners.

- Dan Priestley clarified that this process is proactive, and no specific nuclear project has been proposed or approached staff/county. The purpose is to determine if it should be a permitted use in the ordinance.
- Commissioner Tom Bride reiterated that the current ordinance covers electrical energy generation, but the proposed language provides more detail as recommended by the County Attorney's office.
- Dan Priestley explained that the County Attorney felt the previous language wasn't specific enough and that clearer
 definitions would prevent interpretation issues if an application were submitted. He also stressed the importance of
 the 10-mile notification over the standard 500 feet to avoid potential problems. He noted that the costs of extensive
 notifications for a 10-mile radius would be passed on to the applicant, aligning with the county's zoning fee schedule
 to prevent massive county expenses for wider-scale conditional uses.
- Commissioner Jeff Hanson emphasized that defining nuclear energy clarifies the language and expands the notification distance, which are important considerations.
- Dan Priestley reinforced that a conditional use permit is a "maybe" permit, not a "yes," allowing full scrutiny and public engagement in the process. He noted the difficulty of discussing hypotheticals without a specific project but stressed the importance of having a clear framework in the ordinance for potential future proposals.

The Chair inquired about the next steps. Dan Priestley explained that the commission could close the public hearing and then make a recommendation to the Board of Supervisors or continue the discussion. If a recommendation is sent, the Board of Supervisors would then consider scheduling up to three public hearings, which often draw more public engagement.

- Motion: To close the public hearing.
- Moved by: Jeff Hanson
- Seconded by: Corey Meister
- Vote: All in favor said "Aye."
- Action: The public hearing was closed.

Commissioner Jeff Hanson commented that this was the 12th time the issue had been heard at various levels.

- **Motion**: To recommend to the Board of Supervisors to move forward with the language as presented in draft pages 11, 12, 13, and 14 of the packet, which specifically defines nuclear energy facilities and nuclear waste storage.
- Moved by: Jeff Hanson
- Seconded by: Steve Corey

Discussion on the motion:

- Supervisor Kent Carper asked if specific locations were picked out. Dan Priestley clarified that the proposed ordinance would only allow these uses in **general industrial areas**, typically south of the airport and west of Interstate 29, not in agricultural or residential zones.
- Dan Priestley added that the Board of Supervisors has the prerogative to adjust the language during their three public hearings, as the Zoning Commission's output is a recommendation.
- Commissioner Tom Bride clarified that the motion is not targeting new areas but is clarifying language, notification, and conditions for existing general industrial zones. He reiterated that the 10-mile notification is a significant improvement over 500 feet.
- Dan Priestley further clarified that both nuclear energy facilities and nuclear waste storage would be distinct, classified as conditional uses, and subject to the 10-mile notification apparatus.
- Vote: All in favor said "Aye." (Unanimous)
- Action: The commission voted unanimously to recommend to the Board of Supervisors to move forward with the proposed language for nuclear energy facilities and nuclear waste storage in the Woodbury County Zoning Ordinance.

b. Public Hearing and Action Item: Consideration of Zoning Ordinance Text Amendments for Accessory Dwelling Units to Comply with Iowa's Senate File 592 (Action Item)

The public hearing was opened with Dan Priestley stating this was a housekeeping item to bring the county ordinance into compliance with Iowa Senate File 592. This state law, signed by Governor Kim Reynolds on May 1st, mandates that counties allow at least one accessory dwelling unit (ADU) on the same lot as a single-family residence, subject to specific conditions, and prohibits certain restrictive regulations.

Priestley explained that the state standard sets a minimum threshold of 1,000 square feet or 50% of the size of the existing dwelling, whichever is greater. While the state code allows counties flexibility to permit larger ADUs, the current proposal strictly follows the state's minimums. He noted that other jurisdictions (counties and cities) would also be grappling with the implications of this new law, particularly concerning wells and septics. He mentioned that the 23-foot minimum dimension for a dwelling would still apply for building permits.

Priestley stated that the staff's recommendation is to simply react to the state standard and keep the minimums, allowing for future re-evaluation if demand necessitates larger ADUs. He stressed that the county has a duty to make its ordinance compatible with state law.

The Chair then opened the floor for public comments on this item.

- Public Comment: No one present in the room wished to comment.
- Public Comment (via phone): No one wished to comment.

The Chair then invited comments from the commissioners.

- Motion: To close the public hearing.
- Moved by: Tom Bride
- Seconded by: Jeff Hanson
- Vote: All in favor said "Aye."
- Action: The public hearing was closed.

Commissioner Tom Bride commented that there is no alternative but to align with state code. He agreed with Dan Priestley that there is no immediate reason to allow larger structures beyond the state's minimums (1,000 sq ft or 50% of the existing dwelling). He viewed it as a housekeeping issue, with potential future reviews if needs arise. Other commissioners agreed.

- Motion: To recommend to the Board of Supervisors the approval of the zoning ordinance text amendments for accessory dwelling units in compliance with Senate File 592, as outlined in the draft on pages 48 and 49 of the packet.
- Moved by: Tom Bride
- Seconded by: Corey Meister
- Vote: All in favor said "Aye." (Unanimous)

• Action: The commission voted unanimously to recommend to the Board of Supervisors the approval of the zoning ordinance text amendments for ADUs, aligning with Senate File 592.

c. Review of a Conditional Use Permit Application: Kevin Heiss (Applicant) / Rent Properties, LLC (Owner) for an Off-Premise LED Billboard (Action Item)

Dan Priestley clarified that this was a review session, not a public hearing, which would take place at the Board of Adjustment meeting on July 7th at 5:00 p.m. The Zoning Commission's duty was to review the criteria, evaluate the application, and hear from the applicant and potentially the public.

Kevin Heiss, representing Rent Properties LLC, submitted a conditional use permit application to construct and operate a 14foot by 48-foot LED billboard for off-premise advertising. The property is located in the north two-thirds of the north half of the northwest quarter, Section 6, Floyd Township, situated along the south side of Highway 20 and east of Charles Avenue, within the General Commercial zoning district. Off-premise advertising signs are classified as a conditional use in this district.

Priestley noted that the property includes a floodplain, and the applicants are aware of the need for a floodplain development permit and building permit. He confirmed that initial data suggests the sign would not be in the floodway, which was a concern for the lowa DNR. He reiterated that the county does not regulate content but evaluates the billboard itself, which is a two-sided, V-shaped LED billboard. The application addresses criteria such as appropriate zoning, compatibility with development plans, and potential adverse effects.

The Chair invited the applicant to speak.

• Kevin Heiss (Applicant): Stated the intent is for advertising, including for his own nearby businesses. They are working with SRA Group for construction and have ensured the operation will be well-maintained. He believes the location is suitable for a highly trafficked commercial area along Highway 20. Heiss confirmed they had consulted with Dan Priestley multiple times to ensure compliance with the process.

Commissioners' questions for the applicant:

- **Distance to Residents:** Kevin Heiss stated there are no residents within 1,000 feet, and nearby properties are commercial. Dan Priestley confirmed the presence of mixed districts in the area, with some residential properties further up the hill (Boatman's and Amick's on 162nd Street) that could be about 1,000 feet away. The ordinance specifically regulates distance from AE (Agricultural Estates) districts, where housing is expected, but not AP (Agricultural Preservation).
- Lighting and Brightness: Heiss stated it's a 21-millimeter LED product, which is extremely bright during the day to overcome the sun but dims at night like a "television night mode." He confirmed the back side of the V-shaped sign would be black and not emit light towards residential areas. He emphasized they chose Daktronics, a reputable company, to ensure proper design and operation.
- **DOT Requirements:** Heiss confirmed compliance with DOT requirements, which require 300 feet between signs, whereas Woodbury County's current ordinance requires 1,000 feet. This 1,000-foot county requirement makes placement challenging. Heiss and Priestley described a "chasing the result" scenario with DOT, where each wanted the other's approval first, but dialogue has been good.
- Setbacks: Heiss confirmed the sign is set back significantly from Highway 20 and Charles Avenue, likely in the middle of his field, approximately 150 feet from the Charles Avenue right-of-way line.
- Letter of Support: Dan Priestley presented a letter from Jerry and Vernell Steffan, neighbors at 1528 Jewel, stating they had "no issues with this request." He identified their property as directly abutting the applicant's property.
 - o Motion: To receive the letter from Jerry and Vernell Stefan into the record.
 - Moved by: Tom Bride
 - o Seconded by: Corey Meister
 - Vote: All in favor said "Aye."
 - o Action: The letter was received into the record.

Public Comment (via phone): Dana Neal (162nd Street):

- Expressed concern that his home is within 1,000 feet of the proposed sign, despite measurements. His home is also 45 feet higher than the road. He worried the sign, which will be 25-30 feet off the ground, would shine directly into his windows.
- He stated he and his family built their home on their family farm for a country living experience, avoiding city nuisances like streetlights. He noted that he can see an existing billboard a mile away from his deck at night.
- He feared the double-sided 14x48 billboard would significantly impact his home's value and privacy, similar to how LED lights light up a building on a hill nearby.

• He asked if another location farther from homes could be considered.

Response to Dana Neal's comments:

- Kevin Heiss acknowledged the difficulty of finding locations due to the 1,000-foot separation requirement from other billboards, stating "we're in the middle of the rock." He emphasized the V-shape design focuses light on the road, with the back side being black to prevent light spill.
- Commissioner Corey Meister asked if the entire 67-acre parcel belonged to Heiss, which he confirmed, except for where Hobart's is located.
- Chair Chris Zellmer Zant noted a previous billboard existed near Steffan's property. Heiss confirmed it still exists and is in use, but their new sign cannot be placed there due to the 1,000-foot separation rule from other signs across the road.
- Kevin Heiss reiterated that the sign's design is specifically angled to face east and westbound traffic on Highway 20, minimizing light towards other directions. He confirmed there would be no additional security lighting.
- Dana Neal clarified his property location relative to the sign. He expressed concern about the entire "area lit up" at night. He requested to see the proposed sign in person and for the opinions of the Boatman's and Amick's (other residents on 162nd Street) to be considered.
- Kevin Heiss agreed to have a conversation with Dana Neal to explore design adjustments to help mitigate concerns. He expressed a desire to work with the community.
- Heiss explained that if a variance were granted to reduce the 1,000-foot separation from other signs, they could move the billboard closer to Highway 20. This would also benefit residents by lowering the sign and changing its angle relative to their homes.

Discussion on a potential variance:

- Commissioner Tom Bride asked if a variance could be requested to relocate the sign to a better position to minimize impact on residents.
- Dan Priestley explained that while a variance is a possibility, recent changes to lowa Code emphasize "practical difficulty" over "economic hardship." He cautioned against speculation on the Board of Adjustment's decision and stated staff generally avoid recommending variances due to their uncertain outcome.
- Kevin Heiss stated their primary goal was approval of the current location and that they would consider a variance later if needed but wanted conceptual approval first due to cost.
- Dan Priestley clarified that the Zoning Commission makes a recommendation, and the application will proceed to the Board of Adjustment regardless. He suggested a potential contingency for approval contingent on a variance, but again, stressed caution.
- Priestley also asked if the LED signs could be timed to dim or shut off at certain hours (e.g., midnight to 5 AM) to mitigate light pollution. Heiss replied that most digital signs are on 24/7 due to advertising sales, and dimming is already built in for nighttime, but completely shutting off or further dimming would make them ineffective.
- Commissioner Bride suggested that the applicant try to address the neighbors' concerns between now and the Board of Adjustment meeting on July 7th, perhaps by showing them existing similar signs or providing a visualization of the light impact. Heiss agreed to reach out to Dana Neal and share information.
- Dan Priestley confirmed that letters were sent to properties within the 500-foot threshold (as per the certified abstract listing).
- Heiss mentioned similar V-shaped LED signs at Hamilton and Casey's, by the Arena, and on I-29 near Outback, and at Third and Wesley Parkway as examples of what the proposed sign would look like. He also confirmed height restrictions are in place (not 35 feet, more like 18 feet off the ground).

Final comments from commissioners before motion:

- Commissioner Jeff Hanson stated he had no issue with the proposed location and thought other lit billboards in the area were more impactful. He would prefer the sign to be moved further north (closer to Highway 20) to protect future commercial development potential, as its current south placement pushes potential development further into residential areas. He agreed that moving it north would benefit adjacent landowners.
- Kevin Heiss reiterated their desire to work with the community and do things "right."
- **Motion:** To make a recommendation to the Board of Adjustment to consider the conditional use permit application for an off-premise billboard (14 ft x 48 ft), partially identified on the agenda, with a recommendation for approval.
- Moved by: Jeff Hanson
- Seconded by: Corey Meister

Discussion on the motion:

• Dan Priestley clarified that the recommendation was for approval.

- Commissioner Tom Bride suggested that the letter reflecting the commission's recommendation for approval should also include a discussion point for the Board of Adjustment to consider the possibility of a variance to address neighbor concerns, and the discussion regarding the benefits of moving the sign closer to Highway 20. Dan Priestley confirmed the letter would reflect the recommendation, touch on themes/concerns, and direct the Board of Adjustment to the minutes.
- Vote: All in favor said "Aye." (Unanimous)
- Action: The commission voted unanimously to recommend approval of the conditional use permit application for the billboard to the Board of Adjustment, with concerns noted for their consideration regarding potential variances and optimal placement. This item will be continued at the Board of Adjustment meeting on July 7th at 5:00 p.m.

Public Comment on Matters Not on the Agenda

The Chair inquired if there were any public comments on matters not on the agenda. Seeing and hearing none, the meeting proceeded.

Staff Update

Dan Priestley provided the following updates:

- **Morningside University Conditional Use Permit Ballpark Proposal:** The Board of Adjustment tabled this proposal at their last meeting for further consideration on July 7th. Public comments from the Zoning Commission and three property owners were reiterated at the Board of Adjustment, focusing on traffic, sound, and lighting issues. Jason Reynoldson, representing Morningside University, met with Priestley and the County Engineer to discuss traffic flow, including potential turning lanes and infrastructure improvements if traffic increases. They are awaiting information from Laura Sievers. Morningside University is expected to return on July 7th with further clarity on addressing these concerns.
- Board of Supervisors Updates:
 - Borrow Pit: The Board of Supervisors will hold their second public hearing on the borrow pit on June 24th and the third and final one on July 1st.
 - New Cooperative Rezone: They will have their third and final reading on this rezone on June 24th

Commissioners' Comments or Inquiries

The Chair asked if there were any comments or inquiries from the commissioners. Hearing none, the meeting moved to adjournment.

Adjournment

- Motion: To adjourn the meeting.
- Moved by: Corey Meister
- Seconded by: Jeff Hanson
- Vote: All in favor said "Aye."
- Action: The meeting was adjourned at 6:44 PM.

APPENDIX – RECEIVED INTO THE RECORD

Please see the content received into the record on the subsequent pages.

NUCLEAR ENERGY PUBLIC COMMENTS 2024 to 2025

The comments on the proposed nuclear energy zoning ordinance amendments in unincorporated Woodbury County reflect a range of perspectives, concerns, and recommendations, summarized her here the means by key themes

- Support for Nuclear Energy (7 comments):

 Bob Scott (July 29, 2024; Dec 4, 2024; Jan 3, 2025) strongly supports a small

 nuclear plant, citing economic benefits, job creation, rate stability, and minimal
 - inforce plant, thing consists is executively used and solve and a starting in the manufacture risks compared to rewards. He also supports wind and solar but questions zoning laws for solar farms on annexed land. Kyle Gates (Lun 16, 2025) endorses nuclear energy, emphasizing safety of modern reactors, economic growth, grid resilience, and innovative uses like waste heat for reactors. industry.
 - Ken Bauer (Feb 6, 2025) supports nuclear for its reliability and efficiency, drawing on his Port Neal experience, and criticizes wind/solar as less viable without subsidies
 - Without subsidies. Craig Levine (Mar 14, 2025) and Rick Plathe (Apr 1, 2025), representing Northwest Iowa Building Trades, advocate rezoning industrial land for nuclear, highlighting job creation, low-carbon benefits, and sustainable energy.

- 2. Opposition to Nuclear Energy (2 comments): o Jerry Holder (Aug 6, 2024) opposes nuclear facilities due to risks from waste and
 - Jerry notice: (Vag 9, 2007) opposed and a second provide 0

3. Concerns and Considerations (5 comments):

- Wendi Hess (Aug 7, 2024) raises concerns about the 911 Dispatch Center's readiness, noting needs for staff training, emergency exercises, and budget
- Mark Nahra (July 26, 2025) suggests heavy industrial zoning for nu facilities, highlights infrastructure and environmental impacts (traffic, water, waste), and stresses alignment with NRC regulations. Christopher Madsen (Mar 6, 2025) notes the addition of nuclear waste storage to
- 0 Carlsoniat reads (real via 0, 2022) notes the automotion indicate water storage the proposal, requesting research on storage processes and IDNR involvement. Craig Anderson (May 2, 2025) expresses skepticism about nuclear energy, prioritizing agricultural land preservation and questioning its viability without
- subsidies. Casey Meinen (July 26, 2024) simply forwarded the proposal to management,
- offering no opinion.

Regulatory and Technical Clarifications (2 comments):

 Patty Riesberg (Mar 20, 2025) clarifies that the NRC regulates nuclear power and waste, with lowa HHS coordinating on other materials; Jowa DNR has no role.

industrial use, away from residential zones, to minimize public exposure to potential risks.

- Impact Assessment: He notes potential impacts on infrastructure, such as
- Impact Assessment: He notes potential impacts on infrastructure, such as increased traffic during construction and operation, which could strain county roads. Environmental impacts, including water usage and waste storage, need thorough evaluation. **Regulatory Framework:** Nahra emphasizes that nuclear facilities are primarily regulated by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), and local regulations should align with federal standards to avoid conflicts. He suggests the county focus on zoning and land use controls.

6. December 4, 2024 - Bob Scott

ther 4, 2024 – Bob Scott Summary: Bob Scott reitentes his support for a small nuclear plant near the Neal power plants, citing investment benefits and long-term rate stability. He believes the risks of low-level nuclear plants are outweighed by these benefits. Additionally, he asks whether country coming laws regarding solar farms would apply to annexed city land, indicating a broader interest in land use regulations.

7. January 3, 2025 - Bob Scott

Summary: Bob Scott again expresses support for a small nuclear plant in Woodbury County.

January 6, 2025 – Diane Swoboda Peterson

 Summary: Diane Swoboda Peterson, Woodbury County Real Estate/Recorder Deputy, provides no comments on the nuclear energy proposal.

9. January 16, 2025 - Kyle Gates

ty 10, 2023 - Kyte tates Summary: Kyle Gates strongly supports nuclear energy in Woodbury County, stating he would feel safe with a modern reactor nearby. He suggests considerations including setbacks for security, land restoration after decommissioning, co-location with industries to utilize waste heat (e.g., fertilizer production), grid resilience through distributed power sources, and economic development potential due to lower-cost electricity.

10. February 6, 2025 – Ken Bauer Summary: Ken Bauer, Mayor of Correctionville, supports nuclear energy, arguing it would be beneficial for the county. Drawing on his 26 years of experience at Port Neal, he considers nuclear plants second only to coal-fired plants in reliability. He criticizes wind and solar energy as less economical and critical constructions medicar molecular for the officiance and ensigned humbers. reliant on tax incentives, praising nuclear for its efficiency and minimal landscape impact

March 6, 2025 – Christopher Madsen
 Summary: Christopher Madsen, Senior Planner for Sioux City, notes that the
 proposal now includes nuclear waste storage, which was not previously
 mentioned. He requests research on the process for establishing a storage facility

Bryan Bergeon (May 5, 2025) details the NRC's independent regulatory role, licensing process, and oversight of nuclear materials and waste, noting Iowa's 0 Agreement State status.

5. No Opinion (1 comment):

Diane Swoboda Peterson (Jan 6, 2025) provides no comments on the proposal.

Key Issues:

- Economic and Environmental Impacts: Supporters emphasize jobs, growth, and clean
 energy; opponents and skeptics highlight risks (waste, malfunctions) and farmland loss.
 Zoning and Land Use: Suggestions include heavy industrial zoning, setbacks, and
 public input for zoning changes.
 Regulation: NRC's primary role is emphasized, with local zoning and federal alignment
- commended. Infrastructure and Preparedness: Concerns include traffic, water use, and emergency response readiness (e.g., 911 Dispatch).

Summaries of Comments by Date and Name

- 1. July 26, 2024 Casey Meinen Summary: Casey forwarded the content to management officials.
- 2. July 29, 2024 Bob Scott
 - Summary: Bob Scott supports the construction of a nuclear plant south of town, subjects and the subject of the subj
- 3. August 6, 2024 Jerry Holder Summary: Jerry Holder opposes any nuclear facilities in Woodbury County, citing the catastrophic risks associated with nuclear waste and malfunctions.

 August 7, 2024 – Wendi Hess

 Summary: Wendi Hess, Communications Center Director, expresses concerns about the impact of a nuclear facility on the 911 Dispatch Center. She highlights

 the need for additional staff training and participation in emergency exerci which would require increased budgetary allocations for overtime and training funds. She is unsure if specific certifications would be required for staff.

5. July 26, 2025 - Mark Nahra

Summary: Mark Nahra, Woodbury County Engineer, provides preliminary built in the state of the state

and the involvement of other entities, such as the Iowa Department of Natural Resources (IDNR), to inform further review

 March 20, 2025 – Patty Riesberg

 Summary: Patty Riesberg, Bureau Chief for the Bureau of Radiological Health with how HHS, chirfies the regulatory framework for nuclear energy and waste storage. She states that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) regulates all

 commercial nuclear power and spent nuclear fuel in the U.S. through licensing, inspections, and enforcement. Iowa HHS coordinates with the NRC on other napectoris, and endocement, lowarm's coordinates with the Net on one radioactive materials, but the lowa DNR has no regulatory role in nuclear power plants or waste storage. She advises close coordination with the NRC for compliance.

13. March 24, 2025 - Janet Krueger

124, 2025 – Janet Krueger Summary: Janet Krueger, along with Randy Krueger, strongly opposes nuclear-related activities, including waste disposal, in Woodbury County. They advocate for zoning ordinances to expressly prohibit such activities, requiring public input for any future proposals. They emphasize preventing nuclear activities unless explicitly approved through zoning changes.

14. March 14, 2025 (Submitted April 1, 2025) – Craig Levine Summary: Craig Levine, President of Northwest Iowa Building Trades, in a letter co-signed by multiple union representatives, supports rezoning industrial land to allow melcar energy production, including small modular reactors. He highlights nuclear energy's reliability, Iow-carbon benefits, and potential to drive economic growth, create jobs, and support sustainable energy. The letter emphasizes aligning with forward-thinking policies and advocates for safe, responsible integration of nuclear facilities.

 April 1, 2025 – Rick Plathe

 Summary: Rick Plathe, Business Manager of IBEW Local 231, submits a letter
 of support from Northwest Iowa Building Trades (authored by Craig Levine)

 endorsing the rezoning of industrial land for nuclear energy. He offers to address any questions or concerns, reinforcing the unions' collective support for the

16. May 2, 2025 - Craig Anderson Summary:

- Appropriate Locations / Zoning District Designation(s): Implies nuclear facilities should avoid prime farmland, prioritizing agricultural land preservation.
 Impact Assessment: Expresses concern about losing prime farmland,
- advocating for lowa's land use to favor agriculture. Regulatory Framework: Should focus on agriculture. Does not address specific regulations, focusing on land use policy.

Additional Comments: Skeptical of nuclear energy's desirability, suggesting public opposition and questioning its viability without tax incentives. Advocates for agricultural land use balance.

17. May 5, 2025 - Bryan Bergeon

5,2025 – Bryan Bergeon Summary: Bryan Bergeon, Acting Region III Government Liaison Officer for the NRC, provides a detailed explanation of the NRC's role as a regulator of civilian nuclear materials, emphasizing its independence and focus on public health, safety, and security. He outlines the NRC's regulatory mission covering reactors, materials, and waste, and the complex, multiyear licensing process governed by federal laws and 10 CFR regulatory. Mission clurifies that the NRC does not advocate for nuclear energy (unlike the Department of Energy) and regulates waste storage, including low-level and high-level waste. He notes lowa's status as an Agreement State for certain nuclear materials and divises prospective applicants to engage with the NRC's licensing process.



From: Sent: To: Subject Wendi Hess Wednesday, August 7, 2024 9:22 AM Daniel Priestay RE: Comments Requested Naciear Energy in Woodbury County, Iowa Follow Up Flag: Flag Status: Follow up Flagged Dan: In regards to the 911 Dispatch Conter- having this type of facility in our response area would potentially create a need for additional training for our staff members along with participating in regular exercises and training related to potential emergencies at the facility. For us that would be more a budgetarin term meding to a ditixining (ands to our amual budget for owartive, cit creinistic to the additional training activity (tast would be necessary. Liem not sure if there are any specific conflictions that would be neglined by our staff.





Follow Up Flag Hog Status: Follow up Regged 2 Des. I gave this som thoughts in red Nerk I. Nathur, P.E. Woodbury Coumy Engineer 7.765 E. Frontage Road Honto, I.k. 81039 Prione: 712-873-3215 or 712-228-8484 Fier: 712-873-3215 Creak: ministe@woodburycoumy/orm.g

A state of the sta

85

and the second s ters? On site Are there any you bellevis w onergy? Dup require is Ros construction, plant constru-construction. and the second nrigheaned from QUTBIDE of the cognitization Plevels verify the senger and use castion if the rm of respectit for information as the pleven may NUT by who they claim. Hyve are asked for y cashWCICC and DO NOT EXTER any faits. I have fory Have a great weekand. Cascy Mainen Lead, Electric Distribution Engineering Carey, meineytmiliamerican.com Phone (712-233-4631) WIDAMERICAN ENREGY COMPANY. -LINEOY CORFAN

. 1969-993

Ester.

	E ob Scott	
Sent	Wesnesday, December 4, 2024 4:04 PM	
To:	Dariel Priestley	
Subject:	RE: Comments Requested Nuclear Energy in Woodbury County, lowa	
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up	
Flag Status:	Flagged	
Fing overes.	Line Reference	Later A design of
and the long term affect o	uut I am in favor of a small nuise plant in the mana around Neal power plants. I think the investe in rotes is worth the list for these low level type plants. And I have a question if we annex lars regarding solar farms cannot apply to lind in the city can they?	
1、1973日15月27日の	23.486月8月1日的平平局部沿行中国市地区运行自己产产的历史的数据转移的新闻。	
anna dòraichteadhailteadh Fraimt	A Sold With Control of Collaboration of Statement Control of Sold Technology and Statement Control Statement Control of Scott	CASE OF THE PARTY
rom: Sent:	Folday, January 8, 2025 10:47 AM	a property of the
io:	Daniel Priestley	
ubject:	RE: Comments Requested Nadear Energy in Wookloury County, Iowa	
and ace.	Her community mediations and all in a second some lines	L. 161 724 1 1 1 18 103.
ollow Up Rag:	Follow up	
lag Status:	Ragged	and the second s
lot thast the county will ci	are what my opinion is but I would be supportive of a small noise plant.	
		Electronic Control of the
Ward to the later		
a nanadan a an an an Sainn Tome	Diane Swoboda Peterson	
ent	Monday, January 6, 2025 9:12 AM	
0:	Danial Priestler	
ubject:	RE Comments Requested Nuclear Energy in Woodbury County, Iowa	
interference in the second sec	The comment respective reason energy is reasonly evening inter-	
ollow Up Flag:	Folicymup	
tag Stalus:	Flegged	
		當在自己是的
io commente		同時時代的
liene Swoboda Paterson		
lane Swoboda Paterson Voodbury County Real Es	staan/Recorder Deputy	
Nane Swoboda Peterson Voodbary County Real Es 20 Douglas Street; Robri	staan/Recorder Deputy	
Nane Swoboda Peterson Veodbury County Real Er 20 Douglas Street; Ream ioux Clay, Iowa, 51101	staan/Recorder Deputy	
Nane Swoboda Paterson Vacdbury Counky Real Er 20 Douglas Strast; Roam How Clay, Jawa, 51101	staan/Recorder Deputy	
Nane Swoboda Paterson Vacdbury Counky Real Er 20 Douglas Strast; Roam How Clay, Jawa, 51101	staan/Recorder Deputy	
to commente Nane Swoboda Paterson Vacibury Gounky Real Er 20 Douglas Stract: Roan Houx City, Iowa 51 101 71 2229-4828	staan/Recorder Deputy	n na si
Nene Swoboda Peterson Veobury Gounky Real Er 20 Douglas Streat: Roam isoux City, Isova 51101 712)279-6828 *rom:	AttachTreconduc Doputy 1 198	m erija 1995
Hene Swobods Peterson Voorbury Coursy Reat E 20 Douglas Street: Roam New City, Iowa 51 101 71 2)279-6528 From: From:	inter/Mecoulty 1 198 Nyke cates Traunolog, kenery 14, 2025 533 FM	7°E
Nene Swoboda Peterson Veobury Gounky Real Er 20 Douglas Streat: Roam isoux City, Isova 51101 712)279-6828 *rom:	AttachTreconduc Doputy 1 198	ang i
Nene Swoboda Patenson Vootbury Coursy Real Es 20 Douglas Stratt Room Jour City, Iowa St 101 7 12/279-8528 from: Sent: foc	atau/finecinutr Doouty 198 Syfe Cates Thursday, Bauay 14, 2025 3183 Pir David Pearler David Pearler	990 () 1990 ()
Hene Swoboda Peterson /cobury Coursy Real E 20 Douglas Stratz Rom lour City, Iowa 51 101 1 2229-8528 rem: lent: oc	atau/finecinutr Doouty 198 Syfe Cates Thursday, Bauay 14, 2025 3183 Pir David Pearler David Pearler	ane a constantino de la constantino de
Hana Swoboda Peterson Irodbury Counsy Real Er 20 Douglas Stretc Road irod Clay Jove S1101 11 2027 0-6528 From: From: From: From: Counsy Counsy Counsy Counsy Counsy From: From: Counsy C	atau/finecinutr Doouty 198 Syfe Cates Thursday, Bauay 14, 2025 3183 Pir David Pearler David Pearler	710 A.
Hana Swoboda Peterson Irodbury Counsy Real Er 20 Douglas Stretc Road irod Clay Jove S1101 11 2027 0-6528 From: From: From: From: Counsy Counsy Counsy Counsy Counsy From: From: Counsy C	Attach Recorder Doubly 1988 Nyle Gates Thursday, Annay 16, 2005 193 FM Deniel Prace Mill Comments: Respected Nuclear Dangy in Wandsway County, Inne	and a
Name Swoboda Paterson Veodbury Counky Real Es 20 Douglas Streats Real from City, Jove S1101 1 1 2020-04508 Forms F	ateur/Theoretic Doubly 1980 Nythe cates Thursday, Issuery 16, 2005 5:03 FM Devid Packar REComments Requested Nuclear Energy is Woodbary County, Isma nergy in Woodbary County and Woodbary County, Isma	1999 1999 1999
None Swoboda Paterson feadbary Course Real B Douglas Strait Roan ioux City, Iowa 51101 712/275-8828 reat iest iest iutijest fußjest fußjest fußjest fußjest fußjest fußjest	Altanch Record Ar Doubly 1980 March Sealer Denoise Sealer Denoise Sealer Michael Proof of REC Comments Requested Na Geer Chargy, is Woodbary County, these metry in Woodbary County exits would feel quite sefen with a mosterin reactor next door to	900 1
Inne Swoboda Paterson /eodbury Coursy Real IE 20 Douglas Straig Roam isox Cley, Iowa 51 901 1 20270-823 2027-82	ateur/Theoretic Doubly 1988 Syle Cates Thursday, Knursy 14, 2025 553 FM Early of Peeting REI Comment: Requested Haufeer Energy in Woodbary County, Iones nergy in Woodbary County and would feel quite anforethin a modern reactor next door fi finities anouthy	1998 1997 1997
Jane Sweboda Puterion feedback Course Real 20 Douglas Strate Real State Real State Course Strong 71 2020 - 4800 71 2020 - 48000 71 2000 - 48000 71 2000 - 48000 71 2000 - 4	Altanch Revender Douvly 1980 Nyth Catel Transford Transford RED Comments / Repueted Pau Genr Theragy in Woodbeary County, there metry in Woodbeary County, and unsude Feel quilte sefs with a modern reactor next door for the Instruct anouthy providual sets of ther futures decommissioning	
Ilene Svebods Priverson Vecobury Courry Realf, SveterRom Diox City, Kove S100 17 (227) 4283 Vermi Vermi Vermi Vermi Vert	size/Theorem Doutly 1988 Syle Cates Truncedy, January 14, 2025 1938 FM Druncedy, January 14, 2025 1938 FM Druncedy, January 14, 2025 1938 FM Emil Comments / Repuested Haudiers Drengy in Woodhway County, Iones Fill Comments / Repuested Haudiers Drengy in Woodhway County, Iones Fill Comments / Repuested Haudiers Landson Market and States and Fill County and States and States and Fill County and States and States and Fill County and States and State	
Nene Swebodie Parkerson Vecobiany Coursy Real FL 20 Douglie Strate Rom Network	Alter Alfreeden Douty 198 Nyle Cate Trundby, knry Y, 2005 193 FM Trundby, knry Y RE: Commerce Sequence 4 Audier Drings in Woodbury County, time netry in Woodbury County and would feel quite sefe with a modern reactor next door for the next of the second	
Nene Swebodie Parkerson Vecobiany Coursy Real FL 20 Douglie Strate Rom Network	size/Theorem Doutly 1988 Syle Cates Truncedy, January 14, 2025 1938 FM Druncedy, January 14, 2025 1938 FM Druncedy, January 14, 2025 1938 FM Emil Comments / Repuested Haudiers Drengy in Woodhway County, Iones Fill Comments / Repuested Haudiers Drengy in Woodhway County, Iones Fill Comments / Repuested Haudiers Landson Market and States and Fill County and States and States and Fill County and States and States and Fill County and States and State	

From:	læn beuer <kjbeuer57@hotmail.com></kjbeuer57@hotmail.com>	SPECE STATES
Sent	Thursday, February 6, 2023 12:41 PM	
Te:	Daniel Priedley	- 11 - 15 2 TO 2 T
Subject:	Nuclear energy in Woodbury county.	water the second
subject.	reaches energy is secondary county.	动物化 经回报
Fellow Up Flag:	Follow up	
Flag Status:	Flagged	
and a second		in and the
		20 Parts 0.2754
CAUTION: This email origi	nated from OUTSIDE of the organization. Please verify the sender and use caution if the message	しい。予想に認定し
contains any attachments,	Enks, or requests for information as this person may NOT be who they claim. If you are asked for	State of the second
your username and passw	ord, plaase call WCICC and DO NOT ENTER any data.	SW Washington
I believe nuclear energy	would be very beneficial to our county. I worked at port neal for 26 years. I believe coal fired plants	
	plants. The wind mills and solar only makes brookshiruhathaway rich off tex payers back. The wind	1233
	he sun doesn't always shine (especially at night). I beliave it would be more economical than either	Marsa in
	"t be cluttered with old wind mills or solor savels. Ken Beuer, mayor of Correction/Ne.	学们全能和社会法
and an an and the part of the		. 1.a. 9421934685
金. 一个的人员	승규는 방법에 많은 것이 많은 것을 위해 집에서 가지 않는 것이 없다.	South States of States
rom:	Christopher Macisen	Sand Mr. and
ent	Thursday, March 6, 2025 3 DP PM	A AND A A
00	Daniel Priestley	and TORNE LINE OF
ubject:	RE: Nuclear Energy Public Hearing Notice - Warch 24, 2025: Nuclear Energy and Waste	Stand I The Adda to a
	Storage Ordinance Amendments Your Input Needed	第 目的 1000 1000 1000 1000
ellow Up Flag:		A STREET
lag Status:	Follow up Reged	14 14 Aug. 14
ag autos:	naggeo	一起的 计学说的问题
load efternoon Dan,		
appears this has been	updisted to include nuclear waste storage which was not in the last amail notice. Have you	
one any research on the	e process meeded for a storage facility and other entitles that would review (such as IDNR)?	公司 在11月1日日
so would you send that	a new factors to look at	
ao wooto you serio tria:	LOVER FOR US 10 KDDX BLC	· 注於此 · 注於時
		AT THE STATES
		· 《明确记录在"
hankat		
		「「「「「「「」」」」
		Berter and Board
hris R. Madsen, AICP, CP	м	State of the state
enior Plansar		不到 的现象分词
Sity of Sigur, City		2.34 ···· 4. ···· 1.12
home: 712.279.6341		COMPANY AND AND ADDRESS OF
mail: cmadsen-galoux-cit	y.org	的复数形式 网络小学
05 5th Street, Bex 447		The second second
ioux City IA 51162		- 在我们的第三人称单数
Warden and		金融的 國家 计算机
1988 - C. C.		
2. 何不可是要任心		
a straight	승규는 그는 것 것 같은 것 같아요. 이렇게 있는 것 같은 것 같아요. 한 것 같아요. 이 것 같아요.	心服法: 法, 月後
1 14 14 14 14 14 1		

 Daniel Privatky

 Service Ser



From:	Rick Plathe «rolathe@ibew231.com>	
Sent:	Tuesday, April 1, 2025 1027 AM	
Sent: To:	Daniel Priestiay	
Subject:	Support Letter Northwest Jowa Building Tindes	
Attachments:	NMA Eulding Trades Zoning Letter.dog	
Arran and the state of the stat	which indialing through contrastic	1.6. 1.1.2.1.12
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up	145 1 × 2012 24
Flag Status:	Flagged	· 中国市中国的
		Same al a S
contains any attachmente	nated from OUTSIDE of the originization, Reaso welfy the sender and use caution if the message (Inte, a creacewants for information as the parcent may NOT be write they chain, if you are asked for your , please call WCICC and DO NOTEN TER any date.	
Dan		
Please see the attach	ed letter of support form all Unions affiliated with Northwest lowe Building Trades for	
the rezoning of indust	rial land in Woodbury County to add Nuclear language. Please reach out of me if you	ALC: CARACTER
have any questions or concerns.		
Thanks		States and states
Rick Plathe		tradition of the
		马马胡马马岛
		10.750.580
Business Manager		State State
BEW Local 231		在194日 生产的
5001 Harbor Drive		In the second states
		La set a fa
Sioux City, la 51111		



Craig Levine President 712-202-3100 <u>clevine@ibew231.com</u> Spenser Yockey Vice President 712-294-4365 spencer@local234.org

Jose Montes Recording Secretary 712-420-7680 Imontes@iwlocal21.com

(712) 202-3100 March 14, 2025

Craig Levine - President PO Box 1051 Sioux City, IA, 51101

Dan Priestley Zoning Coordinator Office of Community & Economic Development 6220 Douglas St. Floor 6 Sioux City, IA 51101

Dear Sioux City Zoning Commission,

I hope this letter finds you well. I am writing to show our support for an important initiative in our community—the rezoning of industrial space to allow for nuclear energy production. As energy demands continue to rise and the need for a cleaner, more statisticable source of power becomes increasingly urgant, it is essential that we explore all viable options for security our energy finance. Nuclear energy, while is proven track record of providing reliable, low-carbon electricity, is a critical component of this transmission.

Currently, many industrial areas are underuillized, and repurposing these spaces for nuclear energy production, including small modular reactors, presents an opportunity to drive oconomic growth, create jobs, and contribute to a greener energy portfolio in Woodbury Coursy. Put recoming these areas, we can ensure that nuclear energy can be integrated into our community in a aid, exvironmentally responsible manner.

The benefits of nuclear energy extend far beyond just providing a reliable energy source. It can help us reduce our carbon footprint and mingate the impacts of climate change, all while strengthening local economics itrough job creation, technological innovation, and new infrastructure devolopment. Furthermore, by supporting this initiative, we would be aligning ourselves with forward-thinking policies that prioritize austainable energy solutions for future generations.

We believe that our organization's influence and commitment to [community/environmental issues/sustainable growth/innovation] would be a powerful voice in advocating for this rezoning

91

initiative. With your support, we can help ensure that the benefits of nuclear energy are re while addressing our community's needs for economic development, energy security, and environmental responsibility. are realized

I kindly ask for you to record our endorsement of this effort and would be happy to discuss the details further at your convenience. Together, we can take meaningful steps toward a cleaner, more sustainable energy future for our community.

Thank you for your time and consideration. I look forward to the possibility of working together to make this initiative a reality.

Sincerely, Craig Levine - President, Northwest Iowa Building Trades

Rick Plathe - Business Manager, IBEW Local 231

Jose Montes - Business Representative, Iron Workers Local 21

John Hanson - Business Manager, Heat and Frost Insulators Local 39

Dean Bradham - Organizer, Plumber and Steamlitters UA Local 33

Spencer Yockey - Business Representative, Operating Engineers Local 234

Terry Victor - Business Representative, SMART local 3

Bob Briley - Business Manager, Bricklayers Local 3

Tom Dye -- Vice President, Boilermakers Local 83

<section-header><section-header><section-header><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text>



The Department of Energy's Office of Nuclear Energy mission is to promote the domestic use of nuclear energy and supports advanced reactor developers. The DOE has a Gateway for Accelerated Innovation in Nuclear (GAIN). Ettos/gats.ini.gcs/industois.upport.gatal.acours.support/mail.acours.out.out.acours.the lease applicants understands the current U.S. regulatory framework for commercial reactors. The active as loss facilitates nuclear industry efforts to identify and address regulatory uncertainties and associated deployment risks Bechnicel, financial, abechade. Essentially, the DDE who will advocate for and help potential licensees pursue projects, including owe patential funding thereof.

The NRC also regulates wasts storage. Low-Level wasts includes items that have become contaminated with redisactive materials or have become reclancitive through exposure to neutron radiation and is typically stored on-eite until it can to displaced of or units neutrators analyse neutral to the Underweitwates processing center... High-level wastes are highly radioestive meterials produced as a byproduct of the reactions that occur inside nuclear reactors; wakes an highly independent more risk productions are because with the acceleration by program for the more in high over the start of the production of the limits of starts, although it has been allowed in the part. The NIC regulates high load watch is specificate of the limits of starts, although it has been allowed in the part. The NIC regulates high load watch is specificate of the limits of starts, although it has been allowed in the part. The NIC regulates high load watch is storage fractions (CBSF). Although the DDE, Office of Specificate and the limits of the outbound program officiate the Office of Disposal R&D, the Office of Specific at the Starts and Woos the program officiate the Office of Disposal R&D, the Office of Specificate at the Office of Coment-Based Stills, are detecting in entities grant dwate than agreement systems for strategy interactions, and the Office of Coment-Based stills, are detecting in entities and water the start of the or the DDE Water leafable Plant (WFP) is the netion's and although on plant and and active water program they store and the start of CHS or Constant, New how the start of Constant water the store than a store of the store theory of the store than and high-lowed the store store water program they constant water program to the store that and high-lowed the store theory and the store that and high-lowed the store store theory and the store theory of the store store water program and the store store of the store theory of theory the

With regards to nuclear metarials (not including nuclear waste, as discussed above), the NRC essentially turns its juriadicion over to the struct, via a program called the Agreement State Program https://www.nrc.pov/egreement states.htm./NRC PC provides assistance to State separation juristeries in establishing programs to essame NRC regulatory authority. The NRC redinguishes to the States protions of its regulatory authority to licence and regulate byproduct metarials (addiscorposite) is current matchalls (urrain) and thoring); and certain quantities of specials nor materials. lowe, for example, is an agreement state with respect to nuclear materials.

Bryan Bergson Acting Region III Government Lleison Officer U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Bozan-bergsonsburg.pay 830-829-9716 (w) 240-704-5879 (c)

From: Daniel Priestiey «dpriestley@woodburycountylowa.govo Sent: Monday, April 28, 2025 10:53 AM To: Bryan Region ABrung Bergion Bhrung Bergion Bhrung Bergion Brung Bergion Brung Bergion Brung Bergion Bhrung Bergion

This message is a follow up from our Naroh 25 conversation regarding nuclear energy and county level permitting as Woodbury County, Iowa is currently examining nuclear energy as a potential land use.

As noted in our conversation, we are interested in the various aspects of the NRC permitting process, including nuclear types, definitions, and potential committing enerandes. At this time, I wanted to check and see if you have additional information threa can include in our upcoming meetings not limited to nuclear of officients and types of a nuclear. Additionally, viewould like more details about the relationable between federal, state, and local government 2

Daniel Priestley

From:	Bryan Bergeon < Bryan.Bargeon@nrc.gov>	
Sent:	Monday, May 5, 2025 10:39 AM	
To:	Daniel Priestley	
Subject	RE Nuclear Energy Woodbury County Zoning	

CAUTION: This enail originated from OUTSIDE of the organization. Please varies the sender and use caution if the messager complete ally attachments, take, or requests for information as this person may NOT be who they claim. If you are asked for you semmane and persons, please call WCICC and DO NOT ENTER any data. H Daniel,

H Daniel. The NRG is a Regulator of collision use of nuclear metrylis and dees not advocate for thouse thereof. There is a definitive line between what the NRG dees and what other agencies do. Ike Department of Energy. The NRG's Encoded and Econol Equilibrium is nettry with indegenderice. This neess the NRG is not a consulting organization --individual applicants must offer proposed design-specific methods or design changes to meet the NRG's regulations is NRG's Encoded and Econol Equilibrium Victorian advocation can next the specification are publicles in rought and public of the NRG-approximation that which can applicants merry advice the rest advices of the NRG's regression of the NRG's regression and other the NRG's regression and the NRG's regression and the NRG's regression of the NRG's regression

The NRC's Mission is to protect public health and aslety and advances the nation's common defense and security by enabling the aslet and secure use and deployment of civilian runtider energy technologies and redisactive meteriais through efficient and reliable itensain, oversight, and regulation for the benefit of society and the environment. The NRC's regulatory mission covers three main areas: Reactors - Commercial reactors for generating electric power and research and test reactors used for research.

teating, and training <u>Matorials</u>—Uses of nuclear materizes in madical, industrial, and academic settings and fucilities that produce nuclear

Veste – Transportation, storage, and disposal of nuclear materials and waste, and decommissioning of nuclear facilities from service

The NRC accomplishes the mission through Laws and Regulation. Now nuclear reactor licensing is a complex, multi-year process governed by both factoral taws passed by the U.S. Congress and regulations developed by the NRC. The <u>Enderalized sectoring data bills</u> generally have high-devel directives for the civilian use of nuclear materials. The first deals of reacter illowining and other divident uses are found in <u>Title 10 of the Control Enderalized Regulations</u> (10 OFR). The NRC develops and issues these regulations for all areas under its jurisdiction. AlULS, civilian uses of materials must comply why the data. Issue and the regulations in 10 OFR. (See more on this https://www.ncc.gov/reactors/new-reactors/sdvanced/new-ap/general-info/law-reg.html)

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) "New Applicant" web page; (https://www.nrc.gov/reactors/new The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) "New Appleant" web page (https://www.nrc.gov/neactor/inv-reactor/downachew-appleant-al-inth-mini jake saming point for propositive applicants. Prospective applicants should come to the NRC with a specific reactor technology, ilensite class, regulatory upprach, bushass model (to help correctly guide the licensing process), and a project timeline. With this information, the prospective applicant adoutd like autor of intent (i.e. design). https://www.rnc.gov/neacor/inve-reactor/inve-reactor/inve-reactor/inve-guidance/pro-app-process.html. From there, the NRC assign a land project timesing and applicant is project manager will guide the specific machine will be the mini point of contact between the NRC and applicant. In project manager will guide the specificant all common will be the main point of contact between the NRC and applicant. Progrates between will guide the specificant all common will be the main RRC and (i) general the regulator of the specific machine will be there to answer quantions, organize machings, will guide the specific machine and the RC and RC and regions. The INC

99. an

bodies as it pertains to nuclear permitting. It was my understanding that some resources would be made available to Thank you for your assistance with this motter Respectfully and sincerely,

Danial J. Priestlay, MPA. Moodbury County Zoning Coordinator 620: Douglas Street #609 Sloux City, IA 51101 e: 712-279-6609

Fac 712buryCo antyiowa gou 98

June 19, 2025

Jerry & Vernell Steffen Co-Trustees of Steffen Revocable Trust 1528 Jewell Ave. Moville, IA 51039

Daniel Priestley, MPA Woodbury County Zoning Coordinator 620 Douglas Street #609 Sioux City, IA 51101

> RE: 2025 Conditional Use Permit Request for Parcel #884606100002. For Rent Properties, LLC, Applicant: Kevin Heiss.

Dear Mr. Priestley:

We have reviewed the Conditional Use Permit Application. Jerry & Vernell Steffen Trust has no issues with this request.

Thanks for the Notice.

neup



BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS (LAST UPDATED) - 3/20/25

Section 3.03.4 of the Woodbury County Zoning Ordinance contains the "Land Use Summary Table of Allowed Uses in Each Zoning District," which categorizes permitted land uses across zoning districts. Under the current table:

- Utilities Category: "Electrical energy generation (not incl. wind)" is listed as a conditional use ("C") in the General Industrial (GI) Zoning District but prohibited ("--") in all other districts.
- Warehousing and Freight Handling Category: "Chemical and gas bulk storage" is a conditional use ("C") in the GI Zoning District, permitted in the Limited Industrial (LI) Zoning District, and prohibited elsewhere.

Staff initially interpreted these categories under Section 3.03.4 of the Woodbury County Zoning Ordinance as encompassing "**nuclear energy facilities**" and "**nuclear waste storage**" due to operational and regulatory similarities:

- 1. Nuclear Energy Facilities vs. Electrical Energy Generation:
 - Both involve large-scale industrial processes for energy production.
 - They require significant infrastructure (e.g., reactors, turbines, transmission systems), stringent safety protocols, and compliance with environmental regulations.
 - Nuclear facilities produce electricity via controlled reactions, aligning with the broader intent of energy generation in industrial zones.
- 2. Nuclear Waste Storage vs. Chemical and Gas Bulk Storage:
 - Both manage hazardous materials requiring specialized containment and safety measures.
 - They are subject to rigorous regulatory oversight and are ideally located in industrial zones to minimize risks to residential areas.

However, this administrative interpretation lacks explicit clarity in the ordinance, prompting further review.

County Attorney's Office Review (5-21-25 – Update)

The Woodbury County Attorney's Office, via Assistant County Attorney Joshua Widman, advised against relying solely on administrative interpretation due to potential legal vulnerabilities:

- Zoning ordinances define permissible land uses. Courts may not uphold an administrator's interpretation that "electrical energy generation" includes nuclear facilities or that "chemical and gas bulk storage" covers nuclear waste if these uses are not explicitly listed. This ambiguity could lead to litigation, delaying or halting projects.
- The Land Use Summary Table is described as "comprehensive." Since nuclear uses existed when the ordinance was drafted, their absence might be interpreted as intentional exclusion rather than an oversight, weakening the case for inclusion via interpretation.
- Section 2.02.1 B(1)(e) mandates a 500-foot notification radius for conditional use permits (CUPs), with exceptions (e.g., airports, landfills) requiring 1,000 feet. Nuclear projects, given their scale and public sensitivity, may warrant a larger radius, necessitating an amendment.

Zoning Staff take-away: Amending the ordinance to explicitly list "Nuclear Energy Facilities" and "Nuclear Waste Storage" as conditional uses in the GI Zoning District provides clarity and ensures alignment with the ordinance's intent. Below are copies of comments received from Joshua Widman, Assistant County Attorney.

Daniel Priestley

From: Sent: To: Subject: Joshua Widman Friday, February 28, 2025 2:24 PM Daniel Priestley RE: Nuclear Energy Policy Question

Dan,

Following up on our phone conversation. Given the nature of a nuclear project and the potential for challenge or litigation, I think the best course of action would be to amend the zoning ordinance to explicitly address the two proposed uses rather than solely relying on the zoning administrator's interpretation to make it fit within the existing framework. A court would not be bound by the zoning administrator's interpretation of the ordinance and could have a different view. Since these projects take several years to come to fruition, I think it would be prudent to change the ordinance on the front end rather than leaving open the potential for a court to invalidate a zoning action (CUP application) and potentially set a project back several years.

Of the two uses you mention under the existing framework, the argument that a nuclear project would fit under "electrical energy generation" is the stronger of the two arguments. However, the Section 3.03 references the Land Use Summary Table as two different times as being "comprehensive." So in general, if a use is not listed there, it's not a permitted use. At the same time, the ordinance acknowledges that the list can be incomplete due to "omissions" or "new uses" and that is where the role of the zoning director can potentially supplement with an interpretation. Nuclear power and nuclear waste storage were wellestablished uses known at that time the zoning ordinance was created and they are very intensive uses. A court would likely find it was intentional on the part of the Board to not allow for it in the table rather than and "omission" due to an oversight. Also, there may be recent changes in the technology, but it would be difficult to find that the category of nuclear power or storage is a "new use" on the whole.

The notice requirements for a CUP application are clearly set out in the ordinance (Section 2.02 (1)B(1)(e). There is not room for "interpretation" on that. The rule is 500 ft except for a couple of enumerated exceptions where the rule is 1000 ft. If a different notice radius is desired for a nuclear facility, then the ordinance should be amended to provide for that. As we discussed, there are likely very good reasons to have a greater than 500 ft formal notification requirement for a nuclear project.

In regards to a Board resolution, I do not think it would be accurate to assert that we have an established framework in place. Yes, there are arguments to be made under the existing ordinance for how to approach it, but there is gray area as well. I don't think this is a type of project where anyone would want to operate in gray area or interpretations. That wouldn't prevent the BOS, if the BOS desires, from sending a letter of support for anything that may be happening at the state level or indicating an interest in hosting such a project. I just wouldn't say that we have strong zoning framework in place at this time to deal with a project.

Joshua D. Widman Assistant Woodbury County Attorney 3701 28th St. Sioux City, IA 51105

Phone: 712-279-6516

Daniel Priestley

From: Sent: To: Subject: Joshua Widman Wednesday, May 21, 2025 11:52 AM Daniel Priestley RE: Nuclear Energy Woodbury County Zoning

Dan,

I'm following up on our phone conversation yesterday regarding this issue. Here are the main points we discussed:

- I stand by the analysis in my 2/28/25 email. From a legal standpoint, it is preferable to have a clear legislative determination by the BOS that a use is specifically permitted as opposed to basing permit approval on interpretation, gray area, or flexibility in the current language. Put another way, without adding the two uses to the land use summary table, there is a legitimate path to challenging a potential conditional use permit in court based on the argument that the current ordinance does not allow it. On the other hand, overturning a clear legislative determination is much more difficult than arguing an improper interpretation or application of an ordinance.
- The uncertainty in our ordinance, if not addressed, would likely disadvantage Woodbury County in the site selection process for a company with a potential project as opposed to other locations with zoning regulations that specifically permit the use.
- The current notification requirements are clearly inadequate for a nuclear project. While there are
 some specific instances in the ordinance that require greater than the default notice, nuclear is not one
 of them. I think the lack of specific nuclear notification requirements in the existing ordinance is strong
 evidence that nuclear projects were not contemplated in the existing ordinance.
- Section 3.03 (3) of the ordinance says that the land use summary table may be updated by the Board of Supervisors to reflect legislative acceptance or rejection of the interpretations of the land use table. This is an acknowledgement of the first bullet point above. It also highlights the uncertainty of relying on interpretations of the table, namely, the BOS is free to legislatively accept or reject interpretations. If/when the Board is aware of an interpretation, my recommendation, especially for a use as intensive as nuclear, is to legislatively act to cut potential legal challenges based on arguments related to the interpretation of the ordinance. There is no reason to take a gamble on the outcome of the litigation. In addition, the potential cost of the litigation would not be responsible stewardship of taxpayer dollars when we could reasonably anticipate that the interpretation was subject challenge and knew of action steps to take to mitigate potential challenges but failed to do so.

Joshua D. Widman Assistant Woodbury County Attorney 3701 28th St. Sioux City, IA 51105

Phone: 712-279-6516
Compatibility with the General Industrial (GI) Zoning District

The GI Zoning District is designed for heavy industrial activities, including manufacturing, processing, and hazardous material storage. Adding nuclear-related uses as conditional uses aligns with its purpose:

- Infrastructure Suitability: The GI district offers large parcels, transportation access, and utility availability necessary for energy production and waste management.
- Buffer Zones: Its separation from residential areas mitigates risks associated with nuclear operations.
- **Regulatory Oversight:** Nuclear facilities and waste storage are governed by federal (e.g., Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 10 CFR Part 50) and state regulations

Proposed Amendments to the Zoning Ordinance

To address the identified issues, the following amendments could be considered:

1. Amend Notification Requirements (Section 2.02.1 B(1)(e), Page 8)

• Current Text:

"For a Board of Adjustment hearing on a conditional use or special exception, notice shall be mailed to all owners of real property located within 500 feet of the subject property, except that in the case of a conditional use to allow an airport or a sanitary landfill, or construction of a telecommunication tower as provided in subsection 5.06-3, notices shall be mailed to all owners of real property located within one mile of the subject property."

Proposed Repeal and Replacement:

"For a Board of Adjustment hearing on a conditional use or special exception, notice shall be mailed to all owners of real property located within 500 feet of the subject property, except that in the case of a conditional use to allow an airport, a sanitary landfill, a nuclear energy facility, a nuclear waste storage facility, or construction of a telecommunication tower as provided in subsection 5.05, notices shall be mailed to all owners of real property located within one mile of the subject property for an airport, sanitary landfill, or telecommunication tower, and within ten miles of the subject property for a nuclear energy facility."

• **Rationale:** A ten-mile notification radius for nuclear uses reflects their unique scale, potential impact, and public interest, ensuring broader stakeholder engagement and transparency beyond the standard 500 feet or the 1,000 feet used for other significant uses. Also, as a point of housekeeping, it is recommended to change the referenced section regarding telecommunication towers from 5.06-3 to 5.05 to reflect the correct reference.

2. Update the Land Use Summary Table (Section 3.03.4, Page 39)

- Addition under "Utilities" Category:
 - "Nuclear energy facilities" Place a "C" in the GI Zoning District column.
 - "Nuclear waste storage" Place a "C" in the GI Zoning District column.
- Updated Table Snapshot:

Utility Type	GI Status	Notes
Existing: Electrical energy generation (not incl. wind)	С	Conditional use, energy production
Existing: Solar Energy Systems, Utility Scale	С	Conditional use, renewable energy
Existing: Chemical and gas bulk storage	С	Conditional use, hazardous materials
Proposed: Nuclear Energy Facilities	С	Amendment addition, nuclear energy generation
Proposed: Nuclear Waste Storage	С	Amendment addition, nuclear waste management

• **Rationale:** Explicitly listing these uses clarifies their status as conditional in the GI district (and removing the reliance on an administrative interpretation), aligning with similar energy and hazardous material activities while subjecting them to the CUP process.

3. Add Definitions (Article 6, Section 6.02, Pages 104-105)

• New Definition 112 (Page 104):

"Nuclear energy facility means any facility designed or used for the generation of electricity or power through nuclear fission

or fusion, including nuclear reactors and associated structures, systems, or components necessary for the production of atomic energy, as well as the handling, processing, or temporary storage of nuclear materials or byproduct materials, all in compliance with federal and state regulatory requirements as administered by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) or its duly authorized representatives."

• New Definition 113 (Page 105):

"Nuclear waste storage means any facility, structure, or area designated and engineered for the safe containment, isolation, or disposal of byproduct material, special nuclear material, or other radioactive materials generated from nuclear energy facilities, including temporary or permanent storage solutions, provided such storage complies with federal regulations under 10 CFR Part 50 and related parts, and is subject to oversight by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) to protect public health, safety, and the common defense and security."

- **Subsequent Adjustments:** Renumber existing definitions 112–193 as 114–195 and adjust page locations (pages 104–110, adding page 110 as needed).
- **Rationale:** Precise definitions ensure legal and operational clarity, tying these uses to federal oversight and distinguishing them from other energy or storage activities.

Alignment with Comprehensive Plan

The amendments comport with the Woodbury County Comprehensive Plan (Chapter 4, Page 81):

- Goal IU3: "Support technological advances."
- **Objective:** "Work with energy providers to diversify sources."
- Timeframe: 0-5, 5-10, 10-20 years. (Page 155-156)
- Lead Partners: Board of Supervisors, utility companies. (Page 155-156)
- Cost: Moderate (\$\$).(Page 155-156) Nuclear energy represents a technological advancement in energy diversification, and the GI district's industrial designation aligns with the plan's intent to separate heavy industrial uses from residential and agricultural areas.
 Source:
- https://www.woodburycountyiowa.gov/files/community_economic_development/woodbury_county_comprehensive_plan_2 040_89417.pdf

Moving Forward: Public and Regulatory Considerations

As nuclear technology evolves (e.g., modular reactors, nuclear waste storage, etc.), Woodbury County has an opportunity to clarify its zoning policy toward "electrical energy generation" and "chemical and gas bulk storage." Key questions for stakeholders include:

- 1. Do citizens view nuclear energy including its waste storage as a viable future option?
- 2. Are specific areas within the GI district suitable for nuclear facilities?

Public input, alongside industry feedback, would guide any future conditional use permit projects. Any nuclear project would require:

- County Process: A conditional use permit reviewed by the Zoning Commission and Board of Adjustment.
- **External Oversight:** Compliance with Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) and state regulations, ensuring safety and environmental standards are met.

By amending the ordinance, the county could reduce legal uncertainty by removing the interpretation and align with its industrial zoning framework.

Conclusion

Amending the Woodbury County Zoning Ordinance to explicitly include "Nuclear Energy Facilities" and "Nuclear Waste Storage" as conditional uses in the GI Zoning District, with updated definitions and a ten-mile notification radius, provides clarity, reduces legal concerns, and supports long-term planning. This step would remove the administrative interpretation and bring the unique case before the Zoning Commission and Board of Adjustment under a defined framework to evaluate the criteria of a conditional use permit application for a nuclear energy facility and/or a nuclear waste storage site.

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION COMMENTS:

Daniel Priestley

From:	Bryan Bergeon <bryan.bergeon@nrc.gov></bryan.bergeon@nrc.gov>
Sent:	Monday, May 5, 2025 10:39 AM
To:	Daniel Priestley
Subject:	RE: Nuclear Energy Woodbury County Zoning

CAUTION: This email originated from OUTSIDE of the organization. Please verify the sender and use caution if the message contains any attachments, links, or requests for information as this person may NOT be who they claim. If you are asked for your username and password, please call WCICC and DO NOT ENTER any data.

Hi Daniel,

The NRC is a Regulator of civilian use of nuclear materials and does not advocate for the use thereof. There is a definitive line between what the NRC does and what other agencies do, like Department of Energy. The NRC's <u>Principals of Good Regulation</u> starts with independence. This means the NRC is not a consulting organization - - individual applicants must offer proposed design-specific methods or design changes to meet the NRC's regulations. The NRC-approved methods by which an applicant can meet the regulations are published in regulatory guides, interim staff guidance, and (in limited cases) NUREGs. Applicants may ask whether a design component or design-specific method meets the regulations in various ways, such as utilizing public meetings and submitting white papers for review. The NRC's response will be publicly available unless the underlying information is protected due to proprietary or other sensitive aspects governed by the regulations in 10 CFR 2.390. (See more on this: https://www.nrc.gov/reactors/new-reactors/advanced/new-app/general-info/independent-regulator.html)

The NRC's **Mission** is to protect public health and safety and advances the nation's common defense and security by enabling the safe and secure use and deployment of civilian nuclear energy technologies and radioactive materials through efficient and reliable licensing, oversight, and regulation for the benefit of society and the environment. The **NRC's regulatory mission covers three main areas:**

Reactors - Commercial reactors for generating electric power and research and test reactors used for research, testing, and training

Materials – Uses of nuclear materials in medical, industrial, and academic settings and facilities that produce nuclear fuel

Waste – Transportation, storage, and disposal of nuclear materials and waste, and decommissioning of nuclear facilities from service

The NRC accomplishes the mission through **Laws and Regulation.** New nuclear reactor licensing is a complex, multiyear process governed by both federal laws passed by the U.S. Congress and regulations developed by the NRC. The <u>Federal laws governing the NRC</u> generally have high-level directives for the civilian use of nuclear materials. The finer details of reactor licensing and other civilian uses are found in <u>Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations</u> (10 CFR). The NRC develops and issues these regulations for all areas under its jurisdiction. All U.S. civilian uses of materials must comply with federal laws and the regulations in 10 CFR. (See more on this: https://www.nrc.gov/reactors/newreactors/advanced/new-app/general-info/law-reg.html)

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) "New Applicant" web page: (https://www.nrc.gov/reactors/new-

reactors/advanced/new-app/general-info.html) is the starting point for prospective applicants. Prospective applicants should come to the NRC with a specific reactor technology, license class, regulatory approach, business model (to help correctly guide the licensing process), and a project timeline. With this information, the prospective applicant should file a letter of intent (i.e. a design). https://www.nrc.gov/reactors/new-reactors/advanced/new-app/general-guidance/pre-app-process.html. From there, the NRC assigns a lead project manager after a potential applicant begins engaging with the agency; this person will be the main point of contact between the NRC and applicant. The project manager will guide the applicant through the regulatory process and will be there to answer questions, organize meetings, and facilitate all communications with other NRC staff. (See more on this: https://www.nrc.gov/reactors/new-reactors/advanced/new-app/general-info/comms.html)

The Department of Energy's Office of Nuclear Energy mission is to promote the domestic use of nuclear energy and supports advanced reactor developers. The DOE has a Gateway for Accelerated Innovation in Nuclear (GAIN). https://gain.inl.gov/industry-support/regulatory-support/regulatory-route-to-deployment/ This helps applicants understand the current U.S. regulatory framework for commercial reactors. The series also facilitates nuclear industry efforts to identify and address regulatory uncertainties and associated deployment risks (technical, financial, schedule). Essentially, the DOE is who will advocate for and help potential licensees pursue projects, including even potential funding thereof.

The NRC also regulates waste storage. Low-Level waste includes items that have become contaminated with radioactive material or have become radioactive through exposure to neutron radiation and is typically stored on-site until it can be disposed of or until amounts are large enough to ship to low-level waste processing centers. High-level wastes are highly radioactive materials produced as a byproduct of the reactions that occur inside nuclear reactors; spent reactor fuel and waste materials remaining after spent fuel is processed. Commercial reprocessing is currently not practiced in the United States, although it has been allowed in the past. The NRC regulates high level wastes in spent fuel pools and dry cask storage, at independent spent fuel storage installations (ISFSI) and consolidated interim storage facilities (CISF). Note, these CISF's at different than permanent storage facilities such as Yucca Mountain, which is under DOE jurisdiction. The DOE, Office of Spent Fuel and High-Level Waste Disposition and its three subprogram offices: the Office of Disposal R&D, the Office of Storage & Transportation, and the Office of Consent-Based Siting, are developing an Integrated Waste Management system for storage, transportation, and disposal of spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste. Learn more. The DOE Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) is the nation's only deep geologic long-lived radioactive waste repository. Located 26 miles southeast of Carlsbad, New Mexico, WIPP permanently isolates defense-generated transuranic (TRU) waste 2,150 feet underground in an ancient salt formation. (See more on this: https://wipp.energy.gov/wipp-site.asp and https://www.energy.gov/ne/office-spent-fuel-and-highlevel-waste-disposition).

With regards to nuclear materials (not including nuclear waste, as discussed above), the NRC essentially turns its jurisdiction over to the states, via a program called the **Agreement State Program https://www.nrc.gov/agreement-states.html**. NRC provides assistance to States expressing interest in establishing programs to assume NRC regulatory authority. The NRC relinquishes to the States portions of its regulatory authority to license and regulate byproduct materials (radioisotopes); source materials (uranium and thorium); and certain quantities of special nuclear materials. Iowa, for example, is an agreement state with respect to nuclear materials.

Bryan Bergeon Acting Region III Government Liaison Officer U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Bryan.bergeon@nrc.gov 630-829-9719 (w) 240-704-5879 (c)

From: Daniel Priestley <dpriestley@woodburycountyiowa.gov> Sent: Monday, April 28, 2025 10:53 AM To: Bryan Bergeon <Bryan.Bergeon@nrc.gov> Subject: [External_Sender] Nuclear Energy Woodbury County Zoning

Mr. Bergeon,

This message is a follow up from our March 25 conversation regarding nuclear energy and county level permitting as Woodbury County, Iowa is currently examining nuclear energy as a potential land use.

As noted in our conversation, we are interested in the various aspects of the NRC permitting process, including nuclear types, definitions, and potential permitting scenarios. At this time, I wanted to check and see if you have additional information that we can include in our upcoming meetings not limited to nuclear definitions and types of nuclear. Additionally, we would like more details about the relationship between federal, state, and local government

bodies as it pertains to nuclear permitting. It was my understanding that some resources would be made available to us.

Thank you for your assistance with this matter.

Respectfully and sincerely,

Daniel J. Priestley, MPA Woodbury County Zoning Coordinator 620 Douglas Street #609 Sioux City, IA 51101

Phone: 712-279-6609 Fax: 712-279-6530 Website: Wood buryCountylowa.gov

DEFINITIONS AND TERMINOLOGY OF INTEREST

Nuclear energy facility means any facility designed or used for the generation of electricity or power through nuclear fission or fusion, including nuclear reactors and associated structures, systems, or components necessary for the production of atomic energy, as well as the handling, processing, or temporary storage of nuclear materials or byproduct materials, all in compliance with federal and state regulatory requirements as administered by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) or its duly authorized representatives.

Explanation of Definition:

This definition incorporates concepts from multiple NRC regulations, particularly those in 10 CFR Part 50, which governs the domestic licensing of production and utilization facilities. The NRC uses terms like "production facility" and "utilization facility" to describe facilities involved in nuclear energy production, and these terms are defined in 10 CFR § 50.2. Here's how the definition aligns with specific regulatory sources:

1. "Facility designed or used for the generation of electricity or power through nuclear fission or fusion":

- This aligns with the definition of a "utilization facility" in 10 CFR § 50.2, which states: "Utilization facility means any nuclear reactor other than one designed or used primarily for the formation of plutonium or U-233; or An accelerator-driven subcritical operating assembly used for the irradiation of materials containing special nuclear material and described in the application assigned docket number 50-608.
 - Nuclear reactors for electricity generation (typically fission-based) are the primary focus of Part 50, as it regulates commercial nuclear power plants. Fusion is not currently regulated under Part 50, as it is not yet commercially viable, but the inclusion here may reflect a broad interpretation.
- Citation: 10 CFR § 50.2, available at: 0 https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-10/chapter-I/part-50/section-50.2
- 2. "Including nuclear reactors and associated structures, systems, or components necessary for the production of atomic energy":
 - The term "structures, systems, or components" (SSCs) is a key concept in NRC regulations, 0 particularly in safety-related contexts. While not explicitly defined as part of a "nuclear energy facility" in one place, 10 CFR § 50.2 defines "safety-related structures, systems, and components" as those
 - relied upon to ensure reactor safety, which implies their inclusion in the facility's scope. The phrase "production of atomic energy" echoes the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (AEA), which underpins NRC authority (see 42 U.S.C. § 2014), defining "atomic energy" as energy released from 0 fission or fusion.
 - Citation: 10 CFR § 50.2 (see URL above); Atomic Energy Act, Section 11, available at: 0 https://www.nrc.gov/about-nrc/governing-laws.html (via NUREG-0980).

3. "Handling, processing, or temporary storage of nuclear materials or byproduct materials":

- This broadens the scope beyond power generation to include activities regulated under 10 CFR Part 50 and related parts (e.g., Part 30 for byproduct material). 10 CFR § 50.2 defines "byproduct material" and "special nuclear material," and licensing under Part 50 includes provisions for handling and temporary storage (e.g., spent fuel pools at reactor sites). **Citation:** 10 CFR § 50.2 (see URL above).
- 0

4. "In compliance with federal and state regulatory requirements as administered by the NRC":

- This reflects the NRC's authority under the AEA and Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, delegated 0 through 10 CFR Part 50, which sets licensing and operational requirements for nuclear facilities. Agreement States (under AEA Section 274) may regulate certain materials, but Part 50 facilities are under NRC jurisdiction unless specified otherwise.
- **Citation:** 10 CFR Part 50, available at: 0 https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-10/chapter-I/part-50

Conclusion:

The definition is a composite derived from 10 CFR § 50.2 definitions (e.g., "utilization facility," "byproduct material") and the broader regulatory purpose of Part 50, which licenses nuclear power reactors and associated activities. The primary source is 10 CFR § 50.2, supplemented by the AEA's foundational terminology.

Nuclear waste storage means any facility, structure, or area designated and engineered for the safe containment, isolation, or disposal of byproduct material, special nuclear material, or other radioactive materials generated from nuclear energy facilities, including temporary or permanent storage solutions, provided such storage complies with federal regulations under 10 CFR Part 50 and related parts, and is subject to oversight by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) to protect public health, safety, and the common defense and security.

Explanation of Definition

- 1. Facility, structure, or area designated and engineered for the safe containment, isolation, or disposal" aligns with language in NRC regulations, such as 10 CFR Part 60 (Disposal of High-Level Radioactive Wastes in Geologic Repositories) and 10 CFR Part 72 (Licensing Requirements for the Independent Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel, High-Level Radioactive Waste, and Reactor-Related Greater Than Class C Waste).
- "Byproduct material, special nuclear material, or other radioactive materials" reflects definitions in 10 CFR Part 20 2. (Standards for Protection Against Radiation) and the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended. "Generated from nuclear energy facilities" ties to the scope of 10 CFR Part 50, which covers domestic licensing of
- 3. production and utilization facilities (e.g., nuclear power reactors).

- "Temporary or permanent storage solutions" and "complies with federal regulations under 10 CFR Part 50 and related parts" suggest a broad interpretation encompassing both interim storage (e.g., 10 CFR Part 72) and permanent disposal (e.g., 10 CFR Part 60 or 61).
 "Subject to oversight by the NRC to protect public health, safety, and the common defense and security" mirrors the
- 5. "Subject to oversight by the NRC to protect public health, safety, and the common defense and security" mirrors the NRC's mission and regulatory authority as stated in its enabling legislation and regulations.

Related Sources:

- 10 CFR Part 50: This part addresses the licensing of nuclear power plants but does not explicitly define "nuclear waste storage." It indirectly relates through requirements for managing radioactive materials (e.g., 10 CFR 50.2 defines terms like "byproduct material" and "special nuclear material"). Available at: https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-10/chapter-I/part-50.
- **10 CFR Part 72**: This part provides a more direct connection, defining terms and requirements for independent spent fuel storage installations (ISFSIs), which are engineered for the "storage of spent nuclear fuel, high-level radioactive waste, and reactor-related greater than Class C waste." See: <u>https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-10/chapter-I/part-72</u>.
- NRC Backgrounder on Radioactive Waste: This provides a general overview of radioactive waste management, including storage: https://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/fact-sheets/radwaste.html.

Conclusion:

The definition is not explicitly stated in any single NRC regulation or document but appears to be a composite derived from multiple sources, primarily within the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 10, administered by the NRC. The most relevant specific URL for the regulatory framework underpinning this definition would be the NRC's compilation of regulations, such as: https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-10/chapter-I (Title 10, Chapter I – Nuclear Regulatory Commission).

NRC Emergency Planning Zone

- 1. The following URL includes safety zones including a10-mile Plume Exposure Pathway EPZ and 50-mile Ingestion Exposure Pathway EPZ, which could serve as the basis for public notification and emergency planning.
- 2. URL: <u>https://www.nrc.gov/about-nrc/emerg-preparedness/about-emerg-preparedness/planning-zones.html</u>

NRC Licensing

- <u>https://www.nrc.gov/about-nrc/regulatory/licensing.html</u>

NRC Public Involvement in Licensing

- https://www.nrc.gov/about-nrc/regulatory/licensing/pub-involve.html

Nuclear Power Plant

- A nuclear power plant is a thermal power station that harnesses energy from nuclear fuel fission. Here's how it works: the heat released during fission boils water, producing steam. This steam drives a turbine connected to a generator, ultimately producing electricity.

Small Modular Reactors (SMR)

- Type of advanced nuclear reactor designed to be smaller in size and capacity compared to traditional nuclear reactors.
 - Characteristics:
 - Small Size. SMRs have a power capacity of up to 30 MW per unit, which is about one-third of the capacity of conventional nuclear reactors.
 - Modular Construction. These reactors are designed to be factory-assembled and transported to the site for installation.
 - Flexibility. SMRs can be deployed in single or multiple modules, making them suitable for a variety of application, including industrial use and remote areas with limited grid capacity.
 - Safety. Many SMR designs incorporate passive safety features, which rely on natural physical processes rather than active controls to ensure safety.

NUCLEAR PROCESS BEFORE THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Nuclear energy power plants including their establishment is primarily governed by the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). The NRC has a significant amount of control over the permitting and operation of such plants. Companies who wish to get involved in nuclear must directly work with the NRC through the process of obtaining an "Early site permit (ESP)." An example of this process can be found at the following NRC website: <u>https://www.nrc.gov/reactors/new-reactors/large-lwr/esp/north-anna.html</u>

This website illustrates the process for the North Anna Site that was submitted by Dominion Nuclear North Anna, LLC. It includes application information, a review schedule, a safety evaluation report, a final environmental impact statement, the North Anna Early Site Permit, and contacts. There is also a "combined license process" which includes construction and operation approvals. The applicants must provide detailed plans for the plant's design, construction, and operation as well as safety measures. It is the duty of the NRC to thoroughly review the submissions. The following website includes a list of combined license applications for new reactors: https://www.nrc.gov/reactors/new-reactors/large-lwr/col.html

An example of a combined license can be found at this link for the North Anna, Unit 3 site: <u>https://www.nrc.gov/reactors/new-reactors/large-lwr/col/north-anna.html</u>. The application materials include: referenced documents; application information; review schedule; safety evaluations; early site permit; final supplemental environmental impact statement; combined licenses; related application information; and contacts. In the combined license process, the application is reviewed and includes a public participation process, safety and environmental reviews and compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). The NRC also is involved in design certification, construction and operation, and post-license oversight.

It appears at this time that the permitting process for nuclear power plants including modular is a multi-governmental complex process largely governed by the NRC. The Iowa legislature appears to have considered two study bills (House Study Bill 555 and Senate

Study Bill 3075) which would designate modular nuclear as an alternative energy production facility in Iowa. For more information, there is a January 25, 2024 article written by Wally Taylor entitled "Iowa Utilities bill includes a good idea – and a lost cause" https://www.bleedingheartland.com/2024/01/25/iowa-utilities-board-bill-includes-a-good-idea-and-a-lost-cause/

Nuclear Regulatory Commission Website Resources – Excerpts from NRC.GOV

Source: <u>https://www.nrc.gov/reactors/new-reactors/advanced.html</u> Advanced Reactors

The NRC refers to non-light water reactor (non-LWR) designs and small modular light water reactors (SMRs) as advanced reactors. These reactors incorporate innovative technologies compared to existing operating reactors, such as passive safety features, alternative fuel or coolant types, or smaller reactor sizes. White non-LWRs use distinct technologies and materiatis, SMRs are a subset of light water reactors that are designed to be more compact, scalable, and potentially safer, with my sharing features like passive safety systems and advanced engineering designs.





Page Last Reviewed/Updated Tuesday, March 04, 2025

Laws and Regulations



New nuclear reactor licensing is a complex, multi-year process governed by both federal laws passed by the U.S. Congress and regulations developed by the NRC. The <u>Federal laws governing the NRC</u> generally have high-level directives for the civilian use of nuclear materials. The finer details of reactor licensing and other civilian uses are found in <u>Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations</u> (10 CFR). The NRC develops and issues these regulations for all areas under its jurisdiction. All U.S. civilian uses of materials must comply with federal laws and the regulations in 10 CFR.

Governing Legislation

The NRC was established by the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974. A summary and a text of this law, as well as other key laws that govern our operations, are provided below. The texts of other laws may be found in <u>Nuclear Regulatory Legislation</u> (NUREG-0980). *This page includes links to files in non-HTML format. See <u>Plugins, Viewers, and Other Tools</u> for more information. On this page*

- Fundamental Laws Governing Civilian Uses of Nuclear Materials and Facilities
- Nuclear Waste
- Non-Proliferation
- Fundamental Laws Governing the Processes of Regulatory Agencies
- Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as Amended
- Energy Reorganization Act of 1974
- Reorganization Plans
- Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, as Amended
- Low-Level Radioactive Waste Policy Amendments Act of 1985
- Uranium Mill Tailings Radiation Control Act of 1978
- Nuclear Non-Proliferation Act of 1978
- Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. Chapters 5 through 8)
- National Environmental Policy Act

Fundamental Laws Governing Civilian Uses of Nuclear Materials and Facilities

- Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as Amended (summary below, full-text version)
- Energy Reorganization Act of 1974 (summary below, full-text version)

• Reorganization Plans (summary below, full-text version)

Nuclear Waste

- Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982 (summary below, full-text version)
- Low-Level Radioactive Waste Policy Amendments Act of 1985 (summary below, full-text version)
- Uranium Mill Tailings Radiation Control Act of 1978 (summary below, full-text version)

Non-Proliferation

• Nuclear Non-Proliferation Act of 1978 (summary below, full-text version)

Fundamental Laws Governing the Processes of Regulatory Agencies

- Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. Chapters 5 through 8) (summary below, full-text version)
- National Environmental Policy Act (summary below, full-text version)

👗 тор

Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as Amended

This Act is the fundamental U.S. law on both the civilian and the military uses of nuclear materials. On the civilian side, it provides for both the development and the regulation of the uses of nuclear materials and facilities in the United States, declaring the policy that "the development, use, and control of atomic energy shall be directed so as to promote world peace, improve the general welfare, increase the standard of living, and strengthen free competition in private enterprise." The Act requires that civilian uses of nuclear materials and facilities be licensed, and it empowers the NRC to establish by rule or order, and to enforce, such standards to govern these uses as "the Commission may deem necessary or desirable in order to protect health and safety and minimize danger to life or property." Commission action under the Act must conform to the Act's procedural requirements, which provide an opportunity for hearings and Federal judicial review in many instances.

Under section 274 of the Act, the NRC may enter into an agreement with a State for discontinuance of the NRC's regulatory authority over some materials licensees within the State. The State must first show that its regulatory program is compatible with the NRC's and adequate to protect public health and safety. The NRC retains authority over, among other things, nuclear power plants within the State and exports from the State.

A major amendment to the Act established compensation for, and limits on, licensee liability for injury to off-site persons or damage to property caused by nuclear accidents. The Act was most recently amended by the <u>ADVANCE Act of 2024</u>, including amendments to the Act's definition of byproduct material to address fusion machines explicitly and to provide the NRC with additional tools to strengthen the NRC workforce.

(full-text version)

👗 тор

Energy Reorganization Act of 1974

This Act established the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Under the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, a single agency, the Atomic Energy Commission, had responsibility for the development and production of nuclear weapons and for both the development and the safety regulation of the civilian uses of nuclear materials. The Act of 1974 split these functions, assigning to one agency, now the Department of Energy, the responsibility for the development and production of nuclear weapons, promotion of nuclear power, and other energy-related work, and assigning to the NRC the regulatory work, which does not include regulation of defense nuclear facilities. The Act of 1974 gave the Commission its collegial structure and established its major offices. The later amendment to the Act also provided protections for employees who raise nuclear safety concerns.

(full-text version)

👗 тор

Reorganization Plans

Reorganization Plan No. 3 of 1970 established the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and gave it a role in establishing "generally applicable environmental standards for the protection of the general environment from radioactive material."

Reorganization Plan No. 1 of 1980 strengthened the executive and administrative roles of the NRC Chairman, particularly in emergencies, transferring to the Chairman "all the functions vested in the Commission pertaining to an emergency concerning a particular facility or materials ... regulated by the Commission." This Reorganization Plan also provided that all policy formulation, policy-related rulemaking, and orders and adjudications would remain vested with the full Commission. (full-text version)

TOP

Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, as Amended

This Act establishes both the Federal government's responsibility to provide a place for the permanent disposal of high-level radioactive waste and spent nuclear fuel, and the generators' responsibility to bear the costs of permanent disposal. Amendments to the Act have focused the Federal government's efforts, through the Department of Energy, regarding a possible site at Yucca Mountain, Nevada. (full-text version)

Low-Level Radioactive Waste Policy Amendments Act of 1985

This Act gives States the responsibility to dispose of low-level radioactive waste generated within their borders and allows them to form compacts to locate facilities to serve a group of States. The Act provides that the facilities will be regulated by the NRC or by States that have entered into Agreements with the NRC under section 274 of the Atomic Energy Act. The Act also requires the NRC to establish standards for determining when radionuclides are present in waste streams in sufficiently low concentrations or quantities as to be "below regulatory concern."

(full-text version)

🛦 тор

Uranium Mill Tailings Radiation Control Act of 1978

This Act establishes programs for the stabilization and control of mill tailings at uranium or thorium mill sites, both active and inactive, in order to prevent or minimize, among other things, the diffusion of radon into the environment. Title II of the Act gives the NRC regulatory authority over mill tailing at sites under NRC license on or after January 1, 1978.

(full-text version)

👗 тор

Nuclear Non-Proliferation Act of 1978

This Act seeks to limit the spread of nuclear weapons by, among other things, establishing criteria governing U.S. nuclear exports licensed by the NRC and taking steps to strengthen the international safeguards system. (full-text version)

TOP

Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. Chapters 5 through 8)

This Act is the fundamental law governing the processes of Federal administrative agencies. Its original focus was on rulemaking and adjudication. It requires, for example, that affected persons be given adequate notice of proposed rules and an opportunity to comment on the proposed rules and that, in cases in which another statute requires that the agency provide a hearing "on the record," the parties are given adequate opportunity to present facts and argument and the hearing officer is impartial. The Act gives interested persons the right to petition an agency for the issuance, amendment, or repeal of a rule. It also provides standards for judicial review of agency actions. The Act has been amended often and now incorporates several other acts that cover a great range of processes. Three of these incorporated acts deal with access to information. The Freedom of Information Act requires that agencies make public their rules, adjudicatory decisions, statements of policy, instructions to staff that affect a member of the public, and, upon request, such other material as does not fall into one of the Act's exceptions for material dealing with national security, trade secrets, and the like. The Government in the Sunshine Act requires that collegial bodies such as the Commission hold their meetings in public, with certain exceptions for meetings on matters such as, again, national security. The Privacy Act limits release of certain information about individuals. Two of the acts incorporated into the Administrative Procedure Act provide for alternative mechanisms for resolving differences. The Negotiated Rulemaking Act allows agencies to develop rules in certain situations by negotiations among a limited number of parties, negotiations aimed at reaching a consensus on the proposed rule and avoiding litigation over the final rule. The Administrative Dispute Resolution Act urges agencies to use negotiation, mediation, arbitration, and related techniques in place of adjudication, enforcement, rulemaking, or court litigation.

Two other incorporated acts are noteworthy. The Regulatory Flexibility Act requires that agencies consider the special needs and concerns of small entities in conducting rulemaking. The Congressional Review Act requires that every agency rule be submitted to Congress before being made effective, and that every "major" rule sit before Congress for 60 days before being made effective, during which time the rule can be subjected to an accelerated process that can lead to a statutory modification or disapproval of the rule. (full-text version)

👗 тор

National Environmental Policy Act

Every proposal for a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment requires a detailed statement on, among other things, the environmental impact of the proposed action and alternatives to the proposed action. The statement is to accompany the proposal through the agency review process. The Act also established in the Executive Office of the President a Council on Environmental Quality, which has issued regulations on the preparation of environmental impact statements and on public participation in the preparation of the statements.

(full-text version)

NRC Regulations Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations

Requirements binding on all persons and organizations who receive a license from NRC to use nuclear materials or operate nuclear facilities Effective Dates | Federal Register Notices | Rulemaking

See also NRC's regulations, Title 10, Chapter I, of the *Code of Federal Regulations*, Volume 1 (Parts 1 - 50) and Volume 2 (Parts 51 - 199) which the <u>Government</u> Publishing Office maintains and updates annually.

Standards Incorporated By Reference into Chapter I of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations Download Title 10 (ZIP archive file):

 HTML format [9,893 KB], Portable Document Format (PDF) [7,613 KB]

 Quick links to parts:

 Front Matter

 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 19

20 21 25 26 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 39 40 50 51 52 53 54 55 60 61 62 63 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 81 95 100 110 140 150 160 170 171 172-199

Part	Title
<u>Part 1</u>	Statement of organization and general information
<u>Part 2</u>	Agency Rules of Practice and Procedure
Part 4	Nondiscrimination in Federally assisted programs or activities receiving Federal financial assistance from the Commission
Part 5	Nondiscrimination on the basis of sex in education programs or activities receiving Federal financial assistance
Part 7	Advisory committees
Part 8	[Reserved]
Part 9	Public records
<u>Part 10</u>	Criteria and procedures for determining eligibility for access to restricted data or national security information or an employment clearance
<u>Part 11</u>	Criteria and procedures for determining eligibility for access to or control over special nuclear material
Part 12	Implementation of the Equal Access to Justice Act in agency proceedings
Part 13	Program fraud civil remedies
Part 14	Administrative claims under Federal Tort Claims Act
Part 15	Debt collection procedures
<u>Part 16</u>	Salary offset procedures for collecting debts owed by Federal employees to the Federal government
Part 19	Notices, instructions and reports to workers: inspection and investigations
Part 20	Standards for protection against radiation
Part 21	Reporting of defects and noncompliance
Part 25	Access authorization
Part 26	Fitness for duty programs
Part 30	Rules of general applicability to domestic licensing of byproduct material
Part 31	General domestic licenses for byproduct material
Part 32	Specific domestic licenses to manufacture or transfer certain items containing byproduct material
Part 33	Specific domestic licenses of broad scope for byproduct material
Part 34	Licenses for industrial radiography and radiation safety requirements for industrial radiographic operations
Part 35	Medical use of byproduct material
Part 36	Licenses and radiation safety requirements for irradiators
Part 37	Physical protection of category 1 and category 2 quantities of radioactive material
Part 39	Licenses and radiation safety requirements for well logging
Part 40	Domestic licensing of source material
Part 50	Domestic licensing of production and utilization facilities
Part 51	Environmental protection regulations for domestic licensing and related regulatory functions
Part 52	Licenses, certifications, and approvals for nuclear power plants
<u>Part 53</u>	[Reserved]
Part 54	Requirements for renewal of operating licenses for nuclear power plants
Part 55	Operators' licenses
Part 60	Disposal of high-level radioactive wastes in geologic repositories
<u>Part 61</u>	Licensing requirements for land disposal of radioactive waste
<u>Part 62</u>	Criteria and procedures for emergency access to non-federal and regional low-level waste disposal facilities
Part 63	Disposal of high-level radioactive wastes in a geologic repository at Yucca Mountain, Nevada
<u>Part 70</u>	Domestic licensing of special nuclear material
Part 71	Packaging and transportation of radioactive material
Part 72	Licensing requirements for the independent storage of spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste, and reactor-related greater than

Part 73	Physical protection of plants and materials
<u>Part 74</u>	Material control and accounting of special nuclear material
Part 75	Safeguards on nuclear material—implementation of safeguards agreements between the United States and the International Atomic Energy Agency
<u>Part 76</u>	Certification of gaseous diffusion plants
<u>Part 81</u>	Standard specifications for the granting of patent licenses
<u>Part 95</u>	Facility security clearance and safeguarding of national security information and restricted data
<u>Part 100</u>	Reactor site criteria
<u>Part 110</u>	Export and import of nuclear equipment and material
Part 140	Financial protection requirements and indemnity agreements
Part 150	Exemptions and continued regulatory authority in Agreement States and in offshore waters under section 274
Part 160	Trespassing on Commission property
Part 170	Fees for facilities, materials, import and export licenses, and other regulatory services under the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended
<u>Part 171</u>	Annual fees for reactor licenses and fuel cycle licenses and materials licenses, including holders of certificates of compliance, registrations, and quality assurance program approvals and government agencies licensed by the NRC
Parts 172-199	[Reserved]

ANNOTATED BIBLIOGRAPHY

The following annotated bibliography reveals several key themes surrounding nuclear energy. It begins with a foundational understanding of nuclear energy's scientific principles and its role in electricity generation, emphasizing its low-emission potential alongside the challenge of radioactive waste management. A significant focus is placed on the pros and cons, highlighting benefits like carbon-neutral power, reliability, and efficiency, while addressing drawbacks such as safety risks, high costs, and waste disposal concerns. Emerging technologies, including small modular reactors (SMRs) and high-assay low-enriched uranium (HALEU), are explored as innovative solutions offering enhanced safety and cost-effectiveness. Policy and regulatory efforts, particularly in the U.S., aim to streamline deployment and licensing to bolster the nuclear sector. Nuclear energy's role in combating climate change is underscored by its low carbon footprint, though economic factors like high capital costs and the need for government support remain critical. Finally, the persistent challenge of radioactive waste management is a recurring theme, with progress in interim storage and geological repositories tempered by delays, costs, and public opposition, leaving long-term solutions unresolved. Together, these themes reflect nuclear energy's complex balance of promise and peril.

Theme 1: General Overview and Science of Nuclear Energy

This theme covers sources that provide foundational explanations of nuclear energy, its scientific basis, and its role in energy production.

- Endesa. (2022). *Nuclear energy: what it is and its advantages and disadvantages*. Retrieved from <u>https://www.endesa.com/en/the-e-face/power-plants/nuclear-power</u>
 - The article aims to clarify nuclear energy, its generation, and its role in energy production, particularly in Spain. It seeks to provide an informative overview for understanding nuclear energy's implications, especially in land use planning for county zoning ordinances.
- **Galindo, A. (2022).** *What is nuclear energy? The science of nuclear power*. International Atomic Energy Agency. Retrieved from <u>https://www.iaea.org/newscenter/news/what-is-nuclear-energy-the-science-of-nuclear-power</u>
 - Nuclear energy, derived from nuclear fission, is harnessed in power plants to generate electricity. The process involves splitting uranium atoms, managing radioactive waste, and adhering to international safety standards set by the IAEA.
- National Geographic. (2020). Nuclear energy. Retrieved from https://education.nationalgeographic.org/resource/nuclear-energy/
 - Nuclear energy, derived from splitting atoms, is harnessed in reactors to generate electricity. While clean and renewable, it requires careful handling of radioactive waste.
- U.S. Energy Information Administration. (n.d.). *Nuclear explained*. *Nuclear power and the environment*. Retrieved from https://www.eia.gov/energyexplained/nuclear/nuclear-power-and-the-environment.php
 - Nuclear power plants in the U.S. have robust safety measures and containment structures. While nuclear power generation is low-emission, it produces radioactive waste requiring strict management and disposal.

Theme 2: Pros and Cons of Nuclear Energy

This theme includes sources that evaluate the advantages and disadvantages of nuclear energy, focusing on safety, cost, efficiency, and environmental impact.

- Iginia, M. (2023). The advantages and disadvantages of nuclear energy. Earth.org. Retrieved from https://earth.org/the-advantages-and-disadvantages-of-nuclear-energy/
 - Educates readers on nuclear energy's environmental, economic, and safety implications. This information is crucial for zoning and land use policies.
- Just Energy. (2023). Pros and cons of nuclear energy: Safety, cost, efficiency. Retrieved from https://justenergy.com/blog/pros-and-cons-of-nuclear-energy-safety-cost-efficiency/
 - Nuclear energy offers carbon-neutral power and significant energy output, but risks include accidents, waste disposal, and limited resources. Technological advancements are crucial for improving safety and efficiency.
- Let's Talk Science. (2019). What are the pros and cons of nuclear energy? Retrieved from <u>https://letstalkscience.ca/educational-resources/stem-in-context/what-are-pros-and-cons-nuclear-energy</u>

- Nuclear energy has both advantages and disadvantages. While it offers safety and reduces air pollution, concerns remain about nuclear weapons proliferation, waste management, and potential accidents.
- Lumley, G. (2024). Pros and cons of nuclear energy. BKV Energy. Retrieved from https://bkvenergy.com/learning-center/nuclear-energy-pros-and-cons/
 - Nuclear energy offers low emissions and high efficiency but faces challenges like accidents, waste management, and high costs. While it can reduce fossil fuel dependency, safety, proliferation, and long-term viability concerns remain.
- Mathis, J. (2023). *The pros and cons of nuclear power*. The Week. Retrieved from <u>https://theweek.com/climate-change/1013907/the-pros-and-cons-of-nuclear-power</u>
 - Nuclear power is a double-edged sword, offering emissions-free energy and energy security but posing challenges like waste management, high costs, and safety risks.
- Moses, M. (2020). *What are the advantages of nuclear energy*? EDF Energy. Retrieved from <u>https://www.edfenergy.com/energywise/what-are-advantages-nuclear-energy</u>
 - Nuclear energy is a low-carbon, reliable, and efficient solution. It provides a stable power supply, is highly efficient, and has a long operational life.
- Smith, J. (n.d.). *The pros and cons of nuclear energy in 2025*. Solar Reviews. Edited by Catherine Lane. Retrieved from <u>https://www.solarreviews.com/blog/nuclear-energy-pros-and-cons</u>
 - Nuclear energy offers low-cost, reliable power with zero-carbon emissions, but it comes with environmental concerns, water usage, and the risk of accidents.
- The Conversation. (2021). *How to make up your mind about the pros and cons of nuclear power*. Retrieved from https://theconversation.com/how-to-make-up-your-mind-about-the-pros-and-cons-of-nuclear-power-172474
 - Nuclear power offers low carbon emissions and reliable energy, but concerns remain about accidents, waste disposal, and high initial costs.
- Unwin, J. (2019). *Nuclear power: The pros and cons of the energy source*. Power Technology. Retrieved from https://www.power-technology.com/features/nuclear-power-pros-cons/
 - Nuclear power offers low carbon emissions and reliable energy, but risks nuclear accidents and waste disposal. High initial costs and long-term storage challenges must be considered.
- U.S. Department of Energy. (2024). Advantages and challenges of nuclear energy. Retrieved
- from https://www.energy.gov/ne/articles/advantages-and-challenges-nuclear-energy
 - Nuclear energy is a clean and reliable source of power, but faces challenges such as public perception, used fuel management, and high construction and operating costs.
- X-Energy. (2023). *The advantages of nuclear energy*. Retrieved from <u>https://x-energy.com/blog-all/investing-in-our-planet-earth-day-and-beyond-2sz9p</u>
 - Nuclear energy is highlighted as a clean, safe, and reliable solution. Advanced nuclear technologies, like small modular reactors, offer benefits such as reduced water usage, enhanced safety, and cost-effectiveness.

Theme 3: Advanced Nuclear Technologies (e.g., Small Modular Reactors, HALEU)

This theme focuses on emerging nuclear technologies, such as small modular reactors (SMRs) and high-assay low-enriched uranium (HALEU).

- Kanost, T., & Lawrence, B. (2022). Without a plant currently operating in Iowa, does nuclear energy have a future in the state?. We Are Iowa. Retrieved from <u>https://www.weareiowa.com/article/tech/science/climate-change/nuclear-energy-in-iowa-future-developments-midamerican/524-aaed2ac4-7c3b-406a-a84b-c6e356b181ee</u>
 - MidAmerican Energy's Wind PRIME project explores nuclear energy, specifically small modular reactors (SMRs), to achieve net-zero emissions. While SMRs offer potential benefits, safety, cost, and waste management concerns remain.
- Liou, J. (2023). What are small modular reactors (SMRs)? International Atomic Energy Agency. Retrieved from https://www.iaea.org/newscenter/news/what-are-small-modular-reactors-smrs
 - Small Modular Reactors (SMRs) are compact, factory-built nuclear reactors with a power capacity of up to 300 MW(e). They offer advantages like flexible siting, cost-effectiveness, and enhanced safety, making them suitable for various applications and locations.
- U.S. Department of Energy. (n.d.). Advanced Small Modular Reactors (SMRs) Retrieved from https://www.energy.gov/ne/advanced-small-modular-reactors-smrs
 - Advanced Small Modular Reactors (SMRs) offer versatility, safety, and economic benefits, with government support and funding
 opportunities for their development.
- U.S. Department of Energy. (2024). What is high assay low enriched uranium (HALEU)? Retrieved from https://www.energy.gov/ne/articles/what-high-assay-low-enriched-uranium-haleu
 - High-Assay Low-Enriched Uranium (HALEU) is essential for advanced nuclear reactors, and the DOE is exploring production methods to meet the growing domestic demand. The Piketon Demonstration Project and HALEU Availability Program aim to ensure a domestic supply chain for HALEU.
- U.S. Department of Energy. (2024). NRC dockets construction permit application for TerraPower's Natrium reactor. Retrieved from https://www.energy.gov/ne/articles/nrc-dockets-construction-permit-application-terrapowers-natrium-reactor
 - The NRC accepted TerraPower's application for a sodium-cooled fast reactor in Wyoming, marking the first time in over 40 years. The project aims to demonstrate advanced reactor technology and support clean energy.

Theme 4: Policy, Regulation, and Deployment

This theme addresses governmental policies, regulatory frameworks, and efforts to deploy nuclear energy.

 Baranwal, R. (2019). New DOE and NRC agreement will lead to faster deployment and licensing of U.S. nuclear. U.S. Department of Energy. Retrieved from <u>https://www.energy.gov/ne/articles/new-doe-and-nrc-agreement-will-lead-faster-deployment-and-licensing-us-nuclear</u>

- The U.S. Department of Energy and the NRC are collaborating to accelerate the deployment of advanced nuclear technologies. This partnership will streamline the licensing process, provide information sharing, and enable faster commercialization of these technologies.
- Nuclear Regulatory Commission. (n.d.). *Backgrounder on nuclear power plant licensing process*. Retrieved from https://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/fact-sheets/licensing-process-fs.html
 - The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) oversees the licensing process for nuclear power plants in the United States, which involves a two-step process, combined license, early site permits, and design certification. The NRC ensures compliance with regulations for public health, safety, and environmental protection throughout the plant's lifetime.
- Nuclear Regulatory Commission. (n.d.). Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards. Retrieved from https://scp.nrc.gov/
 - The Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards (NMSS) within the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) manages
 communication and relationships with various government entities. NMSS also oversees key programs like the Agreement State
 Program and Tribal Liaison Program, providing resources and support.
- U.S. Department of Energy. (2024). Newly signed bill will boost nuclear reactor deployment in the United States. Retrieved from https://www.energy.gov/ne/articles/newly-signed-bill-will-boost-nuclear-reactor-deployment-united-states#:~:text=President%20Biden%20signed%20the%20Fire,t%20seen%20since%20the%201970s
 - The ADVANCE Act, part of the Fire Grants and Safety Act, aims to revitalize the U.S. nuclear power sector by accelerating reactor deployment, supporting innovation, and ensuring a secure, clean energy future.
- White House-Biden Administration. (2024). Fact sheet: Biden-Harris administration announces new steps to bolster domestic nuclear industry and advance America's clean energy future. Retrieved from https://bidenwhitehouse.archives.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2024/05/29/fact-sheet-biden-harris-administration-announces-new-steps-to-bolster-domestic-nuclear-industry-and-advance-americas-clean-energy-future/
 - The Biden-Harris Administration announced initiatives to strengthen the domestic nuclear industry, reduce reliance on Russian uranium, and advance clean energy. These efforts aim to support a carbon-free electricity sector by 2035.

Theme 5: Nuclear Energy and Climate Change

This theme explores nuclear energy's role as a solution to climate change and its environmental implications.

- Rhodes, R. (2018). *Why nuclear power must be part of the energy solution: Environmentalists and climate.* Yale Environment 360. Retrieved from https://e360.yale.edu/features/why-nuclear-power-must-be-part-of-the-energy-solution-environmentalists-climate
 - Nuclear power, with its low carbon emissions and high capacity factor, is a valuable solution to climate change, despite concerns about accidents and waste.

Theme 6: Economics of Nuclear Power

This theme focuses on the financial aspects of nuclear energy, including costs, competitiveness, and government support.

- World Nuclear Association. (2021). *Economics of nuclear power*. Retrieved from https://world-nuclear.org/information-library/economic-aspects/economics-of-nuclear-power
 - Nuclear power is cost-competitive, especially with low fuel costs and long-term operation, despite high capital costs. Government support is crucial for financing nuclear power projects in deregulated markets.

Theme 7: Radioactive Waste Management and Disposal

This theme covers the challenges, methods, and policies related to managing and disposing of nuclear waste.

- Earth.Org. (2022). The nuclear waste disposal dilemma. Retrieved from https://earth.org/nuclear-waste-disposal/
 - Finland's Onkalo repository showcases a pioneering approach to permanent disposal, potentially setting a global standard. Critics note technical uncertainties and high costs, questioning its viability as a universal solution.
- Ewing, R. C. (2018). The steep costs of nuclear waste in the U.S. Stanford Doerr School of Sustainability. Retrieved from https://sustainability.stanford.edu/news/steep-costs-nuclear-waste-us
 - Interim storage is well-contained, but aging tanks at sites like Hanford have leaked, releasing radioactivity into the environment. Permanent disposal could save billions, yet funding and political will remain inadequate.
- International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA). (2022). New IAEA report presents global overview of radioactive waste and spent fuel management. Retrieved from https://www.iaea.org/newscenter/news/new-iaea-report-presents-global-overview-of-radioactive-waste-and-spent-fuel-management
 - Positives include significant progress in safe interim storage and the development of deep geological repositories (DGRs), with Finland nearing operation of the first such facility. Negatives include prolonged storage due to delays in disposal capacity, increasing the need for additional facilities and raising safety concerns over time.
- Macfarlane, A., & Ewing, R. C. (2023). *Nuclear waste is piling up. Does the U.S. have a plan?* Scientific American. Retrieved from https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/nuclear-waste-is-piling-up-does-the-u-s-have-a-plan/
 - Temporary storage at reactor sites is safe for decades, but the lack of a geologic repository shifts risks to future generations. The authors highlight job creation potential in repository projects, though political gridlock and community opposition remain significant barriers.
- Nuclear Energy Agency (NEA). (n.d.). *The disposal of high-level radioactive waste (Issue Brief No. 3)*. Retrieved from https://www.oecd-nea.org/jcms/pl 14918/issue-brief-no-3-the-disposal-of-high-level-radioactive-waste
 - DGRs provide a sustainable solution with robust isolation, supported by international cooperation. Challenges include high costs, technical uncertainties over millennia, and the need for public trust in safety assurances.
- U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA). (2022). *Nuclear explained. Nuclear power and the environment.* Retrieved from https://www.eia.gov/energyexplained/nuclear/nuclear-power-and-the-environment.php
 - Nuclear power plants produce minimal carbon emissions, and high-level waste is initially stored safely in water pools or dry casks. However, the U.S. lacks a permanent disposal site, leaving waste at reactor sites indefinitely, posing long-term environmental and safety risks.

- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). (2025). Radioactive waste. Retrieved from https://www.epa.gov/radioactive-waste.
 - Strict regulations ensure safe handling and transport of high-level waste, minimizing immediate risks. Long-term isolation remains unresolved, with potential environmental contamination if storage fails over time.
- U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO). (2023). Nuclear waste disposal. Retrieved from https://www.gao.gov/nuclear-waste-disposal. Retrieved from https://www.gao.gov/nuclear-waste-dispos
 - Storage facilities like the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) demonstrate successful disposal of transuranic waste, but high-level waste from commercial reactors remains stranded, costing billions in damages to utilities and lacking a clear disposal path.
- U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). (2024). *Backgrounder on radioactive waste*. Retrieved from <u>https://www.nrc.gov/reading-</u> rm/doc-collections/fact-sheets/radwaste.html
 - High-level waste is securely managed in robust containers, with strict regulations ensuring safety during storage. However, the absence of a permanent repository in the U.S. increases reliance on temporary solutions, raising concerns about aging infrastructure and potential leaks.
- World Nuclear Association. (2024). *Storage and disposal of radioactive waste*. Retrieved from https://world-nuclear.org/information-library/nuclear-fuel-cycle/nuclear-wastes/storage-and-disposal-of-radioactive-waste.aspx
 - Positives include proven technologies for interim storage (e.g., dry casks) and international consensus on deep geological disposal as a safe long-term solution. Negatives involve public resistance and the high costs of constructing DGRs, delaying implementation in many countries.
- World Nuclear Association. (2024). *Radioactive waste Myths and realities*. Retrieved from <u>https://world-nuclear.org/information-library/nuclear-fuel-cycle/nuclear-waste/radioactive-wastes-myths-and-realities</u>
 - High-level waste's radioactivity decreases significantly over time, and geological disposal is technologically feasible, offering long-term isolation. Negatives include misconceptions fueling public fear, complicating site selection and increasing costs.
- World Nuclear Waste Report. (n.d.). World Nuclear Waste Report: Focus Europe. Retrieved from https://worldnuclearwastereport.org/
 - Wet storage dominates in Europe, posing risks if pools fail, but dry storage offers safer alternatives. High costs and lack of final disposal sites increase reliance on interim solutions, shifting burdens to future generations.

INFORMATIONAL MEETINGS AND PUBLIC HEARINGS

- Zoning Commission, Information Item August 26, 2024
- Board of Adjustment, Information Item September 4, 2024
- Zoning Commission, Public Hearing September 23, 2024
- Board of Adjustment, Information Item October 7, 2024
- Zoning Commission, Information Item –November 25, 2024
- Zoning Commission, Public Hearing January 27, 2025
- Zoning Commission, Public Hearing February 24, 2025
- Board of Adjustment, Information Item March 3, 2025
- Zoning Commission, Public Hearing March 24, 2025
- Zoning Commission, Public Hearing May 28, 2025
- Zoning Commission, Public Hearing June 23, 2025

STAKEHOLDER COMMENTS

Stakeholders including other jurisdictions, government agencies, utilities, and organizations have been contacted and have been requested to comment on July 26, 2024, December 4, 2024, and January 3, 2025, February 6, 2025, March 6, 2025, June 6, 2025. The comments received are provided for review below.



WOODBURY COUNTY COMMUNITY & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT Floor - Sloux City, U 51101 - Phone: 712.279.660 tial J. Priastley, MPA – Zoning Coordinator dpri Dawn Norton – Senior Clerk - drorton/dbw

July 26, 2024

Dear Woodbury County Stakeholder(s):

The Woodbury County Zoning Commission has been tasked to explore the addition of nuclear energy including modular nuclear facilities as a potential land use to the Woodbury County Zoning Ordinance

Currently, we are requesting your insights, perspective, and input on this matter. Your participation v play a crucial role in shaping the future zoning regulations pertaining to the potential siting of nuclear energy plants in our community. Specifically, we are seeking your comments and recommendations regarding the following aspects:

- Appropriate Locations / Zoning District Designation(s): Where do you believe nuclear energy facilities, including modular nuclear reactors, could be appropriately aited within the unincorporated areas of Woodbury County? Which zoning districts do you think would be most suitable for accommodating nuclear energy facilities (General Industrial, Apricultural Prevention, ecr.)? See map included Are there any specific considerations or orteria we should prioritize in these areas?

Impact Assessment: • What potential impacts (economic, environmental, social) do you foresee with the introduction of nuclear energy facilities in our community?

Regulatory Framework: Are there Are there any specific regulatory frameworks or best practices from other jurisdictions that you believe we should consider when updating our zoning ordinance to include nuclear energy?

Your perspective is highly valued in helping us make informed decisions that reflect the interests and concerns of all Woodbury County stakeholders involved. We aim to ensure that any changes to our zoning ordinance are comprehensive, inclusive, and aligned with both community needs and regulatory standards.

Please provide your initial feedback by August 23, 2024 before 10:00 AM. You can send your response to dpriestley@woodburycountyiowa.gov, Woodbury County Planning and Zoning, 620 Douglas St., Sixth Floor, Sioux City, IA 51101 or call 712-279-6609 to discuss this matter further.

The Woodbury County Zoning Commission is in the introductory stage of this process. There will be an information / discussion agenda item at the next public meeting on Monday, August 26 at 5:00 PM at the Woodbury county Courtbourds, 6:20 Douglas Street, Sioux CDV, IA, basement Hmeeting area. Subsequently, public hearings and work sessions will be scheduled and announced at a future date.

Thank you in advance for your time and contribution to this important investigation. We look forward to hearing from you.

Respectfully and sincerely, Dates Daniel J. Priestley, MPA Zoning Coordinator

Enclosure: Woodbury County Zoning Map



WOODBURY COUNTY COMMUNITY & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT Floor - Stota City, IA 51101 - Phone: 712.279.8809 - Fax: 712.273.8530 - W iel J. Priestley, MPA - Zoning Coordinator - dpriestley@wcodburycoum Diewr Norton - Senior Cierk - driarton@wcodburycoumbiows.co

December 4, 2024

Dear Woodbury County Stakeholder(s):

The Woodbury County Zoning Commission continues its study of potentially adding nuclear energy facilities, including modular nuclear technology, as potential land uses in the Woodbury County Zo ning Ordinance

As part of this ongoing effort, we are again seeking your insights, perspectives, and input on this matter. Your involvement remains vital to shaping zoning regulations that thoughtfully consider the potential sting of nuclear energy facilities within our community. Specifically, we welcome your comments and recommendations regarding the following:

- Appropriate Locations / Zoning District Designations (see enclosed map)
 • Where do you believe nuclear energy facilities, including modular nuclear reactors, could be appropriately located within the unincorporated areas of Woodbary County?

 • Which zoning districts (e.g., General Industrial, Agricaltural Preservation) would you consider most suitable for such facilities? Please reference the enclosed map.

 • Are there particular considerations or criteria we should prioritize for these areas?

Impact Assessment
What economic, environmental, or social impacts do you anticipate with the introduction of
muclear energy facilities in our community?

Regulatory Framework

atory Framework Are there regulatory frameworks, examples, or best practices from other jurisdictions you believe we should examine when updating our zoning ordinance?

We highly value your input and seek to ensure any changes to our zoning ordinance are inclusive, comprehensive, and aligned with community needs and regulatory requirements.

Please provide your feedback by January 20, 2025, at 10:00 AM. You may send your response via email to dpriestley@woodburycountylowa.gov, by mail to Woodbury County Planning and Zoaing, 620 Douglas St., Sixth Floor, Sioux City, IA 51101, or call 712-279-6609 to discuss this matter further.

The Zoning Commission will continue this exploration at public hearings starting on January 27, 2025, at 5:00 PM in the basement of the Woodbury County Courthouse, 620 Douglas Street, Sioux City, IA.

Thank you for your continued engagement in this important matter. We look forward to hearing from you and incorporating your perspectives into this decision-making process.

Respectfully and sincerely, 21-Daniel J. Priestley, MPA Zoning Coordinate







8.6mi

COMMENTS

From:	
Sent:	
To:	
Subiect:	

Wendi Hess Wednesday, August 7, 2024 9:22 AM Daniel Priestley RE: Comments Requested Nuclear Energy in Woodbury County, Iowa

Follow Up Flag: Flag Status: Follow up Flagged

Dan: In regards to the 911 Dispatch Center- having this type of facility in our response area would potentially create a need for additional training for our staff members along with participating in regular exercises and training related to potential emergencies at the facility. For us that would become a budgetary item needing to add training funds to our annual budget for overtime, etc related to the additional training activity that would be necessary. I am not sure if there are any specific certifications that would be required by our staff.

Thanks, Wendi

Wendi Hess Communications Center Director/Accreditation Manager Woodbury County Communications PO Box 447 Sioux City, IA 51102 Office: 712-279-6268 whess@sioux-city.org

Aug 6 2024
Woodbury Co. Planing & Zoning
I Would like to say NO
To Any Nuclear Facilities in
Woodbury County. Nuclear Waste
And Malfunctions can be Catastrophic
Thank You
Derry Holden
105 D Street
Jerry Holder 105 D Street Sergeant Bluff IA 51054
DECEIVED
100 - 9 202
WOODURY COUNTY COMMUNITY & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

From: Sent: To: Subject: Bob Scott Monday, July 29, 2024 9:44 AM Daniel Priestley RE: Comments Requested Nuclear Energy in Woodbury County, Iowa

I am all four a nuke plant in the area south of town. I am also for wind energy and solar farms. The construction of a nuclear plant would be a boon to our local economy. Nuclear plants are so highly regulated that we should not have to do much locally.

From: Sent: To: Subject: Mark Nahra Friday, July 26, 2024 4:11 PM Daniel Priestley RE: Comments Requested Nuclear Energy in Woodbury County, Iowa

Follow Up Flag: Flag Status: Follow up Flagged

Dan,

I gave this some thought, but reserve the opportunity to add to these comments at a later date. See my preliminary thoughts in red.

Mark J. Nahra, P.E. Woodbury County Engineer 759 E. Frontage Road Moville, IA 51039 Phone: 712-873-3215 or 712-279-6484 Fax: 712-873-3235 Email: mnahra@woodburycountyiowa.gov From: Daniel Priestley <dpriestley@woodburycountyiowa.gov> Sent: Friday, July 26, 2024 10:16 AM To: Daniel Priestley <dpriestley@woodburycountyiowa.gov> Subject: Comments Requested Nuclear Energy in Woodbury County, Iowa Importance: High

Dear Woodbury County Stakeholder(s):

The Woodbury County Zoning Commission has been tasked to explore the addition of nuclear energy including modular nuclear technology as a potential land use to the Woodbury County Zoning Ordinance.

Currently, we are requesting your insights, perspective, and input on this matter. Your participation will play a crucial role in shaping the future zoning regulations pertaining to the potential siting of nuclear energy plants in our community. Specifically, we are seeking your comments and recommendations regarding the following aspects:

Appropriate Locations / Zoning District Designation(s):

Where do you believe nuclear energy facilities, including modular nuclear reactors, could be appropriately sited within the unincorporated areas of Woodbury County? I think the General industrial zoning areas are the best for these facilities with the exception of allowing such a facility to be located within a city's two mile jurisdictional area. Communities desiring to control their own power generation should be able to expand into the rural area from their current boundaries to their two mile jurisdictional limits. When we lived in Tipton, IA, we lived in a communities with communities' efforts to be energy self-sufficient.

1

- Which zoning districts do you think would be most suitable for accommodating nuclear energy facilities (General Industrial, Agricultural Preservation, etc.)? See map included. General industrial plus portions of districts bordering cities as noted above.
- Are there any specific considerations or criteria we should prioritize in these areas? On site
 security should be a priority to prevent sabotage to nuclear generation plants. I am not sure
 what this looks like as I sit here today, but feel it should be noted as a consideration in
 developing site selection standards. Additional concerns may include items like standoff
 from existing/future housing, distances from water or wastewater treatment facilities, land
 drainage characteristics, offsets from highways and public properties.

Impact Assessment:

What potential impacts (economic, environmental, social) do you foresee with the
introduction of nuclear energy facilities in our community? Less costly, clean energy for
county residents and our city dwellers. I don't see a downside to allowing nuclear to develop
within the county. I think public perception of the safety of such plants will be a huge issue for
entities seeking to develop nuclear power generation.

Regulatory Framework:

 Are there any specific regulatory frameworks or best practices from other jurisdictions that you believe we should consider when updating our zoning ordinance to include nuclear energy? Depending upon the size of the reactor and its construction needs, the county should require a Road agreement to assure restoration of county roads damaged by nuclear plant construction. The road agreement for wind generation can, and should be utilized, for nuclear plant construction to assure taxpayers aren't left holding the bag for energy plant construction. From: Sent: To: Subject: Meinen, Casey (MidAmerican) <Casey.Meinen@midamerican.com> Friday, July 26, 2024 10:33 AM Daniel Priestley RE: [INTERNET] Comments Requested Nuclear Energy in Woodbury County, Iowa

CAUTION: This email originated from OUTSIDE of the organization. Please verify the sender and use caution if the message contains any attachments, links, or requests for information as this person may NOT be who they claim. If you are asked for your username and password, please call WCICC and DO NOT ENTER any data. Daniel,

I have forwarded this to company Management for their input.

Have a great weekend.

Casey Meinen Lead, Electric Distribution Engineering

Casey.meinen@midamerican.com



From:	Bob Scott
Sent:	Wednesday, December 4, 2024 4:04 PM
To:	Daniel Priestley
Subject:	RE: Comments Requested Nuclear Energy in Woodbury County, Iowa
Subject.	Re. Comments Requested Nuclear Energy in Woodbury County, Iowa

Follow Up Flag: Flag Status: Follow up Flagged

I am speaking for myself but I am in favor of a small nuke plant in the area around Neal power plants. I think the investment and the long term affect on rates is worth the risk for these low level type plants. And I have a question if we annex land into the city, your zoning laws regarding solar farms cannot apply to land in the city can they?

From:		
Sent:		
Jent.		
To:		
Subject:		
subject.		

Bob Scott Friday, January 3, 2025 10:47 AM Daniel Priestley RE: Comments Requested Nuclear Energy in Woodbury County, Iowa

Follow Up Flag: Flag Status: RE: Comments Requested Nuclear Energy in Wo Follow up Flagged

Not thast the county will care what my opinion is but I would be supportive of a small nuke plant.

From: Sent: To: Subject: Diane Swoboda Peterson Monday, January 6, 2025 9:12 AM Daniel Priestley RE: Comments Requested Nuclear Energy in Woodbury County, Iowa

Follow Up Flag: Flag Status: Follow up Flagged

No comments

Diane Swoboda Peterson Woodbury County Real Estate/Recorder Deputy 620 Douglas Street; Room 106 Sioux City, Iowa 51101 (712)279-6528

From:	Kyle Gates
Sent:	Thursday, January 16, 2025 3:33 PM
To:	Daniel Priestley
Subject:	RE: Comments Requested Nuclear Energy in Woodbury County, Iowa

I fully support Nuclear Energy in Woodbury County and would feel quite safe with a modern reactor next door.

Items that come to mind:

- Setbacks for perimeter security
- Returning land to previous state after future decommissioning
- Possible collocation with industries for use of low cost/free waste heat (fertilizer production for example)
- Grid resilience/redundancy via distributed locations near end users providing baseload power
- If a lower cost per kwh is attainable, there is a potential for economic development

From:	ken bauer <kjbauer57@hotmail.com></kjbauer57@hotmail.com>
Sent:	Thursday, February 6, 2025 12:41 PM
To:	Daniel Priestley
Subject:	Nuclear energy in Woodbury county.
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up
Flag Status:	Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated from OUTSIDE of the organization. Please verify the sender and use caution if the message contains any attachments, links, or requests for information as this person may NOT be who they claim. If you are asked for your username and password, please call WCICC and DO NOT ENTER any data.

I believe nuclear energy would be very beneficial to our county. I worked at port neal for 26 years. I believe coal fired plants are second only to nuclear plants. The wind mills and solar only makes brookshirehathaway rich off tax payers back. The wind doesn't always blow and the sun doesn't always shine (especially at night). I believe it would be more economical than either and the landscape wouldn't be cluttered with old wind mills or solar panels. Ken Bauer, mayor of Correctionville.

From:	Christopher Madsen
Sent:	Thursday, March 6, 2025 3:09 PM
To:	Daniel Priestley
Subject:	RE: Nuclear Energy Public Hearing Notice – March 24, 2025: Nuclear Energy and Waste
	Storage Ordinance Amendments – Your Input Needed
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up
Flag Status:	Flagged

Good afternoon Dan,

It appears this has been updated to include nuclear waste storage which was not in the last email notice. Have you done any research on the process needed for a storage facility and other entities that would review (such as IDNR)?

If so would you send that over for us to look at?

Thanks!

Chris R. Madsen, AICP, CFM Senior Planner City of Sioux City Phone: 712.279.6341 Email: cmadsen@sioux-city.org 405 6th Street, Box 447 Sioux City IA 51102

From:
Sent:
To:
Subject:

Riesberg, Patty [HHS] <patty.riesberg@hhs.iowa.gov> Thursday, March 20, 2025 1:29 PM Daniel Priestley Regulation of Nuclear Energy and Storage

Follow Up Flag: Flag Status: Follow up Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated from OUTSIDE of the organization. Please verify the sender and use caution if the message contains any attachments, links, or requests for information as this person may NOT be who they claim. If you are asked for your username and password, please call WCICC and DO NOT ENTER any data.

Daniel,

Good afternoon. I am the Bureau Chief for the Bureau of Radiological Health with Iowa HHS. I received your inquiry below, forwarded from one of my team members, Stuart Jordan. I've included a response below to your question stated in the second paragraph. If you have any further questions, please feel free to reach out to me. Thank you.

Question: One of the questions I received from a stakeholder is how does the State of Iowa, including the Iowa DNR regulate both nuclear power plants, and the storage of nuclear waste?

All commercial nuclear power in the United States, which includes spent nuclear fuel, is regulated by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) through a combination of regulatory requirements, licensing, inspections and enforcement activities.

Considerations for nuclear energy facilities and nuclear waste storage should involve close coordination with the NRC to ensure regulatory compliance. Iowa regulate all other radioactive materials in the state of Iowa.

Iowa DNR does not play a regulatory role for nuclear power plants or the storage of waste.

From: Sent: To: Subject: Janet Krueger <kruegerjs@icloud.com> Monday, March 24, 2025 12:47 PM Daniel Priestley Comments for public hearing on nuclear zoning

CAUTION: This email originated from OUTSIDE of the organization. Please verify the sender and use caution if the message contains any attachments, links, or requests for information as this person may NOT be who they claim. If you are asked for your username and password, please call WCICC and DO NOT ENTER any data.

Re: Public hearing on zoning for nuclear-related items - comments

Mr. Priestley,

We believe ALL levels of zoning in Woodbury County should EXPRESSLY PROHIBIT any nuclear-related activities (including nuclear waste disposal). That way, if any entity wants to perform nuclear-related activities in the county, they would need to propose zoning changes that allow the public to weigh in on a particular usage. We do NOT want nuclear-related activities to "slip in" before they are expressly prohibited in our zoning ordinances.

1

Sincerely, Janet Krueger Randy Krueger 4862 Bradford Lane Sioux City, IA 51106

Sent from my iPhone

From: Sent: To: Subject: Attachments: Rick Plathe <rplathe@ibew231.com> Tuesday, April 1, 2025 10:27 AM Daniel Priestley Support Letter Northwest Iowa Building Trades NWIA Bulding Trades Zoning Letter.docx

Follow Up Flag: Flag Status: Follow up Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated from OUTSIDE of the organization. Please verify the sender and use caution if the message contains any attachments, links, or requests for information as this person may NOT be who they claim. If you are asked for your username and password, please call WCICC and DO NOT ENTER any data.

Dan

Please see the attached letter of support form all Unions affiliated with Northwest Iowa Building Trades for the rezoning of industrial land in Woodbury County to add Nuclear language. Please reach out ot me if you have any questions or concerns.

Thanks Rick Plathe

Business Manager IBEW Local 231 5001 Harbor Drive Sioux City, la 51111 (712) 255-8138



Craig Levine	President
712-202-3100	<u>clevine@ibew231.com</u>
Spenser Yockey	Vice President
712-294-4365	spencer@local234.org
Jose Montes	Recording Secretary
712-420-7680	jmontes@iwlocal21.com

Craig Levine - President PO Box 1051 Sioux City, IA, 51101 clevine@ibew231.com (712) 202-3100

March 14, 2025

Dan Priestley

Zoning Coordinator Office of Community & Economic Development 6220 Douglas St. Floor 6 Sioux City, IA 51101

Dear Sioux City Zoning Commission,

I hope this letter finds you well. I am writing to show our support for an important initiative in our community—the rezoning of industrial space to allow for nuclear energy production. As energy demands continue to rise and the need for a cleaner, more sustainable source of power becomes increasingly urgent, it is essential that we explore all viable options for securing our energy future. Nuclear energy, with its proven track record of providing reliable, low-carbon electricity, is a critical component of this transition.

Currently, many industrial areas are underutilized, and repurposing these spaces for nuclear energy production, including small modular reactors, presents an opportunity to drive economic growth, create jobs, and contribute to a greener energy portfolio in Woodbury County. By rezoning these areas, we can ensure that nuclear energy can be integrated into our community in a safe, environmentally responsible manner.

The benefits of nuclear energy extend far beyond just providing a reliable energy source. It can help us reduce our carbon footprint and mitigate the impacts of climate change, all while strengthening local economies through job creation, technological innovation, and new infrastructure development. Furthermore, by supporting this initiative, we would be aligning ourselves with forward-thinking policies that prioritize sustainable energy solutions for future generations.

We believe that our organization's influence and commitment to [community/environmental issues/sustainable growth/innovation] would be a powerful voice in advocating for this rezoning

initiative. With your support, we can help ensure that the benefits of nuclear energy are realized while addressing our community's needs for economic development, energy security, and environmental responsibility.

I kindly ask for you to record our endorsement of this effort and would be happy to discuss the details further at your convenience. Together, we can take meaningful steps toward a cleaner, more sustainable energy future for our community.

Thank you for your time and consideration. I look forward to the possibility of working together to make this initiative a reality.

Sincerely, Craig Levine - President, Northwest Iowa Building Trades

Rick Plathe - Business Manager, IBEW Local 231

Jose Montes - Business Representative, Iron Workers Local 21

John Hanson - Business Manager, Heat and Frost Insulators Local 39

Dean Bradham - Organizer, Plumber and Steamfitters UA Local 33

Spencer Yockey - Business Representative, Operating Engineers Local 234

Terry Victor - Business Representative, SMART local 3

Bob Briley - Business Manager, Bricklayers Local 3

Tom Dye - Vice President, Boilermakers Local 83

From: Sent: To: Subject: Craig ANDERSON < craignan@msn.com > Friday, May 2, 2025 2:14 PM Daniel Priestley Re: Comments Requested Nuclear Energy Facilities and Nuclear Waste Storage

Follow Up Flag: Flag Status: Follow up Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated from OUTSIDE of the organization. Please verify the sender and use caution if the message contains any attachments, links, or requests for information as this person may NOT be who they claim. If you are asked for your username and password, please call WCICC and DO NOT ENTER any data.

From: Daniel Priestley <dpriestley@woodburycountyiowa.gov> Sent: Friday, May 2, 2025 12:49 PM To: Daniel Priestley <dpriestley@woodburycountyiowa.gov>

Subject: Comments Requested Nuclear Energy Facilities and Nuclear Waste Storage

Dear Woodbury County Stakeholders,

The Woodbury County Zoning Commission is continuing its review of nuclear energy facilities and nuclear waste storage, considering the potential addition of these uses to the Woodbury County Zoning Ordinance as conditional uses, specifically in areas zoned as General Industrial (GI) – (see map below). The next public hearing will be on Wednesday, May 28 at 5:00 PM in the basement of the Woodbury County County Courthouse, 620 Douglas Street, Sioux City, Iowa.

We value your continued input as we evaluate nuclear energy facilities and nuclear waste storage (see draft definitions below). To help us better understand your perspectives and concerns, we kindly request your feedback by responding to the following questions on or before **Friday**, **May 23**, **2025 before 10:00 AM CDT**.

- 1. What specific concerns, if any, do you have regarding the safety of nuclear energy facilities or nuclear waste storage in the unincorporated areas of Woodbury County, and how do you believe these concerns could be addressed through the conditional use process? While I believe the nuclear energy and nuclear waste facilities are safe; when something goes wrong it is a very serious situation. It is very much "a not in my backyard" issue. I am not sure that the BoA, as a board of appointed citizens, have the expertise or experience or the desire to be the ones that make the conditions that satisfy all the safety concerns.
- 2. What potential impacts, positive or negative, do you foresee on surrounding agricultural, residential, or commercial areas if nuclear facilities and nuclear waste storage are permitted in the GI Zoning District? The positive impacts are economic; it will provide clean energy and jobs. The negative; no one wants to be the neighbor. Safety issues are high on the list of negatives. Even in the GI district; I think most of the agricultural, residential, and commercial neighbors would rather have something else. The thought of a nuclear energy mishap would have a negative effect on residential and commercial expansion.
- How do you view the balance between energy solutions and preserving Woodbury County's
 agricultural and environmental priorities? Are there specific safeguards you would recommend to

maintain this balance? I think in Iowa land use should tip in favor of agricultural. Prime farmland should be preserved. Many of the so-called clean energy sources would fail without the tax credit incentives. Looking at the reactions of the citizens of this area to wind energy and the carbon pipeline, I would think that the majority would not want this industry in the county.

4. Do you have any additional comments, concerns, suggestions, or questions about nuclear energy facilities or nuclear waste storage?

Please submit your responses by Friday, May 23, 2025 before 10:00 AM CDT to

dpriestley@woodburycountyiowa.gov. Your feedback will be shared with the Woodbury County Zoning Commission and Board of Supervisors to inform their decision-making process.

Thank you for your time and engagement in shaping the future of Woodbury County.

DRAFT DEFINITIONS:

"Nuclear energy facility" means any facility designed or used for the generation of electricity or power through nuclear fission or fusion, including nuclear reactors and associated structures, systems, or components necessary for the production of atomic energy, as well as the handling, processing, or temporary storage of nuclear materials or byproduct materials, all in compliance with federal and state regulatory requirements as administered by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) or its duly authorized representatives.

"Nuclear waste storage" means any facility, structure, or area designated and engineered for the safe containment, isolation, or disposal of byproduct material, special nuclear material, or other radioactive materials generated from nuclear energy facilities, including temporary or permanent storage solutions, provided such storage complies with federal regulations under 10 CFR Part 50 and related parts, and is subject to oversight by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) to protect public health, safety, and the common defense and security.



2

Zoning Map of Woodbury County, Iowa

Sincerely,

Daniel J. Priestley, MPA Woodbury County Zoning Coordinator 620 Douglas Street #609 Sioux City, IA 51101

Phone: 712-279-6609 Fax: 712-279-6530 Website: WoodburyCountylowa.gov

Virus-free.<u>www.avq.com</u>

From:	Bryan Bergeon < Bryan.Bergeon@nrc.gov>
Sent:	Monday, May 5, 2025 10:39 AM
To:	Daniel Priestley
Subject:	RE Nuclear Energy Woodbury County Zoning

CAUTION: This email originated from OUTSIDE of the organization. Please verify the sender and use caution if the message contains any attachments, links, or requests for information as this person may NOT be who they claim. If you are asked for your username and password, please call WCICC and DO NOT ENTER any data. Hi Daniel.

The NRC is a Regulator of civilian use of nuclear materials and does not advocate for the use thereof. There is a definitive line between what the NRC does and what other agencies do, like Department of Energy. The NRC's <u>Principals of Good Regulation</u> starts with independence. This means the NRC is not a consulting organization - individual applicants must offer proposed design-specific methods or design changes to meet the NRC's regulations. The NRC-approved methods by which an applicant can meet the regulations are published in regulatory guides, interim staff guidance, and (in limited cases) NUREGs. Applicants may ask whether a design component or design-specific method meets the regulations in various ways, such as utilizing public meetings and submitting white papers for review. The NRC's response will be publicly available unless the underlying information is protected due to proprietary or other sensitive aspects governed by the regulations in 10 CFR 2.390. (See more on this: https://www.nrc.gov/reactors/new-reactors/advanced/new-app/general-info/independent-regulator.html)

The NRC's **Mission** is to protect public health and safety and advances the nation's common defense and security by enabling the safe and secure use and deployment of civilian nuclear energy technologies and radioactive materials through efficient and reliable licensing, oversight, and regulation for the benefit of society and the environment. The

NRC's regulatory mission covers three main areas:

Reactors – Commercial reactors for generating electric power and research and test reactors used for research, testing, and training

Materials – Uses of nuclear materials in medical, industrial, and academic settings and facilities that produce nuclear fuel

Waste – Transportation, storage, and disposal of nuclear materials and waste, and decommissioning of nuclear facilities from service

The NRC accomplishes the mission through **Laws and Regulation.** New nuclear reactor licensing is a complex, multiyear process governed by both federal laws passed by the U.S. Congress and regulations developed by the NRC. The <u>Federal laws governing the NRC</u> generally have high-level directives for the civilian use of nuclear materials. The finer details of reactor licensing and other civilian uses are found in <u>Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations</u> (10 CFR). The NRC develops and issues these regulations for all areas under its jurisdiction. All U.S. civilian uses of materials must comply with federal laws and the regulations in 10 CFR. (See more on this: https://www.nrc.gov/reactors/newreactors/advanced/new-app/general-info/law-reg.html)

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) "New Applicant" web page: (https://www.nrc.gov/reactors/new-

reactors/advanced/new-app/general-info.html) is the starting point for prospective applicants. Prospective applicants should come to the NRC with a specific reactor technology, license class, regulatory approach, business model (to help correctly guide the licensing process), and a project timeline. With this information, the prospective applicant should file a letter of intent (i.e. a design). https://www.nrc.gov/reactors/new-reactors/advanced/new-app/general-guidance/pre-app-process.html. From there, the NRC assigns a lead project manager after a potential applicant begins engaging with the agency; this person will be the main point of contact between the NRC and applicant. The project manager will guide the applicant through the regulatory process and will be there to answer questions, organize meetings, and facilitate all communications with other NRC staff. (See more on this: https://www.nrc.gov/reactors/new-reactors/advanced/new-app/general-guide.https://www.nrc.gov/reactors/new-reactors/new-reactors.html)

The Department of Energy's Office of Nuclear Energy mission is to promote the domestic use of nuclear energy and supports advanced reactor developers. The DOE has a Gateway for Accelerated Innovation in Nuclear (GAIN). https://gain.inl.gov/industry-support/regulatory-support/regulatory-route-to-deployment/ This helps applicants understand the current U.S. regulatory framework for commercial reactors. The series also facilitates nuclear industry efforts to identify and address regulatory uncertainties and associated deployment risks (technical, financial, schedule). Essentially, the DOE is who will advocate for and help potential licensees pursue projects, including even potential funding thereof.

The NRC also regulates waste storage. Low-Level waste includes items that have become contaminated with radioactive material or have become radioactive through exposure to neutron radiation and is typically stored on-site until it can be disposed of or until amounts are large enough to ship to low-level waste processing centers. High-level wastes are highly radioactive materials produced as a byproduct of the reactions that occur inside nuclear reactors; spent reactor fuel and waste materials remaining after spent fuel is processed. Commercial reprocessing is currently not practiced in the United States, although it has been allowed in the past. The NRC regulates high level wastes in spent fuel pools and dry cask storage, at independent spent fuel storage installations (ISFSI) and consolidated interim storage facilities (CISF). Note, these CISF's at different than permanent storage facilities such as Yucca Mountain, which is under DOE jurisdiction. The DOE, Office of Spent Fuel and High-Level Waste Disposition and its three subprogram offices: the Office of Disposal R&D, the Office of Storage & Transportation, and the Office of Consent-Based Siting, are developing an Integrated Waste Management system for storage, transportation, and disposal of spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste. Learn more. The DOE Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) is the nation's only deep geologic long-lived radioactive waste repository. Located 26 miles southeast of Carlsbad, New Mexico, WIPP permanently isolates defense-generated transuranic (TRU) waste 2,150 feet underground in an ancient salt formation. (See more on this: https://wipp.energy.gov/wipp-site.asp and https://www.energy.gov/ne/office-spent-fuel-and-highlevel-waste-disposition).

With regards to nuclear materials (not including nuclear waste, as discussed above), the NRC essentially turns its jurisdiction over to the states, via a program called the **Agreement State Program https://www.nrc.gov/agreement-states.html**. NRC provides assistance to States expressing interest in establishing programs to assume NRC regulatory authority. The NRC relinquishes to the States portions of its regulatory authority to license and regulate byproduct materials (radioisotopes); source materials (uranium and thorium); and certain quantities of special nuclear materials. Iowa, for example, is an agreement state with respect to nuclear materials.

Bryan Bergeon Acting Region III Government Liaison Officer U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Bryan.bergeon@nrc.gov 630-829-9719 (w)

240-704-5879 (c)

From: Daniel Priestley <dpriestley@woodburycountyiowa.gov> Sent: Monday, April 28, 2025 10:53 AM To: Bryan Bergeon <Bryan.Bergeon@nrc.gov> Subject: [External_Sender] Nuclear Energy Woodbury County Zoning

Mr. Bergeon,

This message is a follow up from our March 25 conversation regarding nuclear energy and county level permitting as Woodbury County, Iowa is currently examining nuclear energy as a potential land use.

As noted in our conversation, we are interested in the various aspects of the NRC permitting process, including nuclear types, definitions, and potential permitting scenarios. At this time, I wanted to check and see if you have additional information that we can include in our upcoming meetings not limited to nuclear definitions and types of nuclear. Additionally, we would like more details about the relationship between federal, state, and local government

bodies as it pertains to nuclear permitting. It was my understanding that some resources would be made available to us.

Thank you for your assistance with this matter.

Respectfully and sincerely,

Daniel J. Priestley, MPA Woodbury County Zoning Coordinator 620 Douglas Street #609 Sioux City, IA 51101

Phone: 712-279-6609 Fax: 712-279-6530 Website: WoodburyCountylowa.gov

From:	Meinen, Casey (MidAmerican) <casey.meinen@midamerican.com></casey.meinen@midamerican.com>
Sent:	Monday, June 9, 2025 8:01 AM
To:	Daniel Priestley
Subject:	RE: [INTERNET] Comments Requested Accessory Dwelling Units and Nuclear Energy (Zoning Commission, June 23 at 5:00 PM)
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up
Flag Status:	Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated from OUTSIDE of the organization. Please verify the sender and use caution if the message contains any attachments, links, or requests for information as this person may NOT be who they claim. If you are asked for your username and password, please call WCICC and DO NOT ENTER any data. Dan,

I have reviewed the following proposed zoning amendments for MEC electric and we have; no conflicts.

Psychology is more contagious than the flu.

Casey Meinen Lead, Electric Distribution Engineering <u>Casey.meinen@midamerican.com</u> Phone (712-233-4831)





BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' DIRECTION

WOODBURY COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AGENDA ITEM(S) REQUEST FORM

ELECTED OFFICIAL / D	EPARTMENT HEAD / CITIZEN: Supervisor Keith Radig
WORDING FOR AGEND	A ITEM:
Motion to direct the	e Zoning Commission to look at the zoning of nuclear energy.
	ACTION REQUIRED:
Approve Ordinance	Approve Resolution Approve Motion
Public Hearing	Other: Informational D Attachments D
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:	
s directs the Zoning (odbury County.	Commission to look at the zoning of nuclear energy as a potential energy option
BACKGROUND	
Zoning Commission	shall explore the zoning potential of nuclear energy as a potential option
FINANCIAL IMPACT:	
FINANCIAL IMPACT:	
IF THERE IS A CONTRAC	T INVOLVED IN THE AGENDA ITEM, HAS THE CONTRACT BEEN SUBMITTED AT LEAST ONE WER
IF THERE IS A CONTRAC	WITH A REVIEW BY THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE?
IF THERE IS A CONTRAC PRIOR AND ANSWERED	WITH A REVIEW BY THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE?
IF THERE IS A CONTRAC PRIOR AND ANSWERED Yes D No D RECOMMENDATION:	WITH A REVIEW BY THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE?
IF THERE IS A CONTRAC PRIOR AND ANSWERED Yes D No D RECOMMENDATION:	WITH A REVIEW BY THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE?
IF THERE IS A CONTRAC PRIOR AND ANSWERED Yes D No D RECOMMENDATION:	WITH A REVIEW BY THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE?
IF THERE IS A CONTRAC PRIOR AND ANSWERED Yes No RECOMMENDATION: rove the motion.	WITH A REVIEW BY THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE?

Public Hearing Public Notifications in Newspapers:

Danbury Review - 9/18/24, 1/8/25, 2/12/25, 3/12/25, 5/14/25, 6/11/25

Moville Record – 9/18/24, 1/9/25, 2/12/25, 3/13/25, 5/15/25, 6/12/25

Sergeant Bluff Advocate - 9/12/24, 1/9/25, 1/12/25, 3/13/25, 5/15/25, 6/12/25

Sioux City Journal – 9/12/24, 1/11/25, 2/11/25, 3/13/25, 5/13/25, 6/12/25

Sioux City Journal AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

Sioux City Journal 2802 Castles Gate Drive Sioux City 51106 (712) 293-4250		NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARINGS EFFORT THE WOODBURY CONFORT THE WOODBURY The Woodbury County Sometics with have a public neeting and with hold public hearings on the bollwing items is executer as- sorbed in oracial on Septement 23, 2024 at 600 More as count housing a single market in the Board Dubin Henrings will be relatin the Board Oligonization relating and the bacement of the Woodbury County Countroller, 600 and Dubin Henrings will be relatin the Board of Supervisor's more to coamnout at the other and Dupine Street Source One Countroller, 600 and Theor say more to coamnout at the Other and Dubine Development of the Bit Piber of all ourthouse by any intercedo persons. All per rays who wich to be heard in frequed to these
State of Florida, County of Orange, ss: Kevin King, being first duly sworn, deposes and says: That (s)he is a duly authorized signatory of Column Software, PBC, duly authorized agent of Sioux City Journal, printed and published by Journal Communications, in Sioux City in Woodbury County and issued daily and Sunday and that this affidavit is Page 1 of 2 with the full text of the sworn-to notice set forth on the pages that follow, and the hereto attached:		matters invalid append if the subsetsion guose internet in the subsetsion of the subsetsion guose there is the constrained bit is subset if process as there is the populative is subsetsion in process as there is the populative is subsetsion of the sub- term of the populative is the subsetsion of the sub- term of the populative is the subsetsion of the sub- setsion of the subsetsion of the subsetsion of the subsetsion of the subsetsion of the sub- setsion of the subsetsion of the sub- term of the subsetsion of the subsetsion of the subsetsion of the subsetsion of the sub- term of the subsetsion of the subsetsion of the subsetsion of the subsetsion of the subsetsion of the subsetsion of the subsetsion of the subsetsion of the subsetsion of the subsetsion of the subsetsion of the subsetsion of the subset of the subsetsion of the subsetsion of the subset
PUBLICATION DATES: Sep. 12, 2024 NOTICE ID: UtDJi9El2Lg4DH520f4c PUBLISHER ID: COL-IA-500805 NOTICE NAME: ZC_Nuclear_Rezone_9_23_2024 Publication Fee: \$74.81		nearing via frozide copios of novi to appoars the primiting or induse neary. Prestine gators may induce to amend the Land Use copios and the land Use of the land Use copios and the land Use of the land Use or continuos uses are any and the land Use are eregly boilities as permitted allower uses are eregly boilities as permitted allower uses then to the advance of the temperature tem to the advance of the temperature temperature temperature of the temperature temperature temperature of the temperature temperature temperature of the temperature temperature of the temperature temperature temperature temperature of the temperature temperature temperature of temperature temperature temperature of temperature temperature temperature (temperature) temperature temperature temperature temperature (temperature) temperature
VERIFICATION State of Florida County of Orange Subscribed in my presence and sworn to before me on this: 09/13/202 Manual	e of Florida 186700 r 14, 2025	11 Have pottor of the property topical 11 Have pottor of the property topical 11 Have pottors and the locary of 12 Millionatory Tawnship in the Cathyr of 12 Millionatory Acthyrodiatory Cathyr of 13 Millionatory Acthyrodiatory Cathyrodiatory
Notary Public Notarized remotely online using communication technology via	Proof.	1997 Carroll Avenue, Seigeant Bluff, 1A 51054

ZC_Nuclear_Rezone_9_23_2024 - Page 1 of 2

& Jimmie Lee Colyer & Renee T. Colyer (Owners), 1650 Old Highway 141, Sergeant Bluff, IA 51054. Petitioner Applicant(s): Sandra K. Baker Pevocable Tust (Sandra K. Baker - Applicant), 197 Carroll Avenue, Sergeant Bluff, IA 51054 & Jimmie Lee Colyer & Renee T. Colyer (Owners), 1650 Od Highway 141, Sargeant Bluff, IA 51054. OOL-IA-500805

Sioux City Journal AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

Sioux City Journal 2802 Castles Gate Drive Sioux City 51106 (712) 293-4250

State of Florida, County of Broward, ss:

Rachel Cozart, being first duly sworn, deposes and says: That (s)he is a duly authorized signatory of Column Software, PBC, duly authorized agent of Sioux City Journal, printed and published by Journal Communications, in Sioux City in Woodbury County and issued daily and Sunday and that this affidavit is Page 1 of 1 with the full text of the sworn-to notice set forth on the pages that follow, and the hereto attached:

PUBLICATION DATES: Jan. 11, 2025

NOTICE ID: S8SDAh6euS0RWeBuKOhc PUBLISHER ID: COL-IA-501246 NOTICE NAME: 24-1-27_ZC_Nuclear_Dwelling_Dimension Publication Fee: \$61.57

Rachel Cozart

VERIFICATION

(Signed)_

SHERI SMITH Notary Public - State of Florida Commission # HH28383 Expires on May 31, 2226

State of Florida County of Broward

Subscribed in my presence and sworn to before me on this: 01/13/2025

Notary Public Notarized remotely online using communication technology via Proof.

Sioux City Journal AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

Sioux City Journal 2802 Castles Gate Drive Sioux City 51106 (712) 293-4250

State of Florida, County of Orange, ss:

Bailee Liston, being first duly sworn, deposes and says: That (s)he is a duly authorized signatory of Column Software, PBC, duly authorized agent of Sioux City Journal, printed and published by Journal Communications, in Sioux City in Woodbury County and issued daily and Sunday and that this affidavit is Page 1 of 1 with the full text of the sworn-to notice set forth on the pages that follow, and the hereto attached:

PUBLICATION DATES: Feb. 11, 2025

NOTICE ID: yNjuXD6R9qNMPencb3Xe PUBLISHER ID: COL-IA-501389 NOTICE NAME: ZC-2025-2-24 Publication Fee: \$41.71

Bailee Liston

VERIFICATION

(Signed)

JESSICA CORDON-THOMPSON Notary Public - State of Florida Commission & Hetzetse Expires on August 17, 2028

State of Florida County of Orange

Subscribed in my presence and sworn to before me on this: 02/18/2025

り

Notary Public Notarized remotely online using communication technology via Proof.

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING
BEFORE THE WOODBURY
COUNTY ZONING COMMISSION
REGARDING THE ADDITION OF
NUCLEAR ENERGY FACILITIES
IN THE WOODBURY COUNTY
ZONING ODDINANCE

ZONING ORDINANCE The Woodbury County Zoning Commission will have a meeting and will hold a public hearing on the following item hereafter described in detail on February 24, 2025 at 5:00 PM or as soon thereafter as the matter may be considered.

Said public hearing will be held in the Board of Supervisors' meeting room in the basement of the Woodbury Courtly Courthouse, 620 Douglas Street, Stoux City, Iowa. Copies of said item may now be examined at the office of the Woodbury Courtly Community and Economic Development, on the 6th Floor of said courthouse by any interested persons. All persons who wish to be heard in respect to the matter should appear at the aforesaid public hearings in person or call: 712-454-1138 and enter the Conference ID: 638.066.537# during the meeting to listen or comment. However, it is recommended to attend in person as there is the possibility for technical difficulties with phone and computer systems. You may forward your written comments by mail or email to: Woodbury County Community and Economic Development, 6th Floor, Woodbury County Courthouse, 620 Douglas SL, Sloux City, IA 51101; Emails should be sent to Daniel Presitiey at dpriestley Woodburywoodburycounty/owa. gov. Only signed comments will be considered and should be received no later than 10:00 AM on Fril, Feb. 21, 2025.

guy. Only signed comments will be considered and should be received no later than 10:00 AM on Fri., Feb. 21, 2025. Item One (1) NUCLEAR ENERGY FACILITIES ZONING ORDINANCE TEXT AMENDMENT CONSIDERATION

The Woodbury County Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing to discuss the potential indusion of Nuclear Energy Realities as a land use option in the Woodbury County Zoning Ordinance. The hearing will address various aspects of permitting nuclear energy facilities, including but not limited to nuclear energy generation, modular nuclear energy systems, and other nuclear technologies. The Commission will consider options such as amending the Land Use Summary Table of Allowed Uses (Section 3.03.4) to designate nuclear Energy Facilities as either an allowed or conditional use in all or specific zoning distinds within Woodbury County. Additionally, discussions related to nuclear energy faciities, update definitions, and renumber and/ or reorganize the content of the Zoning Ordinance as necessary. COL-1A-501389
Sioux City Journal **AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION**

Sioux City Journal 2802 Castles Gate Drive Sioux City 51106 (712) 293-4250

State of Florida, County of Broward, ss:

India Johnston, being first duly sworn, deposes and says: That (s)he is a duly authorized signatory of Column Software, PBC, duly authorized agent of Sioux City Journal, printed and published by Journal Communications, in Sioux City in Woodbury County and issued daily and Sunday and that this affidavit is Page 1 of 1 with the full text of the sworn-to notice set forth on the pages that follow, and the hereto attached:

PUBLICATION DATES: Mar. 13, 2025

NOTICE ID: V8vvwz7PwzxxxLzSJ6G9 PUBLISHER ID: COL-IA-501513 NOTICE NAME: ZC_3-24-25_Nuclear Publication Fee: \$51.64

India Johnston

(Signed)



VERIFICATION

State of Florida County of Broward

Subscribed in my presence and sworn to before me on this: 03/14/2025

Smith

Notary Public Notarized remotely online using communication technology via Proof.

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING	
BEFORE THE WOODBURY	
COUNTY ZONING COMMISSION	
REGARDING THE ADDITION OF	
NUCLEAR ENERGY FACILITIES,	
NUCLEAR WASTE STORAGE	
AND/OR RELATED USES IN THE	
WOODBURY COUNTY ZONING	

ORDINANCE The Woodbury County Zoning Commission will have a meeting and will hold a public hear-ing on the following item hereafter described in detail on March 24, 2025 at 5:00 PM or as soon thereafter as the matter may be consid-

ered. Said public hearing will be held in the Board Saio public hearing will be held in the Board of Supervisors' meeting room in the basement of the Woodbury Courty Conthouse, 820 Douglas Street, Soux City, Iowa. Copies of said item may now be examined at the office of the Woodbury County Community and Eco-nomic Development, on the 61 Floor of said courthouse by any inferested persons. All per-sons who with to be heard in respect to the matter should appear at the aforesaid public hearings in person or cat. 77:42454-1138 and enter the Conference IIC:888.086.5374 during the meeting to listen or comment. However, it is recommended to attend in person as three is the possibility for technical difficulties with phore and computer systems. You may torward your written comments by mail or gmail to. Woodbury County Community and the system store and the systems. The system of the system of the setting to a computer systems. You may torward your written comments by mail or gmail to. Woodbury County Community and the system store and the system. System of the system of the system system. System of the system of the system of the system system. System of the system of the system of the system of the system. System of the system of the system of the system of the system. System of the system of the system of the system of the system. System of the system of the system of the system of the system. System of the system of the system of the system of the system. System of the system. System of the system of Nutripione and oblights systems. Tool may torward your witten comments by mail or email to. Woodbury County Community and Economic Development, Oth Thoor, Woodbury County County Cauthouse, 820 Douglas S., Sioux City, IA51101; Emails should be senit to Daniel Priestey at opneateg/ Wwoodburycountylowa. gov. Only signed comments will be consid-ered and should be received no later than 1000 AM on Film, Mar. 21, 226. Item One (1) Consider Text Amenometry Sort A Age ANDOR RELATED USES ZONING ORDINANCE TEXT AMENDMENTS FOR A Recommendation County County County County County Bookan Of Supervisions The Woodbury County Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing to discuss the po-tential inclusion of nuclear energy facilities, nuclear weate storage, and/or reliated uses a band use anothere fine Monthury County

tential inclusion of nucléar energy facilities, nuclear wates storage, arriver reside uses as lard use options in the Woodbury County Zoning Ordinance. The hearing will address varous aspects of permitting these facilities, including nuclear energy generation, moultar nuclear energy systems, nuclear waste stor-age, and other netated nuclear leonnologies. The Commission will consider options such as amenting the Land Use Summay Table of Allowed Uses (Bacton 3.13.4) to desig-nate nuclear energy facilities, nuclear waste storage and/or related uses as either allowed or conditional uses in specific zoning detribut Intel Indead energy fadilities, fuddat waste scharge and/or related uses as either allowed or conditional uses in specific acoing districts such as the General industrial (61) Zoning Dis-trict within Woodbury Oounty, Additionally, the discussions near value scharge and/or related uses, update definitions, renumber articles, sections, and pages, and/or rengnaize the condentol the Zoning Ordinance as necessary. The goal of the hearing is to gather public input, and determine the appropriate way to address the potential induston of nuclear-related land uses in the Woodbury County Zoning Ord-nance interns of peparing a recommendation COL-IA-SD1513

Sioux City Journal AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

Sioux City Journal 2802 Castles Gate Drive Sioux City 51106 (712) 293-4250

State of Florida, County of Broward, ss:

Ankit Sachdeva, being first duly sworn, deposes and says: That (s)he is a duly authorized signatory of Column Software, PBC, duly authorized agent of Sioux City Journal, printed and published by Journal Communications, in Sioux City in Woodbury County and issued daily and Sunday and that this affidavit is Page 1 of 2 with the full text of the sworn-to notice set forth on the pages that follow, and the hereto attached:

PUBLICATION DATES: May. 13, 2025

NOTICE ID: dkZ1OAd0Y6egfblwzYPC PUBLISHER ID: COL-IA-501790 NOTICE NAME: ZC-2025-05-28 Publication Fee: \$117.84

Ankit Sachdeva

(Signed)__

SHEFII SMITH Notary Public - State of Florida Commission // HH28635 Expires on May 31, 2026

VERIFICATION

State of Florida County of Broward

Subscribed in my presence and sworn to before me on this: 05/20/2025

Notary Public Notarized remotely online using communication technology via Proof.

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARINGS DURING A DEFICIAL PUBLIC MEETING BEFORE THE WOODBURY COUNTY COMING COMMISSION The WOODBURY COUNTY COMING PUBLICAL DEFICIENT COMING COMMISSION The Woodbury County Coming and Coming and the Medical Coming Public have apped to be retering arow in Con-public having on the tolowing laws that are and an any and coming and the tolowing and public hearing on the tolowing laws that are and the tolowing with the Heat of the Company of the tolowing laws that are and the tolowing with the Heat of the Company of the tolowing laws that for-mouth the asymptotic the the coming of the Working Young Young With Heat on the tolowing and the Working Young Young With Heat on the tolowing the Working Young Young With Heat on the tolowing common the tolowing the second to the matter should appear at the alteret of the matter is bound appear at the alteret of the the Working Young Young Young With Heat on the tolowing Young Young Young Young Young Young Young and the Working Young You are cammission will consider options such as amending the Land Use Summary Table of Allowed Uses (Section 3.03.4) to desig nate nuclear energy facilities, nuclear water Of Allowed Uses (Section 3.0.4) to designate nuclear energy facilities, nuclear waste storage and/or related uses as either allower or conditional uses in specific zoning district such as the General Industrial (GI) Zoning Dis or continuonal uses in spector coming distocts such as the decrem licenstrain (d) 20xing Dis-solution to the second second second second second decrementation of the second and second To the web conservations bunch be The Woodby County Zonne Commission will hold a public hearing to consider a pro-paced anervinent horized 3, Rection 3.3.4 of the Moodbury County Zonne County County The proposed anervinent horized to test the to enarge the castification of "Borrow pils to entry material toma a promoteciuse to a conditional use inthe Agricultura Estates (AB) zoning Distats, Specificati, the amendment would guodate the table by regooning the specification of the table by regooning the table in the Agricultura specification of the table of the table by regooning the table by regooning the table of the table by regooning the table by regooning the table of the table by regooning the table by regooning the table of the table by regooning the table by regooning the table of the table by regooning the table by regooning the table of table by regooning the table by regooning the table of table by regooning the table by regooning the table of table by regooning the table by regooning the table of table by regooning the table by regooning the table of table by regooning the table by regooning the table of table by regooning the table by regooning the table of table by regooning the table by regooning the table of table by regooning the table by regooning the table of table by regooning the table by regooning the table of table by regooning the table by regooning the table of table by regooning the table by regooning the table of table by regooning the table by regooning the table of table by regooning the table by regooning the table of table of table by regooning the table by regooning the table of table of table by r unr juis ou teath materials." Imm Three (3) ZONING GROIN-ANCE MAR AMENDMENT Pursuant to Section 355 of the Code of lowa. The Woodbury County Zoning Commission will did a public hearing to consider the applica-tion for a zoning ordinance map amengment (rezone) to the Woodbury County county Zoning Cadnance and/or May for the Unincoprad-ed Area of Woodbury County one by thew

perative, Inc., 2828 1st Ave. South, Fort Dodge, The proposal is to recone from the Agricultural Preservation (AP) Zoning District to the General Automatical Control (AP) Control (AP) Control (AP) of Sector 29, TB6N R48W (Scan Township) in property is known as GIS Parelle R48K (Scan Township) in a courty of Woodbury and State of Iowa. The property is known as GIS Parelle R48K (Scan Township) in a courty of Woodbury and State of Iowa. The sector Twenty-Inie (29), Township Eight-seck (R6) North, Range Forty-sik (R6) Works (Scan Township) in a courty of Woodbury and State of Iowa. The property is known as GIS Parelle R48K (Scan Township) and is decorbed as: WIC TRACT One (1) In the Southwest Ouarier (SW14) of the Southwest Ouarier (SW14) of parel Mandian, Woodbury County, Iowa, more par-ticularly described by meles and bounds as tollows: Commencing at the Southwest Corner of a saf Section, Themos North Eight-eight Degrees Twenty hundred the QU000's to the Point of Beginning thrive entry Seconds (N 627738) West , a dis-forty-one Hundred Twenty-Trady West, a dis-forty-one Hundred Twenty-Trady West, a dis-forty-one Hundred Twenty-Trady West, a dis-forty-one Hundred Twenty-Trady West at al-lay of the Southwest Quarter (SW14) the North invest Quarter (SW14), three North First-eight Scands (N 627738) West at al-forty-one Hundred Twenty-Twe Beodres (T) and Southwest Quarter (SW14) the South-est (SM16) to the West (Int e) at a so of and of party-one Hundred Twenty-Twe and Thirty express First-Woodbury Count (SM14) the South-south Southwest Quarter (SW14), three and Thirty express First-Woodbury Count (SM14) and the particle R297 to the Woodbury Count (SM24) the part of the Woodbury Count (SM24), threes South South South South Scands South South South espress (SM15), three South South South South South south and the south First Howas (SM25) (SM25) South First Howas (SM25), threes South Annor Submistor to Woodbury, Count, and the South First Howas (SM25),

Sioux City Journal AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

Sioux City Journal 2802 Castles Gate Drive Sioux City 51106 (712) 293-4250

State of Florida, County of Broward, ss:

Rachel Cozart, being first duly sworn, deposes and says: That (s)he is a duly authorized signatory of Column Software, PBC, duly authorized agent of Sioux City Journal, printed and published by Journal Communications, in Sioux City in Woodbury County and issued daily and Sunday and that this affidavit is Page 1 of 1 with the full text of the sworn-to notice set forth on the pages that follow, and the hereto attached:

PUBLICATION DATES: Jun. 12, 2025

NOTICE ID: TxNstLTD2qW0kCyrF41b PUBLISHER ID: COL-IA-501906 NOTICE NAME: ZC-6-23-25-NUCLEAR-ADUS Publication Fee: \$65.54

Rachel Cozart (Signed)__

VERIFICATION

SHERI SMITH Notary Public - State of Florida

Commission # HH268383 Expires on May 31, 2026

State of Florida County of Broward

Subscribed in my presence and sworn to before me on this: 06/13/2025

Notary Public Notarized remotely online using communication technology via Proof.

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARINGS BEFORE THE WOODBURY COUNTY ZONING COMINESION REGARDING THE CONSIDERATION OF ZONING OFDINANCE TEXT AMENDIMENTS ENERGY FACILITIES, NUCLEAR WASTE STORAGE, AND RELATED NUCLEAR USES, AND ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS The Woodby County Zoning Commission wit have public hearings on the following Hear 23, 2023 at 540 PIP or as oon therealter as Said public news with the hird in the Board the encode at only the or isolated in the Board C stage balance encoded in the Board of Supervisor's meeting room in the base Board balance encoded and supervisor's meeting room in the Board and encoded and supervisor's meeting Board and encoded and supervisor and supervisor of said letters may now be examined at the office of the Woodbury County Community and Economic Development (Haraning and Zoning), on the 6th Floor of said counthouse have undervisor bencomes All economics and Exe the induced puedle in Subinum and Exemption the force of sale contribute by any inference in respect to free matters should appear at the above of ubin hearing should appear at the above of ubin hearing should appear at the above of ubin hearing index of the sale sale sale sale sale point of the sale sale sale sale point of the sale sale sale sale and the sale sale sale sale sale point of the sale point of the sale sale sale sale sale sale sale sale sale and the sale (the sale sale sale sale sale sale (the sale sale sale sale sale sale (the sale sale sale sale sale (the sale sale sale sale (the sale sale sale (the sale sale sale sale (the sale sale sale sale sale sale sale (the sale sale sale sale sale sale (the sale sale sale sale sale sale sale (the sale sale sale sale (the sale sale sale sale sale sale (the sale sale sale sale sale sale sale (the sale sale sale sale (the sale sale sale sale sale (the sale sale sale sale s will hold a public hearing to douts amerons the hear body of public hearing to douts amerons to hear the second second second second storage, and related user. The douts in million and the second second second second energy generation, modular nuclear energy second second second second second energy generation, modular nuclear energy second second second second second relation to the second second second energy generation (second second second relations) the second second second relation (second second second relations) the second second second relation (second second second relations) the second second relations) the second second relation (second second second relations) the second relation (second second relations) the second relations) the second relation (second relations) the relation re

WOODBURY COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AGENDA ITEM(S) REQUEST FORM

ELECTED OFFICIAI	L / DEPARTMENT H	IEAD / CITIZEN: Dan Pr	iestley
WORDING FOR AG	ENDA ITEM:	oning Ordinance to comply with lov	wa Senate File 592, signed into law on May
2025, which mandates restrictive regulations, i	allowing at least one a necessitating updates t	ccessory dwelling unit on lots with	single-family residences and prohibits certa nary Table, Lot Requirements, and Number
	b. Approve the 1st Nea		i Amenuments.
		ACTION REQUIRED:	
Approve Ordina	nce 🗸	Approve Resolution	Approve Motion
Public Hearing	~	Other: Informational	Attachments

Amendment 2 Summary: Repeals and replaces a section related to lot requirements, stating that only one principal residential structure can be built on a zoning lot, but adds that at least one accessory dwelling unit (ADU) must be permitted on the same lot as a single-family residence, as long as it complies with Iowa Code 331.301(27).

Following the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors may: (1) Defer consideration of the matter for further study; or

- Reject the proposed amendment; or
- (3) Adopt the ordinance amending the text of this title.

BACKGROUND: To align with SF592, the Woodbury County Zoning Commission has proposed amendments to the county's zoning ordinance to meet compliance with Iowa Code Section 331.301(27). The commission's proposal addresses outdated restrictions in the existing ordinance, which previously limited accessory second dwellings to specific uses (e.g., for relatives or workers) and did not fully align with the state's new requirements for ADUs.

The proposed amendments reflect the state's mandate to allow ADUs in residential and agricultural zoning districts while maintaining prohibitions in commercial and industrial zones, where residential uses are less appropriate. The changes also clarify that ADUs are permitted as principal uses in certain districts, ensuring consistency with state law and promoting housing flexibility in unincorporated areas of the county.

The Woodbury County Zoning Commission's amendments aim to bring the county's zoning ordinance into full compliance with SF592 by:

Updating the Land Use Table: The repeal of the restrictive "Accessory second dwelling for relative or worker on property" line item removes limitations that tied ADUs to specific occupant relationships, which SF592 prohibits. The new "One Accessory Dwelling" line item allows ADUs as a principal use in agricultural and residential zoning districts (AP, AE, NR, and SR), aligning with the state's mandate to permit at least one ADU per single-family lot. The prohibition in commercial and industrial zones (GC, HC, LI, and GI) ensures that ADUs are limited to areas where residential use is appropriate.

Revising Lot Requirements: The amendment to Section 4.04 clarifies that while only one principal residential structure is allowed per lot, at least one ADU is permitted, consistent with Iowa Code 331.301(27). This change eliminates the need for conditional use or planned development approvals for ADUs, streamlining the permitting process as required by SF592.

These amendments ensure that Woodbury County's zoning ordinance aligns with state law, promotes housing flexibility, and supports property owners in unincorporated areas by allowing ADUs without undue restrictions. The proposal reflects a balance between state mandates and local zoning priorities, fostering housing development while maintaining the character of different zoning districts.

n

IF THERE IS A CONTRACT INVOLVED IN THE AGENDA ITEM, HAS THE CONTRACT BEEN SUBMITTED AT LEAST ONE WEEK PRIOR AND ANSWERED WITH A REVIEW BY THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE?

Yes 🛛 No 🗆

RECOMMENDATION:

Open and close the public hearing. (Set Time: 4:45 PM)

Conduct and approve the first reading of the Zoning Ordinance Text Amendments.

The 2nd and 3rd public hearings and readings of the ordinance have been scheduled for Tuesday, 7/29/25 at 4:45 PM, respectively.

ACTION REQUIRED / PROPOSED MOTION:

a. Motion to receive the report/recommendation from the Woodbury County Zoning Commission concerning zoning ordinance text amendments for nuclear energy facilities, nuclear waste storage, related uses, and amendments to reorganize the ordinance.

b. Motion to set dates and times for 3 public hearings on zoning ordinance text amendments concerning nuclear energy facilities, nuclear waste storage, related uses, and the reorganization of the ordinance. Proposed dates/times: July 22, 2025, 4:40 PM, July 29, 2025, 4:40 PM, 2025, 4:40 PM, and August 5, 2025, 4:40 PM



WOODBURY COUNTY ZONING COMMISSION

WOODBURY COUNTY COURTHOUSE 620 DOUGLAS STREET SIOUX CITY, IA 51101

То:	Woodbury County Board of Supervisors 620 Douglas Street Sioux City, Iowa 51101
From:	Christine Zellmer Zant, Chair Woodbury County Zoning Commission
Date:	June 24, 2025
Subject:	Zoning Commission Recommendation Accessory Second Dwellings

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

The Woodbury County Zoning Commission convened on June 23, 2025, to address zoning ordinance text amendments for accessory dwelling units (ADUs) to comply with Iowa Senate File 592. Following discussion and consideration of public input, the Commission unanimously (5-0) recommends approval of the proposed zoning ordinance text amendments (see attached).

The discussion centered on aligning the county's zoning ordinance with Senate File 592, signed into law on May 1, 2025, which mandates that counties permit at least one ADU on lots with a single-family residence, subject to specific conditions. The proposed amendments adopt the state's minimum standards, allowing ADUs of at least 1,000 square feet or 50% of the primary dwelling's size, whichever is greater, while maintaining the 23-foot minimum dimension requirement for building permits. The Commission noted that other jurisdictions are similarly adapting to this law, particularly regarding infrastructure concerns like wells and septic systems. The recommendation to adhere strictly to state minimums allows for future re-evaluation if demand for larger ADUs arises.

No public comments were received during the June 23 meeting, either in person or via phone, though the opportunity for input was provided. The Commission viewed this as a housekeeping matter to ensure compliance with state law, with flexibility for future adjustments based on community needs or feedback.

The Commission believes these amendments fulfill the county's obligation to align with state requirements while maintaining a straightforward approach. We respectfully submit this recommendation for your approval and look forward to your review during the public hearing process.

See attached recommended framework.

Please refer to the draft copy of the Zoning Commission minutes for details about the Commission's recommendation.

Respectfully submitted,

Christine Zellmer Zant, Chair Woodbury County Zoning Commission

Dated this 24 day of Line, 2025

A second of the second second

DRAFT -

SUBJECT TO CHANGES THROUGHOUT THE REVIEW PROCESS

ORDINANCE NO.

WOODBURY COUNTY, IOWA

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE TEXT OF THE WOODBURY COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE TO BRING THE ZONING ORDINANCE INTO COMPLIANCE WITH THE CODE OF IOWA AS IT RELATES TO ACCESSORY SECOND DWELLINGS IN UNINCORPORATED WOODBURY COUNTY.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ENACTED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF WOODBURY COUNTY, IOWA THAT THE BELOW ZONING ORDINANCE LANGUAGE AMENDMENTS BE MADE:

Amendment 1:

On page 32, in Section 3.03.4, in the Land Use Summary Table of Allowed Uses in each Zoning District, to repeal the line item entitled "Accessory second dwelling for relative or worker on property" and all of its designated uses in each Zoning District column entitled AP Agricultural Preservation, AE Agricultural Estates, NR Non-Agricultural Residential, SR Suburban Residential, GC General Commercial, HC Highway Commercial, LI Limited Industrial, and GI General Industrial, and replace with a new line item entitled "One Accessory Dwelling" and designate the following uses in each Zoning District column as follows by placing: "ok" (Principal allowed use) in the AP Agricultural Preservation column; "ok" (Principal allowed use) in the AP Agricultural Residential column; "ok" (Principal allowed use) in the NR Non-Agricultural Residential column; "ok" (Principal allowed use) in the HC Highway Commercial column; "--" (Prohibited use) in the HC Highway Commercial column; "--" (Prohibited use) in the GI General Industrial column.

Amendment 2:

On page 43, in Section 4.04: Lot Requirements, to repeal "2. Number of Residential Structures. Not more than one principal residential structure shall be constructed, structurally altered or used for residential purposes on any zoning lot except as allowed by conditional use or planned development" and to replace with the following:

"2. Number of Residential Structures. Only one principal residential structure may be constructed, structurally altered, or used for residential purposes on any zoning lot. Additionally, at least one accessory dwelling unit (ADU)—defined as a secondary residential dwelling unit located on the same lot as a single-family residence, either attached to or detached from it—shall be permitted on the same lot as a single-family residence, in accordance with Iowa Code 331.301.(27)."

Dated this _____ day of _____, 2025.

THE WOODBURY COUNTY, IOWA BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Daniel Bittinger II, Chairman

Mark Nelson, Vice-Chairman

Kent Carper

Attest:

David Dietrich

Michelle K. Skaff, Woodbury County Auditor

Matthew Ung

Adoption Timeline: Date of Public Hearing and First Reading_____ Date of Public Hearing and Second Reading_____ Date of Public Hearing and Third Reading_____ Date of Adoption_____ Published/Effective Date_____

DRAFT -

SUBJECT TO CHANGES THROUGHOUT THE REVIEW PROCESS

Woodbury County Zoning Commission Meeting Minutes

Date: June 23, 2025

Time: 5:00 PM

Location: Board of Supervisors' Meeting Room, Basement, Woodbury County Courthouse, 620 Douglas Street, Sioux City, IA

MEETING AUDIO:

For specific content of this meeting, refer to the recorded video on the Woodbury County Zoning Commission "Committee Page" on the Woodbury County website:

- County Website Link:
 - o https://www.woodburycountyiowa.gov/committees/zoning_commission/
- YouTube Direct Link:
 - https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=a5z4GlaHc2o

Attendees

- Commissioners Present: Chris Zellmer Zant Chair, Tom Bride Vice Chair, Steve Corey, Jeff Hanson, Corey Meister
- Staff Present: Dan Priestley Zoning Coordinator, Dawn Norton Senior Clerk
- Supervisor(s) Present: Kent Carper
- Public Attendees: Kevin Heiss, Slater Ohm, Dana Neal (via phone), Lynn Drees (via phone)

Call to Order

Chair Chris Zellmer Zant called the meeting to order at 5:00 p.m. The Chair reviewed the meeting procedures, including the audiotaping of the meeting, the preparation of minutes, the request for cell phones to be turned off or set to vibrate, and the requirement for attendees to complete the attendance sheet. The Chair also outlined the public hearing procedures, including staff reports, applicant presentations, public comments (limited to three minutes per speaker), and the closure of hearings by motion and vote.

Roll Call

All the commissioners were present.

Public Comment on Matters Not on the Agenda (Information Item)

The Chair inquired if there were any public comments on matters not on the agenda. Seeing and hearing none, the meeting proceeded.

Approval of Minutes from Previous Meeting: May 28, 2025 (Special Meeting) (Action Item)

The Chair asked for any corrections or comments regarding the minutes from the previous meeting on May 28, 2025. Hearing none, a motion was entertained.

- Motion: To approve the minutes from the last meeting of May 28, 2025.
- Moved by: Tom Bride
- Seconded by: Corey Meister
- Vote: All in favor said "Aye." One commissioner (Jeff Hanson) abstained due to absence from the previous meeting.
- Action: The minutes of the previous meeting were approved.

5. Items of Business

a. Public Hearing and Action Item: Consideration of Nuclear Energy Facilities and Nuclear Waste Storage in the Woodbury County Zoning Ordinance (Action Item)

The public hearing was opened with Dan Priestley explaining that this discussion was a continuation from previous months (dating back to August/September 2024) regarding the inclusion of nuclear energy facilities, nuclear waste storage, and related uses in the Woodbury County Zoning Ordinance. He highlighted the complexity of the issue, noting the heavy involvement of federal (Nuclear Regulatory Commission - NRC) and state regulations.

Priestley explained that the existing Woodbury County Zoning Ordinance's land use summary table includes "electrical energy generation, not including wind," which could be interpreted to include nuclear facilities as a conditional use. However, the standard 500-foot public notification distance for conditional use permits was deemed insufficient for nuclear facilities. The current proposal extends this notification zone to 10 miles for any conditional use permit process related to nuclear energy or waste storage. This proposal utilizes the existing zoning ordinance infrastructure, requiring review by both the Zoning Commission and the Board of Adjustment.

Priestley clarified that the Board of Supervisors initiated this process to receive a recommendation from the Zoning Commission, with the Supervisors ultimately having up to three public hearings on any final proposal. He noted that public input had been collected over several meetings, and while not as extensive as for wind or solar energy, both support and opposition comments had been received.

Priestley presented a "Nuclear Energy Public Comments 2014-2025" document, summarizing past comments, and requested it be received into the public record.

- Motion: To receive the "Nuclear Energy Public Comments 2014-2025" document into the public record.
- Moved by: Tom Bride
- Seconded by: Jeff Hanson
- Vote: All in favor said "Aye."
- Action: The document was received into the public record.

Priestley then summarized key public comments:

- **Support:** Mayor Bob Scott (Sioux City), Kyle Gates (Secondary Roads), Mayor Ken Bauer (Correctionville), and Craig Levine and Rick Plathe (Northwest Iowa Building and Construction Trade Council).
- **Opposition:** Jerry Holder (concerns regarding waste risk and potential malfunctions), Janet Kruger (opposing nuclear activities, urging prohibition without public approval).
- Other Comments: Wendy Hess (9/11 Dispatch Center readiness, staff training, emergency exercises, budget increases), Mark Nara (former County Engineer, regarding infrastructure impact and NRC alignment), Patty Riesberg (clarified NRC's regulatory role). Brian Bergeon from the NRC had also provided details on their independent regulatory and licensing process in a previous packet.

Priestley reiterated that the local conditional use permit process allows for scrutiny and engagement with other levels of government, similar to telecommunication towers. He emphasized that the proposed ordinance amendment specifically defines "nuclear energy facilities" and "nuclear waste storage" and adds them to the land use summary table **only in the general industrial zoning district**. The 10-mile notification radius is a key added feature.

The Chair then opened the floor for public comments on this item.

- Public Comment: No one present in the room wished to comment.
- **Public Comment (via phone):** Lynn Drees (phone) from Danbury stated, "no comment." No other callers wished to comment.

The Chair then invited comments from the commissioners.

- Dan Priestley clarified that this process is proactive, and no specific nuclear project has been proposed or approached staff/county. The purpose is to determine if it should be a permitted use in the ordinance.
- Commissioner Tom Bride reiterated that the current ordinance covers electrical energy generation, but the proposed language provides more detail as recommended by the County Attorney's office.
- Dan Priestley explained that the County Attorney felt the previous language wasn't specific enough and that clearer definitions would prevent interpretation issues if an application were submitted. He also stressed the importance of the 10-mile notification over the standard 500 feet to avoid potential problems. He noted that the costs of extensive notifications for a 10-mile radius would be passed on to the applicant, aligning with the county's zoning fee schedule to prevent massive county expenses for wider-scale conditional uses.
- Commissioner Jeff Hanson emphasized that defining nuclear energy clarifies the language and expands the notification distance, which are important considerations.
- Dan Priestley reinforced that a conditional use permit is a "maybe" permit, not a "yes," allowing full scrutiny and public engagement in the process. He noted the difficulty of discussing hypotheticals without a specific project but stressed the importance of having a clear framework in the ordinance for potential future proposals.

The Chair inquired about the next steps. Dan Priestley explained that the commission could close the public hearing and then make a recommendation to the Board of Supervisors or continue the discussion. If a recommendation is sent, the Board of Supervisors would then consider scheduling up to three public hearings, which often draw more public engagement.

- **Motion:** To close the public hearing.
- Moved by: Jeff Hanson
- Seconded by: Corey Meister
- Vote: All in favor said "Aye."
- Action: The public hearing was closed.

Commissioner Jeff Hanson commented that this was the 12th time the issue had been heard at various levels.

- **Motion:** To recommend to the Board of Supervisors to move forward with the language as presented in draft pages 11, 12, 13, and 14 of the packet, which specifically defines nuclear energy facilities and nuclear waste storage.
- Moved by: Jeff Hanson
- Seconded by: Steve Corey

Discussion on the motion:

- Supervisor Kent Carper asked if specific locations were picked out. Dan Priestley clarified that the proposed ordinance would only allow these uses in **general industrial areas**, typically south of the airport and west of Interstate 29, not in agricultural or residential zones.
- Dan Priestley added that the Board of Supervisors has the prerogative to adjust the language during their three public hearings, as the Zoning Commission's output is a recommendation.
- Commissioner Tom Bride clarified that the motion is not targeting new areas but is clarifying language, notification, and conditions for existing general industrial zones. He reiterated that the 10-mile notification is a significant improvement over 500 feet.
- Dan Priestley further clarified that both nuclear energy facilities and nuclear waste storage would be distinct, classified as conditional uses, and subject to the 10-mile notification apparatus.
- Vote: All in favor said "Aye." (Unanimous)
- Action: The commission voted unanimously to recommend to the Board of Supervisors to move forward with the
 proposed language for nuclear energy facilities and nuclear waste storage in the Woodbury County Zoning
 Ordinance.

b. Public Hearing and Action Item: Consideration of Zoning Ordinance Text Amendments for Accessory Dwelling Units to Comply with Iowa's Senate File 592 (Action Item)

The public hearing was opened with Dan Priestley stating this was a housekeeping item to bring the county ordinance into compliance with Iowa Senate File 592. This state law, signed by Governor Kim Reynolds on May 1st, mandates that counties allow at least one accessory dwelling unit (ADU) on the same lot as a single-family residence, subject to specific conditions, and prohibits certain restrictive regulations.

Priestley explained that the state standard sets a minimum threshold of 1,000 square feet or 50% of the size of the existing dwelling, whichever is greater. While the state code allows counties flexibility to permit larger ADUs, the current proposal strictly follows the state's minimums. He noted that other jurisdictions (counties and cities) would also be grappling with the implications of this new law, particularly concerning wells and septics. He mentioned that the 23-foot minimum dimension for a dwelling would still apply for building permits.

Priestley stated that the staff's recommendation is to simply react to the state standard and keep the minimums, allowing for future re-evaluation if demand necessitates larger ADUs. He stressed that the county has a duty to make its ordinance compatible with state law.

The Chair then opened the floor for public comments on this item.

- Public Comment: No one present in the room wished to comment.
- Public Comment (via phone): No one wished to comment.

The Chair then invited comments from the commissioners.

- Motion: To close the public hearing.
- Moved by: Tom Bride
- Seconded by: Jeff Hanson
- Vote: All in favor said "Aye."
- Action: The public hearing was closed.

Commissioner Tom Bride commented that there is no alternative but to align with state code. He agreed with Dan Priestley that there is no immediate reason to allow larger structures beyond the state's minimums (1,000 sq ft or 50% of the existing dwelling). He viewed it as a housekeeping issue, with potential future reviews if needs arise. Other commissioners agreed.

- Motion: To recommend to the Board of Supervisors the approval of the zoning ordinance text amendments for accessory dwelling units in compliance with Senate File 592, as outlined in the draft on pages 48 and 49 of the packet.
- Moved by: Tom Bride
- Seconded by: Corey Meister
- Vote: All in favor said "Aye." (Unanimous)

• Action: The commission voted unanimously to recommend to the Board of Supervisors the approval of the zoning ordinance text amendments for ADUs, aligning with Senate File 592.

c. Review of a Conditional Use Permit Application: Kevin Heiss (Applicant) / Rent Properties, LLC (Owner) for an Off-Premise LED Billboard (Action Item)

Dan Priestley clarified that this was a review session, not a public hearing, which would take place at the Board of Adjustment meeting on July 7th at 5:00 p.m. The Zoning Commission's duty was to review the criteria, evaluate the application, and hear from the applicant and potentially the public.

Kevin Heiss, representing Rent Properties LLC, submitted a conditional use permit application to construct and operate a 14foot by 48-foot LED billboard for off-premise advertising. The property is located in the north two-thirds of the north half of the northwest quarter, Section 6, Floyd Township, situated along the south side of Highway 20 and east of Charles Avenue, within the General Commercial zoning district. Off-premise advertising signs are classified as a conditional use in this district.

Priestley noted that the property includes a floodplain, and the applicants are aware of the need for a floodplain development permit and building permit. He confirmed that initial data suggests the sign would not be in the floodway, which was a concern for the Iowa DNR. He reiterated that the county does not regulate content but evaluates the billboard itself, which is a two-sided, V-shaped LED billboard. The application addresses criteria such as appropriate zoning, compatibility with development plans, and potential adverse effects.

The Chair invited the applicant to speak.

• Kevin Heiss (Applicant): Stated the intent is for advertising, including for his own nearby businesses. They are working with SRA Group for construction and have ensured the operation will be well-maintained. He believes the location is suitable for a highly trafficked commercial area along Highway 20. Heiss confirmed they had consulted with Dan Priestley multiple times to ensure compliance with the process.

Commissioners' questions for the applicant:

- Distance to Residents: Kevin Heiss stated there are no residents within 1,000 feet, and nearby properties are
 commercial. Dan Priestley confirmed the presence of mixed districts in the area, with some residential properties
 further up the hill (Boatman's and Amick's on 162nd Street) that could be about 1,000 feet away. The ordinance
 specifically regulates distance from AE (Agricultural Estates) districts, where housing is expected, but not AP
 (Agricultural Preservation).
- Lighting and Brightness: Heiss stated it's a 21-millimeter LED product, which is extremely bright during the day to overcome the sun but dims at night like a "television night mode." He confirmed the back side of the V-shaped sign would be black and not emit light towards residential areas. He emphasized they chose Daktronics, a reputable company, to ensure proper design and operation.
- **DOT Requirements:** Heiss confirmed compliance with DOT requirements, which require 300 feet between signs, whereas Woodbury County's current ordinance requires 1,000 feet. This 1,000-foot county requirement makes placement challenging. Heiss and Priestley described a "chasing the result" scenario with DOT, where each wanted the other's approval first, but dialogue has been good.
- Setbacks: Heiss confirmed the sign is set back significantly from Highway 20 and Charles Avenue, likely in the middle of his field, approximately 150 feet from the Charles Avenue right-of-way line.
- Letter of Support: Dan Priestley presented a letter from Jerry and Vernell Steffan, neighbors at 1528 Jewel, stating they had "no issues with this request." He identified their property as directly abutting the applicant's property.
 - Motion: To receive the letter from Jerry and Vernell Stefan into the record.
 - Moved by: Tom Bride
 - Seconded by: Corey Meister
 - Vote: All in favor said "Aye."
 - Action: The letter was received into the record.

Public Comment (via phone): Dana Neal (162nd Street):

- Expressed concern that his home is within 1,000 feet of the proposed sign, despite measurements. His home is also 45 feet higher than the road. He worried the sign, which will be 25-30 feet off the ground, would shine directly into his windows.
- He stated he and his family built their home on their family farm for a country living experience, avoiding city nuisances like streetlights. He noted that he can see an existing billboard a mile away from his deck at night.
- He feared the double-sided 14x48 billboard would significantly impact his home's value and privacy, similar to how LED lights light up a building on a hill nearby.

• He asked if another location farther from homes could be considered.

Response to Dana Neal's comments:

- Kevin Heiss acknowledged the difficulty of finding locations due to the 1,000-foot separation requirement from other billboards, stating "we're in the middle of the rock." He emphasized the V-shape design focuses light on the road, with the back side being black to prevent light spill.
- Commissioner Corey Meister asked if the entire 67-acre parcel belonged to Heiss, which he confirmed, except for where Hobart's is located.
- Chair Chris Zellmer Zant noted a previous billboard existed near Steffan's property. Heiss confirmed it still exists and is in use, but their new sign cannot be placed there due to the 1,000-foot separation rule from other signs across the road.
- Kevin Heiss reiterated that the sign's design is specifically angled to face east and westbound traffic on Highway 20, minimizing light towards other directions. He confirmed there would be no additional security lighting.
- Dana Neal clarified his property location relative to the sign. He expressed concern about the entire "area lit up" at night. He requested to see the proposed sign in person and for the opinions of the Boatman's and Amick's (other residents on 162nd Street) to be considered.
- Kevin Heiss agreed to have a conversation with Dana Neal to explore design adjustments to help mitigate concerns. He expressed a desire to work with the community.
- Heiss explained that if a variance were granted to reduce the 1,000-foot separation from other signs, they could
 move the billboard closer to Highway 20. This would also benefit residents by lowering the sign and changing its
 angle relative to their homes.

Discussion on a potential variance:

- Commissioner Tom Bride asked if a variance could be requested to relocate the sign to a better position to minimize impact on residents.
- Dan Priestley explained that while a variance is a possibility, recent changes to Iowa Code emphasize "practical difficulty" over "economic hardship." He cautioned against speculation on the Board of Adjustment's decision and stated staff generally avoid recommending variances due to their uncertain outcome.
- Kevin Heiss stated their primary goal was approval of the current location and that they would consider a variance later if needed but wanted conceptual approval first due to cost.
- Dan Priestley clarified that the Zoning Commission makes a recommendation, and the application will proceed to the Board of Adjustment regardless. He suggested a potential contingency for approval contingent on a variance, but again, stressed caution.
- Priestley also asked if the LED signs could be timed to dim or shut off at certain hours (e.g., midnight to 5 AM) to mitigate light pollution. Heiss replied that most digital signs are on 24/7 due to advertising sales, and dimming is already built in for nighttime, but completely shutting off or further dimming would make them ineffective.
- Commissioner Bride suggested that the applicant try to address the neighbors' concerns between now and the Board of Adjustment meeting on July 7th, perhaps by showing them existing similar signs or providing a visualization of the light impact. Heiss agreed to reach out to Dana Neal and share information.
- Dan Priestley confirmed that letters were sent to properties within the 500-foot threshold (as per the certified abstract listing).
- Heiss mentioned similar V-shaped LED signs at Hamilton and Casey's, by the Arena, and on I-29 near Outback, and at Third and Wesley Parkway as examples of what the proposed sign would look like. He also confirmed height restrictions are in place (not 35 feet, more like 18 feet off the ground).

Final comments from commissioners before motion:

- Commissioner Jeff Hanson stated he had no issue with the proposed location and thought other lit billboards in the area were more impactful. He would prefer the sign to be moved further north (closer to Highway 20) to protect future commercial development potential, as its current south placement pushes potential development further into residential areas. He agreed that moving it north would benefit adjacent landowners.
- Kevin Heiss reiterated their desire to work with the community and do things "right."
- Motion: To make a recommendation to the Board of Adjustment to consider the conditional use permit application for an off-premise billboard (14 ft x 48 ft), partially identified on the agenda, with a recommendation for approval.
- Moved by: Jeff Hanson
- Seconded by: Corey Meister

Discussion on the motion:

• Dan Priestley clarified that the recommendation was for approval.

- Commissioner Tom Bride suggested that the letter reflecting the commission's recommendation for approval should also include a discussion point for the Board of Adjustment to consider the possibility of a variance to address neighbor concerns, and the discussion regarding the benefits of moving the sign closer to Highway 20. Dan Priestley confirmed the letter would reflect the recommendation, touch on themes/concerns, and direct the Board of Adjustment to the minutes.
- Vote: All in favor said "Aye." (Unanimous)
- Action: The commission voted unanimously to recommend approval of the conditional use permit application for the billboard to the Board of Adjustment, with concerns noted for their consideration regarding potential variances and optimal placement. This item will be continued at the Board of Adjustment meeting on July 7th at 5:00 p.m.

Public Comment on Matters Not on the Agenda

The Chair inquired if there were any public comments on matters not on the agenda. Seeing and hearing none, the meeting proceeded.

Staff Update

Dan Priestley provided the following updates:

- **Morningside University Conditional Use Permit Ballpark Proposal:** The Board of Adjustment tabled this proposal at their last meeting for further consideration on July 7th. Public comments from the Zoning Commission and three property owners were reiterated at the Board of Adjustment, focusing on traffic, sound, and lighting issues. Jason Reynoldson, representing Morningside University, met with Priestley and the County Engineer to discuss traffic flow, including potential turning lanes and infrastructure improvements if traffic increases. They are awaiting information from Laura Sievers. Morningside University is expected to return on July 7th with further clarity on addressing these concerns.
- Board of Supervisors Updates:
 - Borrow Pit: The Board of Supervisors will hold their second public hearing on the borrow pit on June 24th and the third and final one on July 1st.
 - **New Cooperative Rezone:** They will have their third and final reading on this rezone on June 24th

Commissioners' Comments or Inquiries

The Chair asked if there were any comments or inquiries from the commissioners. Hearing none, the meeting moved to adjournment.

Adjournment

- Motion: To adjourn the meeting.
- Moved by: Corey Meister
- Seconded by: Jeff Hanson
- Vote: All in favor said "Aye."
- Action: The meeting was adjourned at 6:44 PM.

APPENDIX – RECEIVED INTO THE RECORD

Please see the content received into the record on the subsequent pages.

NUCLEAR ENERGY PUBLIC COMMENTS 2024 to 2025

The comments on the proposed nuclear energy zoning ordinance amendments in unincorporated Woodbury County reflect a range of perspectives, concerns, and recommendations, summarized by key themes

- Support for Nuclear Energy (7 comments):

 Bob Scott (July 29, 2024; Dec 4, 2024; Jan 3, 2025) strongly supports a small nuclear plant, citing economic benefits, job creation, rate stability, and minimal risks compared to rewards. He also supports wind and solar but questions zoning

 laws for solar farms on annexed land. Kyle Gates (Jan 16, 2025) endorses nuclear energy, emphasizing safety of modern
 - reactors, economic growth, grid resilience, and innovative uses like waste heat for industry
 - Ken Bauer (Feb 6, 2025) supports nuclear for its reliability and efficiency, 0 drawing on his Port Neal experience, and criticizes wind/solar as less viable without subsidies.
 - Craig Levine (Mar 14, 2025) and Rick Plathe (Apr 1, 2025), representing 0 Northwest Iowa Building Trades, advocate rezoning industrial land for nuclear, highlighting job creation, low-carbon benefits, and sustainable energy.

- 2. Opposition to Nuclear Energy (2 comments): o Jerry Holder (Aug 6, 2024) opposes nuclear facilities due to risks from waste and malfunctions
 - Janet Krueger (Mar 24, 2025) strongly opposes nuclear activities, including waste disposal, and urges zoning ordinances to prohibit them without public approval.

3. Concerns and Considerations (5 comments):

- Wendi Hess (Aug 7, 2024) raises concerns about the 911 Dispatch Center's readiness, noting needs for staff training, emergency exercises, and budget
- ncreases
- Mark Nahra (July 26, 2025) suggests heavy industrial zoning for nuclear facilities, highlights infrastructure and environmental impacts (traffic, water,
- nactines, inginging initiative and environmental impacts (tatine, water, waste), and stresses alignment with NRC regulations. Christopher Madsen (Mar 6, 2025) notes the addition of nuclear waste storage to the proposal, requesting research on storage processes and IDNR involvement. Craig Anderson (May 2, 2025) expresses skepticism about nuclear energy, prioritizing agricultural land preservation and questioning its viability without
- subsidies Casey Meinen (July 26, 2024) simply forwarded the proposal to management, offering no opinion.

- Regulatory and Technical Clarifications (2 comments):

 Patty Ricsberg (Mar 20, 2025) clarifies that the NRC regulates nuclear power and waste, with Iowa HHS coordinating on other materials; Iowa DNR has no role.
 - industrial use, away from residential zones, to minimize public exposure to potential risks.
 - Impact Assessment: He notes potential impacts on infrastructure, such as increased traffic during construction and operation, which could strain county roads. Environmental impacts, including water usage and waste storage, need thorough evaluation.
 - Regulatory Framework: Nahra emphasizes that nuclear facilities are primarily regulated by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), and local regulations should align with federal standards to avoid conflicts. He suggests the county focus on zoning and land use controls

6. December 4. 2024 - Bob Scott

Duer 4, 2024 – BOD Scott Editerates his support for a small nuclear plant near the Neal power plants, citing investment benefits and long-term rate stability. It believes the risks of low-level nuclear plants are outweighed by these benefits. Additionally, he asks whether county zoning laws regarding solar farms would apply to annexed city land, indicating a broader interest in land use regulations.

7. January 3, 2025 - Bob Scott

Summary: Bob Scott again expresses support for a small nuclear plant in Woodbury County.

 January 6, 2025 – Diane Swoboda Peterson

 Summary: Diane Swoboda Peterson, Woodbury County Real Estate/Recorder

 Deputy, provides no comments on the nuclear energy proposal

9. January 16, 2025 - Kyle Gates

Summary: Kyle Gates strongly supports nuclear energy in Woodbury County, stating he would feel safe with a modern reactor nearby. He suggests considerations including setbacks for security, land restoration after decommissioning, co-location with industries to utilize waste heat (e.g., fertilizer production), grid resilience through distributed power sources, and economic development potential due to lower-cost electricity.

10. February 6, 2025 - Ken Bauer

Summary: Ken Bauer, Mayor of Correctionville, supports nuclear energy, arguing it would be beneficial for the county. Drawing on his 26 years of experience at Port Neal, hc considers nuclear plants second only to coal-fired plants in reliability. He criticizes wind and solar energy as less economical and reliant on tax incentives, praising nuclear for its efficiency and minimal landscape impact.

11. March 6, 2025 – Christopher Madsen • Summary: Christopher Madsen, Senior Planner for Sioux City, notes that the proposal now includes nuclear waste storage, which was not previously mentioned. He requests research on the process for establishing a storage facility o Bryan Bergeon (May 5, 2025) details the NRC's independent regulatory role, licensing process, and oversight of nuclear materials and waste, noting Iowa's ent State status.

No Opinion (1 comment):

 Diane Swoboda Peterson (Jan 6, 2025) provides no comments on the proposal.

Key Issues:

- Economic and Environmental Impacts: Supporters emphasize jobs, growth, and clean energy; opponents and skeptics highlight risks (waste, malfunctions) and farmland loss.
- Zoning and Land Use: Suggestions include heavy industrial zoning, setbacks, and public input for zoning changes.
- Regulation: NRC's primary role is emphasized, with local zoning and federal alignment ommended.
- Infrastructure and Preparedness: Concerns include traffic, water use, and emergency response readiness (e.g., 911 Dispatch).

Summaries of Comments by Date and Name

- 1. July 26, 2024 Casey Meinen
 - Summary: Casey forwarded the content to management officials.

2. July 29, 2024 - Bob Scott

- Summary: Bob Scott supports the construction of a nuclear plant south of town, citing its potential to boost the local economy due to construction activity. He also supports wind energy and solar farms. Scott notes that nuclear plants are highly regulated, suggesting minimal local regulatory burden.

August 6, 2024 – Jerry Holder

 Summary: Jerry Holder opposes any nuclear facilities in Woodbury County, citing the catastrophic risks associated with nuclear waste and malfunctions.

4. August 7, 2024 - Wendi Hess

Summary: Wendi Hess, Communications Center Director, expresses concerns about the impact of a nuclear facility on the 911 Dispatch Center. She highlights the need for additional staff training and participation in emergency exercises, which would require increased budgetary allocations for overtime and training funds. She is unsure if specific certifications would be required for staff.

5. July 26, 2025 - Mark Nahra

Summary: Mark Nahra, Woodbury County Engineer, provides preliminary thoughts on nuclear energy, reserving the right to add further comments later. His responses to Daniel Priestley's July 26, 2024 email are as follows: • Appropriate Locations / Zoning District Designation(s): Nahra suggests that nuclear facilities should be located in areas zoned for heavy

and the involvement of other entities, such as the Iowa Department of Natural Resources (IDNR), to inform further review

12. March 20, 2025 - Patty Riesberg

Summary: Patty Rissberg, Bureau Chief for the Bureau of Radiological Health with lowa HHS, clarifies the regulatory framework for nuclear energy and waste storage. She states that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) regulates all commercial nuclear power and spent nuclear fuel in the U.S. through licensing, inspections, and enforcement. Iowa HHS coordinates with the NRC on other radioactive materials, but the Iowa DNR has no regulatory role in nuclear power plants or waste storage. She advises close coordination with the NRC for compliance.

13. March 24, 2025 - Janet Krueger

1.24, 2025 – Janet Krueger, along with Randy Krueger, strongly opposes nuclear-related activities, including waste disposal, in Woodbury County. They advocate for zoning ordinances to expressly prohibit such activities, requiring public input for any future proposals. They emphasize preventing nuclear activities unless explicitly approved through zoning changes.

14. March 14, 2025 (Submitted April 1, 2025) - Craig Levine

a tes, acca (sournaised April 1, 2025) - Craig Levine Summary: Craig Levine, President of Northwest flowa Building Trades, in a letter co-signed by multiple union representatives, supports rezoning industrial land to allow nuclear energy production, including small modular reactors. He highlights nuclear energy's reliability, low-carbon benefits, and potential to drive economic growth, create jobs, and support sustainable energy. The letter emphasizes aligning utils (DopardLehing and Jaio and Advancet & Granfie - memory built and the support of the sup aligning with forward-thinking policies and advocates for safe, responsible integration of nuclear facilities

15. April 1, 2025 - Rick Plathe

1, 2023 – Rock Frauer Summary: Rick Plathe, Business Manager of IBEW Local 231, submits a letter of support from Northwest Iowa Building Trades (authored by Craig Levine) endorsing the rezoning of industrial land for nuclear energy. He offers to address any questions or concerns, reinforcing the unions' collective support for the initiative.

16. May 2, 2025 - Craig Anderson

- Summary: Appropriate Locations / Zoning District Designation(s): Implies nuclear facilities should avoid prime farmland, prioritizing agricultural
 - land preservation. Impact Assessment: Expresses concern about losing prime farmland,
 - advocating for Iowa's land use to favor agriculture. Regulatory Framework: Should focus on agriculture. Does not address specific regulations, focusing on land use policy.

 Additional Comments: Skeptical of nuclear energy's desirability, suggesting public opposition and questioning its viability without tax incentives. Advocates for agricultural land use balance.

17. May 5, 2025 - Bryan Bergeon

5, 2025 – Bryan Bergeon Summary: Bryan Bergeon, Acting Region III Government Liaison Officer for the NRC, provides a detailed explanation of the NRC's role as a regulator of civilian nuclear materials, emphasizing its independence and focus on public health, safety, and security. He outlines the NRC's regulatory mission covering reactors, materials, and waste, and the complex, multiyear licensing process governed by federal laws and 10 CFR regulations. Bergeon clarifies that the NRC does not advocate for nuclear energy (unlike the Department of Energy) and regulates waste storage, including low-level and high-level waste. He notes lowa's status as an Agreement State for certain nuclear materials and advises prospective applicants to engage with the NRC's licensing process.





85

84

90 N.M h_{al}itasi.

. Katikatika

ALC: NO **WAR**

14/26

86

a Valo and and

From: Sent:	Eob Scott Wednesder, December 4, 2024 #04 PM	- 22 A STA 1 S S
	Dariel Priestley	
To: Subject:	RE: Comments Requested Nuclear Energy in Woodbury County, Iowa	
applece	rect continents requested rectain energy in reconnenty county, fore	
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up	
Flag Status:	Flagged	
riag scalas:	Lind And	a service of the service
		A DESCRIPTION AND A D
Loss on antidays first excerning	but I am in favor of a small nuke plant in the area around Neal power plants. I think the investment	March March 1, 19 (1968)
	on rates is worth the risk for these low level type plants. And I have a question if we annex land into	
	on roles is worth the risk for these low level type protes. And there is goes up to we allow local the s regarding solar farms cannot apply to land in the city can they?	Eliter and the second second
the city, your zoning law	s regarding solar rannis campe apply to lane in one city can triey?	
三、创造者 新新市	(2) 数据的中华中国中华国家的中国中国会议会计划的学校的公司的问题和中学会。	·····································
Gran Constraction Parallel	Bob Scott	网络拉拉拉拉拉拉拉拉拉拉拉拉拉
Sent:		A MARSHALL PERSON
	Friday, January 8, 2025 10:47 AM	AND DESCRIPTION OF
To:	Daniel Priestley	
Subject:	RE: Comments Requested Nuclear Energy in Woodbury County, Iowa	and the second
	Tell and the	·大田1997年7月1日1月1日
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up	网络1000000000000000000000000000000000000
Flag Status:	Flagged	A CONTRACT OF MARKEN
		Charles and the second second
Not thast the county will	care what my opinion is but I would be supportive of a smail nuke plant.	(1) 中国市市市市市市市市市市市市市市市市市市市市市市市市市市市市市市市市市市市市
		- 医软膜的后肢 网络阿尔
月時間 金水 推进 建分		相談論的状況のためです
La CARDON DO LA LA CARDO	e de la companya de l	
From	Diane Swoboda Peterson	Section of the sectio
Sent:	Monday, January 6, 2025 9:12 A.M	· 推進的時間, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,
To:	Danial Priestley	· 杨秋子的新闻的自己。 [1] [4]
Subject:	RE Comments Requested Nuclear Energy in Woodbury County, lowa	
Follow Up Flag:	Foliovrup	
Flag Stalus:	Flegged	· 김희씨는 김 · 이상 관망을 하는 것
		- 結合に 別 子子 営業協会
		· 문제 : 2014년 - 2 1월 1월 1
No commente		
		and the second second
Diane Swoboda Peterso		Provide the State of the State of the
Weedbury County Real		Automation and the
620 Douglas Street; Roo	m 106	and the state of t
Sioux City, Iowa 51101		
(712)279-6528		一時時代的。 化二乙烯化物
计不规定通知 网络增生	約1時40日1日間のおいたにはあいたらで発行感染です。このの際に汚染物料につわず	
一个公司民族法院成为	多身体医院的装饰的 (1) ···································	机合力的分子的
From:	Kyle Gates	Alan and states and a state of the second
Sent:	Thursday, January 16, 2025 3:33 PM	A TABLE PARA
To:	Daniel Priestley	
Subject:	RE: Comments Requested Nadear Energy in Woodbury County, Iona	和的原始是他们的问题。
		Sector States
fully support Nuclear	Energy in Woodbury County and would feel quite sefe with a modern reactor next door.	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Rems that come to min	d:	the first of the state
	rimater security	Alexander Cold The State
		· 他曾母亲的教育,只是不
	to previous state after futura decommissioning	Later of the Address of the
	ation with industries for use of low cost/irae wasta heat (lertilizer production for example)	「「「「「「「「「「「」」」を見るい。
	redundancy via distributed locations near and users providing baseload power	Alexandra Roberts States
· If a lower cost r	er kwh is attainaible, there is a potential for economic development	AN ARE STREET

w www.vec.vec.pre_ext is assumption, are as president assumption even prior.

87

Daniel Priestley		gastras au
From:	Riesberg, Patty [HHS] <pattyziesberg@hhs.lowa.gov></pattyziesberg@hhs.lowa.gov>	
Sent	Thursday, March 20, 2025 1:29 PM	
To:	Daniel Priestley	
Subject	Regulation of Nuclear Energy and Storage	: Yest Market State (1997)
Fellow Up Raq:	Follow up	Martin 12
Flag Status:	Flagged	A Markanana A
CAUTION: This sinal city	nated from OUTSIDE of the organization. Please verify the sonder and use osution if the message	
contains any attechnisms user can be and password	, triks, or requests for soformation as this person may NOT be who they claim. If you are asked for your ' , please call WCICC and DO NOTENTER any data.	BOALL AND PR
Daniel,		
Good afternoon Len	the Bureau Chief for the Bureau of Radiological Health with Iows HHS. Treceived your	Sector Sector
Good alternoon. Tann	ied from one of my team members, Stuert Jordan. I've included aresponse below to	
	in the second paragraph. If you have any further questions, place feel free to reach out	2.46%20月1日日
	n the second paragraph. In you have any further questions, placed feet needs out	President States and States
to me. Thank you.		EX. HER STREET
Ouestion: One of the	questions I received from a stakeholder is how does the State of lowa, including	BUT DE PASSION
	te both nuclear power plants, and the storage of nuclear waste?	
the town when tugutos	a non manual parter parter and one and ogo of manual manuf	1244年代出版学校》目
All commercia	al nuclear power in the United States, which includes spent nuclear fuel, is regulated by	AND A DECK
	v Commission (NRC) through a combination of regulatory requirements,	
	and enforcement activities.	11-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-1
nounamily stapeonosis		自己 在10月1日日本
		5.7 M

Considerations for nuclear energy holities and nuclear waste storage should involve close opcidination with the NRC to ensure regulatory compliance. Irwa HHS works closely with NRC to regulate all other nationality in the state of I over. lows DNR does not play a regulatory role for nuclear power plants or the storage of waste.

	ken beuer <kjbauer57@hotmail.com></kjbauer57@hotmail.com>	「「「「「「「「「」」」」の語言語では、
Sent	Thursday, February 6, 2028 12:41 PM	State State
To:	Danle Priestley	C.S. PERSING
Subject:	Nuclear energy in Woodbury county.	
		\$200 Car . 520 - 520
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up	and the state of the
Flag Status:	Flagged	- 二日和第日45年 - 二日和第日45年
		AP THE BOARD
CAUTION: This email origins	ated from OUTSIDE of the organization. Please verify the sender and use caution if the message	and the second se
	inks, or requests for information as this person may NOT be who they claim. If you are asked for	See States
	rd, please call WCICC and DO NOT ENTER any data.	C. Standing
Second Second	Server a present the device a per server to the server server of	
I believe nuclear energy w	would be very beneficial to our county. I worked at port neal for 26 years. I believe coal fired plants	124 231 1423
	plants. The wind mills and solar only makes brookshirehatheway rich off tex payers back. The wind	AND AND A
	e sun doesn't always shine (especially at night). I believe it would be more economical than either	Service States
and the fandscape wouldn't	t be clattered with old wind mills or solar panels. Ken Bauer, mayor of Correctionsilie.	
12	CENTRE CONTRACTOR AND A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR	그는 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것 같이 것 같이 것 같이 것 같이 것 같이
od sana ti shi ka ka waa rom:	Christopher Madsen	ALL ALL ALL AND T
rom: ient:	Canscopner Macsen Thursday, March 6, 2025 3 D9 PM	如今国际1891-33
ienc.	Daniel Priestley	
iubject:	RE: Nuclear Energy Public Hearing Notice – Warch 24, 2025: Nuclear Energy and Waste	
nuojesis	Storage Ordinance Amendments – Your Input Needed	品的新闻。 1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.
	standge of analyce Americanity - Four input receipt	林田 建国家市
ollow Up Flag:	Follow up	A CONTRACTOR
Tag Status:	Flagged	- 18 Mar
	ring yes	
		All Anna and a start of the
Good afternoon Dan,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
t appears this has been u	pointed to include nuclear waste storage which was not in the last amail notice. Have you	
ione any research on the	process needed for a storage facility and other entitles that would review (such as IDNR)?	北京時代 日本市場
		Service States
f so would you send that	over for us to look at?	
		(12)公司的 (12)公司
		加加加加加
No. of Long Long Long Long Long Long Long Long		2. 编辑2
hankst		
hankat		
Thris S. Madsen, AICP, CFM		
Chris R. Madsen, AICP, CFM Tentor Plannar		
Chris R. Madsen, AiCP, CPM Ienior Planner Sty of Sioux City Homa: 712.2.79,6241		
Chris S. Madsen, AiCP, CRM lenior Planner Jhy of Sioux City hom: 712.279.6241 im all: cmadsen @aloux-city.		
Chris R. Madsan, AiOP, CPM Janior Plannar Jity of Sicux Oity home: 712.279,6041 imati: cmadsen:@sicux-city, IOS Gih Street, Box 447		
Chris S. Madsen, AiOP, CPM Ienior Planner Jhy of Sicux Oity Home: 712.279.6341 im alt: cmadsen/@sicux-city, 05 Gih Street, Box 447		
Chris S. Madsen, AiOP, CPM Ienior Planner Jhy of Sicux Oity Home: 712.279.6341 im alt: cmadsen/@sicux-city, 05 Gih Street, Box 447		
Chris S. Madsen, AiOP, CPM Ienior Planner Jhy of Sicux Oity Home: 712.279.6341 im alt: cmadsen/@sicux-city, 05 Gih Street, Box 447		n mari Anu gi tri Anu gi tri Anu gi tri Anu gi tri
Chris R. Madsan, AiOP, CPM Janior Plannar Jity of Sicux Oity home: 712.279,6041 imati: cmadsen:@sicux-city, IOS Gih Street, Box 447		r man Alta gan Chagi di Chagi di
Chanical Denios R., Modean, AKCP, CPM Benios Planmas Zijor Slovac, City Phome 71 22 279, 0541 Timal: cmololena planow-city India Gab Mitmell, Box 447 Slovac, City M 51102		n baya Abu yan Abu yan Abu yang Abu yang
Chris R. Madsan, AiOP, CPM Janior Plannar Jity of Sicux Oity home: 712.279,6041 imati: cmadsen:@sicux-city, IOS Gih Street, Box 447		n baya Albayya Albayya Albayya Albayya Albayya

		88
Daniel Priestley		
From:	Janet Krueger <krueger s@idoud.com=""></krueger>	a daniel Sa
Sent:	Monday, March 24, 2025 12:47 PM	
To: Subject:	Daniel Priestley	
subject:	Comments for public hearing on inuclear zoning	Sent Store Lives
contains any attachme	iniginated from OUTSIDE of the organization. Havian verify the sender and use caudion if the message inits, Briks, or requests for information as this person may NOT be who they claim. If you are asked for serverd, please call WEICC and DO NOT ENTER any data.	an a
Re: Public heating on a	coning for nuclear-related items - comments	
Mr. Priestley,		and the state of the
We believe ALL levels nuclear waste disposa propose zoning chang	of zoning in Woodbury County should EXPRESSLY PROHIBIT any nuclear-related activities (including II, That way, if any entity wants to perform nuclear-related activities in the county, they would need to so that allow the public to weight in on a performance. We do NOT want nuclear-related activities to recorressly any bublic to any zoning ordinances.	
Sincerely,	a second providence in the control of control of	SAMPLE A
Janet Krueger		
Randy Krueger		
4862 Bradford Lane		THE RELEASE
Sloux City, IA 51106		
Sant from my IPhone		
		The State of the
		一般像 一 花
		· 如何 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		《第二》:本语《中国》:"
		and the second second
		States and the second states

ใจสามสตรรรณสารณา (การสารวิจารณา) สารณาจะการที่ได้ที่ไ

	Daniel Priestley		
ų	From:	Rick Plathe <r plathe@ibew231.com=""></r>	
	Sent:	Tuesday, April 1, 2025 10:27 AM	
	To:	Deniel Priestiev	Watt a College and Arts
	Subject:	Support Letter Northwest Jowa Building Trades	
ł.	Attachments:	NWIA Building Trades Zoning Letter.docx	
			Salar and the state
	Follow Up Flag:	Follow up	和時代的情報發展中的時代的
	Flag Status:	Flagged	
- 100 - 100	contains ony attachments	nated from OUTSIDE of the organization. Reason weitly the sender und use caution if the message flinks, or requests for information as this person may NOT for who they claim. If you are asked for your places well WCICC and DO NOT ENTER any data.	
	Dan		
č	Please see the attach	ed letter of support form all Unions affiliated with Northwest lowe Building Trades for	では必要なな一般に
		ial land in Woodbury County to add Nuclear language. Please reach out of me if you	
ł.	have any questions or		Sale and the second
8	Thanks		的过程的 医希腊氏病 法
	Rick Plathe		the start of the
	THUR F (DU)D		的。我们就是没能
	- 22		

IBEW Local 231 5001 Harbor Dri Sioux City, la 51111 (712) 255-8138

Business Manager

	Barrie and
ed for your	See Dependent als als as
	States & States Strength
	AND DEPARTMENT
ides for	11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.
e if you	Con March 1996
	2.4.5.4.4.5.3.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4
	的复数形式 的复数建筑分子
	to a match and
	的一個問題的意思。
	松田市市市市
	The set of the second
	P. Contract Contraction
	Martine Hard
	11-30-3. 11-14
	1111年1月4月1日1月1日



Craig Levine - President PO Box 1051 PO Box 1051 Sioux City, IA, 51101 clevine@ibew231.com (712) 202-3100

March 14, 2025

Dan Priestley Zoning Coordinator Office of Community & Economic Development 6220 Douglas Sk Floor 6 Sioux City, IA 51101

Daniel Priestle

Dear Sioux City Zoning Commission,

I hope this letter finds you well. I am writing to show our support for an important initiative in our community—the reaxining of industrial space to allow for nuclear energy production. As energy demands continue to rise and the need for a cleaner, more sustainable source of power becomes increasingly urgent, it is essential that we explore all viable options for securing our energy future. Nuclear energy, with its greaven track record of providing reliable, low-carbon electricity, is a critical component of this transition.

Craig Levine 712-202-3100

Jose Montes 712-420-7680

nser Yockey Spense 712-294

4365

President clevine@ibew231.com

spencer@local234.org

Recording Secretary

Vice President

Currently, many industrial areas are underutilized, and repurposing these spaces for nuclear energy production, including small modular reactors, presents an opportunity to drive economic growth, create jobs, and contribute to a greener energy portfolio in Woodbury County. By recoming these areas, we can ensure that nuclear energy can be integrated into our community in a safe, environmentally responsible manner.

The benefits of nuclear energy extend far beyond just providing a reliable energy source. It can help us reduce our carbon fociprint and mitigate the impacts of elimate change, all while strengthening local economics through job creation, technological innovation, and new infrastructure development. Furthermore, by supporting this initiative, we would be aligning ourselves with forward-tainking policies that prioritize sustainable energy solutions for future ensembles. generations.

We believe that our organization's influence and commitment to [community/environmental issues/sustainable growth/innovation] would be a powerful voice in advocating for this rezoning

91

03

initiative. With your support, we can help ensure that the benefits of nuclear energy are realized while addressing our community's needs for economic development, energy security, and environmental responsibility.

I kindly ask for you to record our endorsement of this effort and would be happy to discuss the details further at your convenience. Together, we can take meaningful steps toward a cleaner, more sustainable energy future for our community.

Thank you for your time and consideration. I look forward to the possibility of working together to make this initiative a reality.

Sincerely, Craig Levine - President, Northwest Iowa Building Trades

Rick Plathe - Business Manager, IBEW Local 231

Jose Montes - Business Representative, Iron Workers Local 21

John Hanson - Business Manager, Heat and Frost Insulators Local 39

Dean Bradham - Organizer, Plumber and Steamfitters UA Local 33

Spencer Yockey - Business Representative, Operating Engineers Local 234

Terry Victor - Business Representative, SMART local 3

Bob Briley - Business Manager, Bricklavers Local 3

Tom Dye -- Vice President, Boilermakers Local 83



condit

Woodsary Ocusy Etakiaididee. Woodsary Courty Statiatididee. Woodsary Courty Statiatididee. Microbing Courty Statiatididee. Micro

2. Whe prevential impacts, politics compatible, do you forese on a second to gal colour), evidential, or commonitor that inducts in the distance induces on the second second

How do you view the balance between energy solutions and preserving Woodbury County's agriculture) and environmental priorities? Are there specific asfeguerde you would recommende the specific asterious and the specific asterious and the specific asterious and the specific asterious and the specific asterious as

and for the second teat a bail that a subscript a sub-

92

terest state

W-1.383

maintain this balance? I think in lowal and use should tip in favor of agricultured. Prime to molend should be preserved. Hany of the so-called clean energy sources would fail without the text credit incentions. Looking at the incentions of the different of the speep to which energy and the catforn predi-easeld think that it is majority would not want this industry in the county.

Please subr

ested sink that it emploitly would not want this inducers to seven it.
Do yoshwa any additional comments, comorns, suggestions, or great on a bout nuclear energy inclusion and the second seven it is a seven it is

Zoning Map of Woodbury County, Iowa



Daniel J. Priesticy, MPA Woodbury County Zoning Coordinator 620 Douglas Strast \$209 Slow: City, (A 51101

Phone: 712-279-6609 Fasc 712-279-6530 Website: WoodburyCountyTowa.gov

Sincerely,

Virus-free.weav.avo.co.s

Daniel Priestley

Internet and a second day	
From:	Bryan Bergeon < Bryan.Bergeon@rrc.gov>
Sent	Monday, May 5, 2025 10:39 AM
To:	Daniel Priestley
Subject:	RE Nuclear Energy Woodbury County Zoning

CAUTION: This entail originated from OUTSIDE of the organization. Please varity the sender and use caution if the message contains any attachments, links, or requests for information estinis person may NOT be who they daim. If you are asked for your contains any anachments, units, or requests for mormation as this person may usemanie and password, please call WCICC and DO NOT ENTER any data. Hi Danie!

The NRC is a Regulator of civilian use of nuclear materials and does not advocate for the use thereof. There is a The NRC is a Registator of civilian use of nuclear meterials and does not advocate for the use thereof. There is a definitive line between what the NRC does and what of the agencies do, like Doparimant of Energy. The NRC's Elincipality of Cond Englishing starts with independence. This means the NRC is not a consulting organization - - individual aplicants must offer proceed design-specific methods or design changes to meet the NRC's regulations. The NRC-approved methods by which an applicant can meet the regulations are published in regulatory givides, line this staff guidance, and (in limited cases) NAREGA, Applicants mey ask whether a design component or design-specific method meets the regulations in uniform ways, such as utilizing public meetings and submitting public points positive The NRC's response will be publicly available unless the underlying information is protected due to proprietary or other sensitive appearse governed by the regulations are governession whether the type://www.nrc.gov/rescore/new-rescitors/new-nessing/advances/interdindencessing/interdindencessing/advan

The NRC's Mission is to protect public health and safety and advances the nation's common defense and security by enabling the safe and secure use and deployment of civilian nuclear energy technologies and indinantive motivates through efficient and reliable licensing, oversight, and regulation for the benefit of society and the environment. The NRC's regulatory mission covers three main areas: Reactors -- Commercial reactors for generating electric power and research and test reactors used for research.

testing, and training Metorials - Uses of nuclear meterials in medical, industrial, and academic settings and facilities that produce nuclear

tini. sete - Transportation, storage, and disposal of nuclear materials and waste, and decommissioning of nuclear

The NRC accomplishes the mission through Laws and Regulation. Now nuclear roactor licensing is a complex, multi-year process governed by both factoral laws passed by the U.S. Congress and regulations developed by the NRC. The <u>Factoral laws asymptim through the Big beneating through the transfers for the overlas massed as a final develope and lawse a theor equilations for all mass under its phrafection. All U.S. advisors (In Central must be advised to the regulations for all mass under its phrafection. All U.S. advisors (In Central must be advised to the regulations in 10 CFR. (See more on this https://www.nrc.gov/restors/nov-ments/ndrased/massed/massed/tawased/ta</u> reactors/sdvancod/now-app/ganeral-info/law-reg.html)

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) "New Applicant" web page: (https://www.nrc.gov/reactors/new The Nucciear Regulatory Commission (NRO) "New Applicant" web page (https://www.mc.gov/reactor/new-reactors/downeo/downeo/gov/encientio.html) in the saming point for prospocitive applicants. The rospective applicants should come to the NRO with a specific reactor technology, license class, regulatory approach, business model (o halp corracity guide the licensing process), and a project timeline. With this information, the prospective applicant guidance/pro-app-process.html. From there, the NRO and spurteecors/mour-reactors/advance/how-appl/ganomic guidance/pro-app-process.html. From there, the NRO and spurteecors/mour-reactors/advance/how-appl/ganomic manager will guide the applicant through the ragulatory process and will be there to a newer questione, organize meetings, and Relinten at Communications with other NRC and applicant. The project the additions at accommunications with other NRC and applicant. The project the additions at communications with other NRC and applicant. The project the additions at accommunications with other NRC and applicant. The project the additions at accommunications with other NRC and applicant. The project the additions at accommunications with other NRC and applicant. The project the additions at accommunications with the ragulatory process and will be there to a maver questions, organize thead ragulatory applicant through the ragulatory process and will be there to answer questions, organize thead ragulatory applicant through the ragulatory process and will be there to answer questions, organize thead ragulatory applicant through the ragulatory process and will be there to answer questions, organize thead ragulatory applicant through the ragulatory process and will be there to answer questions, organize thead ragulatory applicant ragulatory process and will be there to answer questions, organize thead ragulatory applicant ragulatory process and will be there to answer questions, organize thead ragulatory applicant the rapplicant ragulatory process and will be the ragulatory t

3

The Department of Energy's Office of Nuclear Energy mission is to promote the domestic use of nuclear energy and The Department of Energy's Office of Nuclear Energy mission is to promote the domestic use of nuclear energy and supports advanced reactor developers. The DOE has a Gateway for Accelerated Innovation In Nuclear (GAIN). <u>https://saki.nit.cov/industocuumon/ingulatory-support/neuhore-nuclear-scloyment</u> / This helps applicants understand the current U.S. regulatory framework for commercial reactors. The series also facilitate nuclear industry efforts to identify and eddress regulatory uncertainties and associated deployment risks (sechnice), financial, schedule). Essentially, the DOE is who will advocate for and help potential licensees pursue projects, including even potential funding there of.

05

The NRC also regulates waste storage. Low-Level waste includes items that have become contaminated with radioactive material or have become racioactive through exposure to neutron radiation and is typically stored on-site until it can be disposed of or until amounts are large enough to ahlp to low-level waste processing context. High-level wastes are highly radioactive materials produced as a byproduct of the reactions that occur inside median reactors; wastes are highly radioactive meterists produced as a byproduct of the reactions that occur inside nuclear reactors; spont reactor rule and waste metrialist remaining a Sers part hubble is processed. Commercial reprocessing is currently not practiced in the United States, although it has been allowed in the part. The NRC regulates high low! wastes in spent fue, pool, and any case stronge, alt independent spent fuel works through the fuel and metal metals, although the been allowed in the part. Stronge facilities (CISF). Note, these CISF's at different then permanent storage facilities such as fuel of the consolitate interview which is under DOE jurisdiction. The DDE, Office of Spent Fuel and High-Loval Waste Disposition and its more sub-portant discuss the Office of Disposal R&D, the Office of Spent Fuel and High-Loval Maste Disposition Filer Flant (VIPP) is the notified Stifting, and devices the Office of Disposal R&D, the Office of Spent Fuel and High-Loval Maste Disposition Filer Flant (VIPP) is the notions and/ser hub and high-have, radioactive waste responitory. Lonatod 25 miles contrast of Casibad, New Medica, WIPP premanently textes to disposal for guaranted (TRU) waste of 2.150 for underground in an excited teamstate of the constant disposal of spent responsibility. Lonatod 25 miles contrast on Casibad, New Medica, WIPP premanently becaust and (TRU) waste and productive waste responsibility. Lonatod 25 miles contrast of Casibad, New Medica medica the West - Waste Allowed Medica Me level-waste-disposition).

With regards to nuclear materials (not including nuclear waste, as discussed above), the NRC essentially turns its juriadiction over to the states, via a program called the **Agreement State** Program https://www.arc.gov/agreement-states.html. NRC provide assistance to States expressing interest in eatablishing programs to assums NRC regulatory authority. The NRC-calinguishes to the States portione of as regulatory authority to the cence and regulate byproduct materials (radioisotopes); source materials (uranium and thorium); and certain quantities of special nuclear materials. lows, for example, is an agreement state with respect to nuclear materials.

Bryan Bergeon Acting Region III Government Lisison Officer U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Bryan berseon@urc.gov 630-829-9719 fail 240-704-5879 (c)

From: Daniel Priestley <dpriestley@woodburycountylowa.gov Sent: Monday, April 28, 2025 10-33 AM To: Bryan Biegron < Fayna Breason@intc.gov Subject: [Externet_Sender] Nuclear Energy Woodbury Councy Zoning

Mr. Bergeon,

This measure is a follow up from our Narch 25 conversation regarding nuclear energy and county level permitting as Woodbury County, lows is currently examining nuclear energy as a potential land use.

As noted in our conversation, we are interested in the various aspects of the NRC permitting process, including nuclear As noted in our conversation, we are interested in the vinious applies of use very permitting process, including types, definitions, and potential permitting sectories. Atthis list, e.g., wanted to check and see if you have additional information that we can include in our upcoming meetings not limited to nuclear definitions and types of nuclear. Additionally, we would like more details about the relationship between federal, state, and local government 2

07

bodies as it pertains to nuclear permitting. It was my understanding that some resources would be made available to Thank you for your assistance with this motter.

Danial J. Priestley, MPA. Woodbury County Zoning Coordinator 620 Douglas Street #609 Sloux City, IA 51101

one: 712-279-6609 c: 712-279-6630 dosite: WoodburyCountylows.gov

Respectfully and sincerely,

98

96

June 19, 2025

Jerry & Vernell Steffen Co-Trustees of Steffen Revocable Trust 1528 Jewell Ave. Moville, IA 51039

Daniel Priestley, MPA Woodbury County Zoning Coordinator 620 Douglas Street #609 Sioux City, IA 51101

> RE: 2025 Conditional Use Permit Request for Parcel #884606100002. For Rent Properties, LLC, Applicant: Kevin Heiss.

Dear Mr. Priestley:

We have reviewed the Conditional Use Permit Application. Jerry & Vernell Steffen Trust has no issues with this request.

Thanks for the Notice.

JERRY E. STEFFEN,



WOODBURY COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AGENDA ITEM(S) REQUEST FORM

Date: 7/16/25 Week	y Agenda Date: 7/22/25	
ELECTED OFFICIAL / DEPARTMENT HEAD / CITIZEN: Tina Bertrand, County Treasurer		
VORDING FOR AGENDA ITEM: Information and discussion regarding ATV ordinance		
	ACTION REQUIRED	
Approve Ordinance	Approve Resolution \Box	Approve Motion
Give Direction	Other: Informational 🗹	Attachments 🗹

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

Changes to Iowa code 3211 - All Terrain Vehicles changes warrants a review of the county ordinance.

BACKGROUND:

lowa code 321I changed to expand ATV operation on public roads. These changes impact the current Woodbury County Ordinance. The current law does not specifically prohibit a county fee, however, HF 257 does and will likely pass in the next session. Other sections of the ordinance should be reviewed for alignment with the changes to the code.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

If there is a change in ordinance or if the county attorney believes the current legislation is sufficient to eliminate the county's ability to charge a fee for a county ATV permit, the revenue impact is a loss of \$6,500. All other changes to the ordinance are a no cost impact.

IF THERE IS A CONTRACT INVOLVED IN THE AGENDA ITEM, HAS THE CONTRACT BEEN SUBMITTED AT LEAST ONE WEEK PRIOR AND ANSWERED WITH A REVIEW BY THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE?

Yes 🗆 No 🗹

RECOMMENDATION:

Update ordinance to align with Iowa code 321I.

ACTION REQUIRED / PROPOSED MOTION:



DRIVING YOUR ATV OR UTV/ORV **ON IOWA PUBLIC ROADS AND STREETS**

Know the Law. Ride Responsibly.

How to Drive on Public Roads

- Do not exceed 35 MPH.
- Driver must be age 18 or older with a valid driver's license.
- Do not drive while impaired or with open alcohol container.
- Headlight(s) must be on at all times.

What is Required for the Vehicle

Slow-Moving Vehicle Sign

triangle and dark red

border that **must be**

displayed on rear

personal use.

(lowa Code 321.383)

of vehicle for ag or

Reflective

sign with

an orange

- Proof of insurance.
- Registered with Iowa DNR and sticker displayed on rear of vehicle.
- Out-of-state vehicle must have an Iowa DNR nonresident user permit sticker.
- Functioning required equipment, including but not limited to: horn, mirrors, headlight(s), tail lights, and brake lights.
- Display slow-moving vehicle sign.

When and Where You Can Ride

- Operation allowed day or night.
- Roadway use allowed (except interstates and four-lane roads).
- Use state two-lane or county highways only on the most direct, accessible route to: an ATV/UTV/ORV park or trail, the nearest county road, an authorized city street, or your residence.
- Crossing multi-lane highways allowed only at intersections from authorized roads.

ATVs/UTVs and Iowa Law

ATVs/UTVs are regulated for use on roadways by Iowa code sections 321I and 321.234A. Always refer to the most current version of the Iowa Code for legal guidance.





중 Wear a helmet.

Use a safety harness, if available.

Drive sober.

ATV vs. UTV/ORV: Key Differences

ATV (All-Terrain Vehicle)	UTV/ORV (Utility Task Vehicle) (Off-Road Utility Vehicle)
Handlebars	Steering wheel
1-2 riders straddle seat	2-6 riders sit inside bench or bucket seat
3,4, or 6 wheels	4 or 6 wheels

Exemptions for Ag Use on **Public Roads**

(Implements of Husbandry)

- No DNR registration required.
- No license needed if within 2 miles of farm. Class C license required if beyond 2 miles.
- Operation allowed only between sunrise and sunset.

Prioritize Your Safety

IOWA CODE REFERENCES

I. Non-Ag Operation Requirements

A. General Requirements:

- Driver's License: Valid license required (Iowa Code 321.234A(2))
- Insurance: Valid liability insurance (321.234A(2))
- Minimum Age: 18 years old (321.234A(2))
- Registration Decal: Shall be affixed on rear of vehicle (IA Admin Code 571-46.7; 321.234A(4))
- Required Equipment: As specified in Iowa Code Chapter 321 (321.234A(3))

B. Lighting and Safety Equipment:

- Headlamp(s): Must be illuminated at all times (321.384, 321.386)
- Horn: Required (321.432)
- Mirror: Required (321.437)
- Rear Lamp: Required (321.387)
- Signal Lamps: Required (321.404)
- Slow-Moving Vehicle Sign: Displayed on rear (321.383(2))
- Windows: Frontside windows and windshield shall not be excessively dark (321.438)

C. Operational Guidelines:

- Direct Crossing: Allowed on highways not part of the interstate (321.234A(f); 3211.10)
- Paved County Secondary Roads: Permitted if authorized by county or for direct access (321.234A(f); 3211.10(2-4))
- Road Use State Highways: Only on undivided, two-lane roads for direct access (321.234A(f); 3211.10(2-4))
- Road Use City Streets: Permitted if authorized by city or for direct access (321.234A(f); (3211.10(5))
- Road Use Unpaved County Roads: Operation allowed (321.234A(f); 321I.10(2-4))
- Speed Limit: Must not exceed 35 MPH (321.234A(2))

II. Ag Operation Exemptions

A. License Requirement Exemption:

- Not required if used for farm purposes (321.176) (Note: Cannot operate if license is suspended, barred, or revoked.)
- 2-mile limitation for ag operations (IA Admin Code 761-605.3; 321.176)

B. Operation Hours:

• Only between sunrise and sunset - (321.234A(1))

C. Registration Exemption:

• Not required if used as an implement of husbandry - (321.234A)

D. Speed Limit:

• Must not exceed 35 MPH - (321.234A(2))

WOODBURY COUNTY, IOWA

ORDINANCE #_____

An Ordinance permitting the operation of All-Terrain Vehicles and Off-Road Utility Vehicles in Woodbury County, Iowa

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors has evaluated the traffic conditions on all County roadways/highways and has determined that all-terrain vehicles and off-road utility vehicles can be operated without unduly interfering with or constituting an undue hazard to conventional motor vehicle traffic, and;

WHEREAS, pursuant to Iowa Code section 3211.10, the County may permit operation of said vehicles on the roadways of the county, and;

WHEREAS, the operation of said vehicles would be regulated under Iowa Code Chapters 321I, 321.20B, 321A.21, and other applicable provisions of the Iowa Code;

NOW, therefore be it resolved by the Board of Supervisors that the following regulations are hereby adopted regarding operation of all-terrain vehicles and off-road utility vehicles on county roadways within Woodbury County, Iowa:

Section 1: Purpose

To designate the county roadways upon which all-terrain vehicles and off-road utility vehicles may operate and to establish regulations regarding such operation.

Section 2: Definitions

- All-Terrain Vehicle 321I.1(1)(a): means a motorized vehicle with not less than three and not more than six non-highway tires that is limited by engine displacement to less than one thousand cubic centimeters and in total dry weight to less than one thousand two hundred pounds and that has a seat or saddle designed to be straddled by the operator and handlebars for steering control.
- 2. Off-Road Utility Vehicle 3211.1(17)(a): means a motorized vehicle with not less than four and not more than eight non-highway tires or rubberized tracks that has a seat that is of bucket or bench design not intended to be straddled by the operator and a steering wheel or control levers for control. Off-road utility vehicles include Type 1, Type 2 & Type 3 vehicles.
- 3. Roadways 321I.1: means that portion of the highway improved, designed or ordinarily used for vehicular travel. Roadway does not include the ditch.

Section 3: Operation on Roadways

A vehicle properly registered with the Iowa DNR, pursuant to Iowa Code section 321I.3, and with proper Woodbury County identification, pursuant to Section 5 of this ordinance, <u>may be operated on any</u> roadway in Woodbury County, Iowa pursuant to the restrictions in this ordinance and those restrictions imposed by Iowa Code. All-Terrain Vehicles and Off-Road Vehicles shall not be operated on dirt or earth surface roads or other Class B roads when wet conditions exist that would cause damage to the roadway or would cause the vehicle to get stuck. They are prohibited from Class C Roads. Operation under this ordinance is only permitted on the county roadways lying outside the city limits of any incorporated city which does not have an ordinance or other regulation in effect allowing such operation. Operation is not permitted at any time on Interstate 29 and state highways.

Section 4: Unlawful Operation

A person shall not operate an All-Terrain Vehicle or Off-Road Utility Vehicle on county roadways under any of the following conditions:

- 1. At a rate of speed greater than the posted speed limit.
- 2. In a careless, reckless or negligent manner.
- 3. Without a lighted headlight or taillight.
- 4. In any tree nursery or planting in a manner which damages or destroys growing stock.
- 5. On private or public land prohibiting such operation.
- 6. In any park, wildlife area, preserve, refuge or game management area. Changed by 3211.10.3?
- 7. Any portion of a meandered stream or the bed of a non-meandered stream which has been identified as a navigable stream or river by the Iowa DNR and which is covered by water. Does not apply to designated riding areas and designated riding trails.
- 8. Upon an operating railroad right-of-way. May be driven directly across a railroad right-of-way only at established crossings.
- 9. With more persons on the vehicle than it was designed to carry.
- 10. While under the influence of intoxicating liquor or narcotics or drugs.
- 11. Without a valid driver's license and proof of insurance.
- 12. Under the age of 18.

Section 5: Identification Requirements

Individuals who operate an All-Terrain Vehicle or Off-Road Utility Vehicle on the roadways of the county must obtain an identification sticker from the office of Woodbury County Treasurer. The following conditions apply:

- 1. For each vehicle, the owner must provide proof of ownership, current registration with the Iowa DNR and proof of liability insurance.
- 2. Pay an annual registration fee in the amount of \$25.00.
- 3. Stickers must be affixed to the right-rear portion of the vehicle such that it is clearly visible.
- 4. Stickers are non-transferable.
- 5. Out of state vehicles are also required to be registered with Iowa DNR.

Section 6: Exempt Vehicles and Operators

This ordinance does not apply to any vehicles operated pursuant to Iowa Code section 321I.9 (government and farm implements) or Iowa Code section 321.234A (agricultural purposes, government, public utilities, licensed engineers and licensed surveyors).

Section 7: Penalties

Violation of this ordinance shall constitute a simple misdemeanor punishable by a minimum fine of \$65.00 and a maximum fine of \$625.00, plus applicable surcharges and court costs.

Section 8: Effective Date

This ordinance shall be in effect from and after its final passage, approval and publication as provided by law.

Passed and approved this _____ day of ____, 2019.

Woodbury County Board of Supervisors

Keith Radig, Chairman

ATTEST:

Patrick Gill, Woodbury County Auditor

First Reading:______Second Reading:______Approved:______Published:______

WOODBURY COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AGENDA ITEM(S) REQUEST FORM

Date:	2025-07-16	Weekly Agenda Date:	2025-07-22
ELECTED OFFICIAL / DEPARTMENT HEAD / CITIZEN: Ryan M Weber			
Approve contract with State of Nebraska			
ACTION REQUIRED:			
Approve Ordinance	Approve Res Other: Inform	—	Approve Motion 🗹

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

Seeking approval for renewal State of Nebraska contract to house youth in the Woodbury Juvenile Detention Center.

BACKGROUND:

The detention center has housed Nebraska youth for many years and we wish to continue to do so.

\$204 a day per youth

IF THERE IS A CONTRACT INVOLVED IN THE AGENDA ITEM, HAS THE CONTRACT BEEN SUBMITTED AT LEAST ONE WEEK PRIOR AND ANSWERED WITH A REVIEW BY THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE?

Yes 🗹 No 🗆

RECOMMENDATION:

Approve and sign renewal.

ACTION REQUIRED / PROPOSED MOTION:

DETENTION SERVICES AGREEMENT (93679-04) AMENDMENT FOUR

THIS AMENDMENT including all attachments and addenda is entered into by and between the Administrative Office of the Courts & Probation (hereinafter "AOCP") and Woodbury County Juvenile Detention Center (hereinafter "Contractor")

PURPOSE: The purpose of this Amendment is to ensure the continued provision of detention services at a juvenile detention facility, as defined by Neb Rev. Stat. § 83-4,125, for juveniles who are post-adjudicated for purposes of Neb. Rev. Stat. § 43-290.01 or who are under the supervision of AOCP (hereinafter "Juvenile(s)").

NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties mutually agree to amend the Agreement as follows:

A. ARTICLE A. TERM & TERMINATION, Section 1 is hereby amended to read:

1. <u>TERM OF CONTRACT</u>. The Parties agree to further amend this contract, originally executed on August 8, 2017, to now add one (1) additional year, extending the end date until June 30, 2026.

All other terms and conditions remain in full force and effect.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, the parties have duly executed this Amendment hereto, and each party acknowledges the receipt of a duly executed copy of this Amendment.

Administrative Office of the Courts <u>& Probation</u>

Kari Rumbaugh Deputy Probation Administrator Ryan M. Weber Director

Woodbury County

Date:

Date:

Gene Cotter State Probation Administrator

Date:

Daniel Bittinger II, Chairperson Woodbury County Board of Supervisors

Date:

APPROVED AS TO FORM

County Attorney

Date: